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PROCEEDINGS

MS. WARREN: Good morning. This is
Jennifer Warren, the liaison to the U.S. Mint, I mean, the CCAC from the U.S. Mint. We will be recording this both on YouTube as well as for archival purposes just to let the public know. And hopefully everybody can hear us, and we will be starting. Are we good, Court Reporter?

REPORTER: Yep.
MS. WARREN: Dr. Brown, are you good?
DR. BROWN: Uh-huh.
MS. WARREN: Okay. We will start now, so I will hand it off to Dr. Brown.

DR. BROWN: Good morning, everyone. I
call to order this meeting of the Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee for Tuesday, November 28th, 2023. The time is 8:59.

MS. WARREN: 9:00.
DR. BROWN: 9:00. Today's session is
scheduled to run until approximately 4:30, maybe a bit earlier. Today's meeting is being recorded and it will be the first time it is streamed live to the
public rather than over the phone. Before we begin, I'd like to introduce members of the committee.

Please respond present when $I$ call your name.
Arthur Bernstein, Representing the
General Public.
MR. BERSTEIN: Present.
DR. BROWN: Dr. Harcourt Fuller,
Recommended by the Speaker of the House.
DR. FULLER: Present.

DR. BROWN: Kellen Hoard, Representing
the General Public.
MR. HOARD: Present.

DR. BROWN: Dr. Christopher Capozzola, Specially Qualified in American History.

DR. CAPOZZOLA: Present.
DR. BROWN: Michael Moran, Recommended by the Senate Majority Leader.

MR. MORAN: Present.
DR. BROWN: Donald Scarinci,
Recommended by the Senate Minority Leader.
MR. SCARINCI: Present.
DR. BROWN: Dennis Tucker, Specially

Qualified in Numismatics.
MR. TUCKER: Present.

DR. BROWN: Dr. Peter van Alfen,
Specially Qualified as a Numismatic Curator.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Present.
DR. BROWN: John Saunders, Recommended by the House Minority Leader.

MR. SAUNDERS: No audible response.
DR. BROWN: We will move forward. And
I Dr. Lawrence Brown, representing the General Public and Chair of the CCAC. We have a quorum. The agenda for today's session includes the following -- approval of the minutes and the letter to the Secretary for the October 24 and October 25 public meetings. Review and discussion of the national medal for the use as the 2028 Los Angeles Summer Olympics Games "handover medal -- medallion" during the closing ceremony of the 2024 Paris Summer Olympics. Review and discussion of candidate designs for the 2025 American Innovation \$1 coin, honoring innovation in Arkansas. Review and discussion of candidate designs for the Flowing Hair Gold Coin and Silver Medal. Review and discussion of
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candidate designs for the Army Rangers of World War II congressional Gold Medal. Review and discussion of candidate designs for the 2025 American Innovation \$1 coin honoring innovation in Michigan. And finally, review and discussion of candidates designs for the 2025 Native American \$1 coin.

Before we begin our proceedings, I ask that the U.S. Mint liaison to the CCAC, Ms. Jennifer Warren, if there are any members of the press who have remotely signed on to the meeting?

MS. WARREN: Yes, sir. Mike Unser Founder and Editor of CoinNews Media Group and Paul Gilkes, Amos Media/Coin World Senior Editor.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. For the record, I would also like to confirm that the following U.S. Mint staff are attending today. Please indicate present after $I$ have called your name.

April Stafford, Chief Office of Design Management.

MS. STAFFORD: Present.
DR. BROWN: Megan Sullivan, Senior
Design Manager.

MS. SULLIVAN: Present.
DR. BROWN: Roger Vasquez, Senior
Design Manager.
MR. VASQUEZ: Present.
DR. BROWN: Pam Borer, Design Manager.
MS. STAFFORD: Not present.
DR. BROWN: Russell Evans, Design
Manager.
MR. EVANS: Present.
DR. BROWN: Boneza Hanchock, Design
Manager.
MS. HANCHOCK: Present.
DR. BROWN: Sukrita Baijal, Design
Manager.
MS. BAIJAL: Present.
DR. BROWN: Joseph Menna, Chief
Engraver.
MR. MENNA: Present.
DR. BROWN: Michael Costello, Manager of Design and Engraving.

MR. COSTELLO: Present.
DR. BROWN: Jennifer Warren, Director
of Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs and liaison to the CCAC.

MS. WARREN: Present.
DR. BROWN: Greg Weinman, Senior Legal
Counsel and Counsel to the CCAC.
MR. WEINMAN: Present.

DR. BROWN: Brendan Tate, Senior

Government Affairs Specialist and Office of
Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs.

MS. WARREN: He is present.
DR. BROWN: Mike White, Office of Corporate Communication.

MR. WHITE: No audible response.
DR. BROWN: And finally, I just want to note for the record that we will be joined later during this meeting by the following liaisons. For the 2025 American innovation $\$ 1$ coin honoring innovation in Michigan, we will have Judge Steven Bieda, Chairman of the Michigan Tax Tribunal. For the 2025 American innovation $\$ 1$ coin honoring innovation in Arkansas, we will have Dr. Blake Wintory, Arkansas Heritage Museum Services Director of the Arkansas

Department of Parks, Heritage and Tourism. And we will have Dr. Montague, son of Raye Montague and is Associate Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs and Professor of Criminal Justice and Criminology at the University of Arkansas at Little Rock. For the Army Rangers of World War II congressional Gold Medal. We will have two persons, Ron Hudnell, Congressional Gold Medal Project Manager of the Descendants of WWII Rangers and we will have Mr. Jimmie Spencer, Senior Fellow of the Association of the United States Army as well. For use as -- for those and for the liaisons for the 2028 Los Angeles Summer Olympics Games "handover medallion" during the closing ceremony of the 2024 Parasum Olympics, we will have, in fact, Mr. Peter Zeytoonjian, Senior Vice President of Commerce and Events at the United States Olympic and Paralympic Properties and Mr. Taylor Reynolds, Senior Manager of Consumer Products at the United States Olympic and Paralympic Properties. We will have three liaisons for the 2025 Native American $\$ 1$ coin. Ms. Pele Harman, who is the great granddaughter of Mary Kawena Pukui. And representative of her father, we will have

Ms. Dodie Browne, granddaughter of Mary Kawena Pukui. Excuse me. And we will have Ms. Halena KapuniReynolds, who is the Associate Curator at the Smithsonian's National Museum of the American Indian with a focus on Native Hawaiian history and culture.

I would like to begin with the Mint to ask are there any other issues that need to be addressed at this time?

Hearing none, the first order of business for the committee is the review and approval of the CCAC minutes and the letter to the Secretary of Treasury from our public meetings on October '24 and 2025, 2023.

Are there any comments on the documents?

Hearing none, is there a motion for approval of the minutes and the letter?

MR. BERSTEIN: Arthur Bernstein moves approval.

DR. BROWN: Is there a second?
DR. VAN ALFEN: Did everyone else second?

DR. BROWN: Very good. Are there any objections to the motion? Any abstentions? Hearing none. All those in favor say aye.

GROUP: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Opposed, nay. Any
abstentions? Hearing none. Not without objection, the minutes and the letter are approved. As is typically my approach in these matters, $I$ thought it would be useful for me to share a few comments particularly about where we are at this time of the year. November holds a special place in the hearts of Americans as it commemorates both Thanksgiving and Veterans Day, two occasions that resonates with deep gratitude and reflection. Thanksgiving, celebrated on the fourth Thursday of November, is a time for families and friends to gather, express gratitude, and appreciate the blessings in their lives. It serves as a reminder to cultivate a spirit of thankfulness and acknowledge the importance of community and unity. I hope and pray that all those up here physically present and participating virtually enjoyed such an experience recently. In the same month, on November

11th, the nation pays homage to its veterans on Veteran Day. First celebrated on November 11th, 1919, marking the anniversary of armistice that ended World War I, Veterans Day serves as a solemn reminder of the sacrifices made by the men and women who selfishly defended this country. As we reflect on Thanksgiving celebrations that took place last Thursday, it remains crucial to extend our gratitude to those who have safeguarded our freedom and contributed to the peace and security we cherish. November has become a month not only for reflecting on personal blessings, but also for recognizing the collective sacrifices that shape this nation's history and allow the traditions of Thanksgiving to endure. While I am certainly among those of the 7 percent of the U.S. population of veterans, I salute them as well as another 1.4 million Americans serving in the military. This meeting represents another important extension of our thanks for two of the programs before us allows us to remember Americans who have directly or indirectly contributed to preserving the freedoms we enjoy.

```
                                    We will next review -- first review the
```

obverse and reverse candidate designs for the national medal for use as the 2028 Los Angeles Summer of Olympics Hanover medallion during closing ceremony of the 2024 Paris Summer Olympics. April Stafford, chief of the Men's Office of Design management, who introduced a design.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you. In 2024
France is hosting the Summer Olympics in Paris. And in 2028 the United States will be hosting the Summer Olympics in Los Angeles, California. At the conclusion of each Olympic and Paralympic Games, the presiding host country and the host country of the next Olympic and Paralympic Games participate in an official handover of the Games. During the closing ceremonies, typically, the mayors of the host cities join the International Olympic Committee or International Paralympic Committee presidents on stage, and the flag of the Games is lowered and passed from mayor to mayor as a symbolic highlight. In addition, each host nation has typically provided an official gift, typically, a coin or medallion, as part of an official handover for the Games, serving both as
an expression of goodwill between nations and a signal of a major milestone in the Games itself. The handover coin or medallion marks the handoff of the Games and celebrates the two cities and countries hosting them. The United States Mint is currently seeking Secretary of the Treasury authorization to Mint Silver National Medals to be provided to the United States Olympics and Paralympics properties for the purpose of presentation as handover medallions to their French counterparts in conjunction with the closing ceremonies of the 2024 Paris Summer Olympic Games and Paralympic Games. In anticipation of this authorization, the Mint would appreciate your input on the following potential candidate designs. Candidate designs for the National Medals to be used as Olympic handover medallions are designed for a three-inch planchette. There are no specific design requirements, but the final medals will likely feature an official LA 28 Olympics and Paralympics emblem, a redacted placeholder for which is featured on some of the designs you'll be seeing. Should you recommend a design pairing that does not feature this placeholder
emblem, we request you also make a recommendation on the placement for that emblem.

Design elements in the candidate designs include visual references to Los Angeles and Paris, including, for example, skylines and famous landmarks such as the Eiffel Tower, the Arc de Triomphe, the Los Angeles Memorial Coliseum, and the Hollywood sign. Depictions of Nike, goddess of victory, Nike's wings, and the winged Victory of Samothrace. Did I pronounce that correctly? MR. MENNA: Yes.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you. And other references to the Olympics, for example, the torch. We have not divided this portfolio into obverse and reverse candidate designs. So you'll see all of the options and discuss the potential pairings. So we'll move through the candidate designs. We have design one. This design was identified by our liaisons with the Olympic -- U.S. Olympic and Paralympic Properties as a strong design. Two, three, four, another designed identified by our liaisons, with the U.S. Olympic Games and Paralympic Properties. Five, six --
design six was identified by our liaisons as well as a preference, a recommendation by the U.S. Commission of Fine Arts for the obverse. Design 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, and 14. 13 and 14 were identified as strong designs by our liaisons, and 14 was identified as the recommendation by the CFA as the reverse. Although there were some recommendations by the CFA starting with the reverse that you're seeing here. They recommended that the design be slightly revised to pull in so you can see more of the Coliseum. And the obverse, if we can go back to design 6, they made some observations that the weighting of the LA 28 emblem and the Paris 2024 could potentially be reconsidered to make them a little bit more equivalent. I do know our chief engraver has thoughts on both of those suggestions by the CFA. If at any point you would like to hear those Chairman Brown. That concludes the candidate designs.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. I would like to ask the committee, are there any technical or legal questions that you have about this program or these designs before we begin our general discussion?

Art?

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Arthur

Bernstein. Could you bring up design nine? I had a technical question. I wasn't clear what that item is in the middle of the design.

MS. STAFFORD: Joe?
MR. MENNA: I think all the artists, in different ways, tried to answer the call to incorporate the Nike of Samothrace, some very literally with the statue itself, some with winged victories based on Greek, that vase painting and mosaics. And this one is just the wing, also symbolically representing the Nike.

DR. BROWN: Thank you.
MR. MENNA: Sure.
DR. BROWN: Any other questions from the committee? Hearing none. Seeing none. Before we begin our (inaudible) consideration and given the robust agenda that we have before us, for efficiency, let me suggest that committee members feel free and comfortable to, say, pass or a single sentence to support a particular candidate design should a member
who precedes you articulates sufficiently your view. For the benefit of the court reporter and the public, I ask that you state your name when you -- before you begin speaking. Let us begin our consideration. Let's begin with Dennis Tucker.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. And
I apologize. I did have a question. I'll keep this brief. I was wondering if these medals will be made available for public sale?

MS. STAFFORD: That has not been a discussion for us. We have no decision on that.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you. I like the kind of urban art feel of number one. That was a design that caught my eye, and $I$ think it would make a very nice obverse. And then, 13 and 14 really jumped out at me as strong designs for the reverse. So those are the two that would have my support.

Congratulations to the Mint artists on a great portfolio.

MR. MORAN: Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Thank you. Mike?
MR. MORAN: This is Mike Moran. I was
particularly taken by the image of number six. To me, it struck a note that is what $I$ envision an Olympian coin or medal to look like. I also like 13 and 14, but I prefer 13 over 14 because the Memorial Coliseum to me is more recognizable in that presentation than it is in 14. That's it.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Peter? DR. VAN ALFEN: I'm happy -- this is Peter Van Alphen. I'm happy to agree with Mike and the CFA. O-06, I think, would work well with adjustments to the waiting and $R-14$ or $R-13$.

DR. BROWN: 13.

DR. VAN ALFEN: 13. Yeah. So happy with those selections. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Harcourt?
DR. FULLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
This is Dr. Harcourt Fuller. I really, really like O04, because $I$ think it represents both cities well. And I will also endorse R-13. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Art?
DR. BROWN: This is Arthur Bernstein.
For me, a fundamental principle was that at least one
side of the coin, the medallion should spell out the names of both cities. And I mean spell out, I would like to see the words Los Angeles, not just LA. And I'd like to see Paris. And for that reason, I lean towards design four. I find it elegant, and you have the famous imagery of the two architectural features of each of the cities. For the other side of the medallion, I liked design nine. If we're featuring the names of the cities on one side of the medallion, I like the idea that the flags of the two nations would be on the other side of the medallion. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Donald?
MR. SCARINCI: First, very briefly, and in general, whatever is going on in the chief engraver's office, please keep doing it. This is a fabulous grouping. Not just in this set, but what we're seeing today is just a fabulous -- it's kind of fresh. I mean, that's the word I would describe it. So having said that briefly, and I could say a lot more about that, but good job. Good job, Joe. And I think number one is an opportunity not to be missed.

It does spell out -- it does name the two cities, which I agree is an important feature. It has to be on this piece somewhere. It's different. It's modern. I just think it combines sort of classical with the new. It achieves what you're looking to achieve. For those who think who like 0-04, just take another look at that before you vote, because that's really kind of collagey and if we're going to do -and if we're going to make a depiction of the two cities, we would be duplicating this if we go with the R-14, R-13, R-14, right? So I don't think you need to duplicate the reverse on the obverse. And it seems like everybody's going with either 13 or 14 for the reverse. So I would ask you to reconsider support against number four. As a kudo -- as a design kudo number five and number seven, you know, are just -- I just think stunning. You know, $I$ think the classical illusion in five, $I$ get a feeling we're going to see more of that, and that's all good. I don't like, you know, what's going on above it, but number seven is modern. It's sleek. It does unfortunately not have the names of the two cities, which I agree is, as, you
know, Art said, I agree that's an important design element. As to number six, $I$ don't see the fascination with it to be honest. I think it's kind of whimsical. It looks like a ballet dancer in classical garb carrying the Olympic torch. You know, I don't think ballet is an Olympic -- is a competition in the Olympics. I'm not sure, but I don't think it is. So I just don't see, you know, and then there's the rays, you know, kind of just there. So it's probably my least favorite, you know, of the ones we've talked about. And I'm sorry I spoke so long, but that's it.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Thank you for your thoughtful comments. We appreciate that. Let's move on to Kellen.

MR. HOARD: Thank you. I also thought 14 was a really compelling design. You know, I felt like it had a perspective, which is what we don't usually see on -- we usually see depictions on coins but being maybe not an immersive perspective. And I feel like when I'm looking at this, when I have that perspective, it's kind of an example of showing not
telling in many ways. And $I$ think it, you know, takes advantage of the three-inch space well. I think it uses its space effectively. I also was kind of surprised with myself that I liked one. I didn't think $I$ would, and at first glance, $I$ didn't. But in reflection, I do. The more I look, I feel that it is, you know, it's creative, it uses space well. It stands apart stylistically. It combines the classical and modern elements interestingly. I also want to give a shout out to seven. I thought seven was really, really kind of neat and did space well, but for the same reasons as Donald. I don't think I want to give it artistic credit, but maybe not necessarily have it be one of the options here, and it doesn't maybe pair stylistically with some of the other ones. And then also, I agree with Donald on four and six in terms of four kind of looking a little collagey for my taste, but still interesting. And six being fine, but just not maybe holding up to some of the other really fantastic designs in the portfolio. I might diverge a little bit in that $I$ would propose 14 be the obverse and 1 be the reverse. The reason being, like I said,

I think when I'm picking up a coin or a medal for the first time and $I$ see one that has a really established point of view or perspective, like this one, it really draws me into the piece and makes me want to look at it more closely. It makes me want to actually engage with that piece more. And $I$ think this design does that really effectively. It makes me want to pick up that piece from the table and look at it closely and turn it over to see what's on the back or on the reverse, which is itself another quite interesting design in one. One is interesting, but I don't feel like it draws me into the piece quite as much. So I feel we should have it on the medal, but really draw our viewers in, express our creativity, express this transition between cities with 14 as the obverse and one as the reverse. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Turn to Chris?

DR. CAPOZZOLA: All right. This is
Chris Capozzola. Again, I'm excited about this portfolio, and overall, I'm very excited about 13 and 14. I had been thinking about them as the reverse, but now, hearing Kellen, I'm not so sure. I would
lean a little more toward 14 because it's just a little bit cleaner. I think it successfully conveys from A to B, from Paris to Los Angeles, which is one of the tasks of this medal that I liked a lot. I also was very excited about one on the following grounds that this should be a coin that looks like it was -or a medal that looks like it was struck in 2024 , not in 1920 when the Paris Olympics happened, or 1932, and in Los Angeles, and this has a sort of much more modern and contemporary feel. I do have some concerns about number four. In particular, I felt that the angle of movement is in the wrong direction. It almost looks as going from Los Angeles to Paris rather than the other way around. And then just in terms of accuracy, the Eiffel Tower is not surrounded by trees, and Los Angeles appears twice here LA. Depending on what that symbol is on the top and Los Angeles at the bottom. So those are some concerns that I have there, but a lot of enthusiasm.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. John?
MR. SAUNDERS: Yes.
DR. BROWN: Colleagues, I must confess
that you all have articulated points of view that I embrace in some ways and others I do not. But I would probably give my vote for the obverse to be 14 and the reverse to be one for the reasons that have been articulated. Are there any additional comments or motions from members at this time?

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Arthur
Bernstein. I had one other comment $I$ forgot to make when $I$ first spoke, and at the risk of sounding like a grouchy old man, I was not supportive of design number one or two because to me, the words, Los Angeles, I'm assuming that's meant to emulate graffiti, which to me is a crime. And I didn't want to glorify the crime of graffiti.

MR. SCARINCI: If I may? Graffiti is
art.

DR. BROWN: Joe Menna?

MR. MENNA: Yes. Interesting topic to
bring up as Banksy's identity was just revealed yesterday in the press. Graffiti, yes, in the old days was definitely an act, but it is a form of protest that dates back to the Roman Empire. But
street art, which is not graffiti, which is graffiti legal, is ubiquitous across the country and one of the most prevalent and Avant-garde art forms out there. I'm not saying this embodies that, but just talking about street art in general, and I'm not trying to correct you or change your opinions that aren't validated. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you.
DR. FULLER: Mr. Chairman?

DR. BROWN: Yes, please. Harcourt?
DR. FULLER: This is Dr. Fuller. Yeah,
I just want to comment as well. I think that with many kind of, shall we say, popular styles of art, sometimes they start out one way in which they are unlawful, not really valued, and over time they become mainstream, whether we're talking about genres of music or art. And $I$ think that graffiti or street art has, you know, has been popular both in LA and other American cities and certainly in Paris. So I think that the connotation of graffiti or street art has drastically changed to an accepted and respected art form today. Thank you.

MS. STAFFORD: Chairman Brown, the chief engraver just reminded me to share with the committee this element, this type of element or approach. Street art, kind of a grittiness, was actually part of the input that was provided by our liaisons at the U.S. Olympic and Paralympic Property. So it was a response by the artists to that. DR. BROWN: I wonder if any of the liaisons would like to add any further comments based on what they've heard from the committee members?

MR. ZEYTOONJIAN: Sure. This is Peter Zeytoonjian, with U.S. Olympic Paralympic Properties. Thank you for your time. I tend to agree -- first, I would just say thank you for your time today. The Olympics have not been in the United States since 2002, four months after September 11. So a generation has not seen the Olympics in the United States. And what will happen at the end of the Los Angeles Games, Los Angeles, along with Paris and London, will be the only three cities to host an Olympic Games ever, both winter and summer. As it relates to the designs here, I personally tend to lean towards 13 and 14 and do
prefer a goddess of victory on whatever side is appropriate based on your knowledge here. As a relief to the street art comment, part of the emblem of the LA 28 Grant is actually around street art. And in Los Angeles, there's murals all over the city that are actually commissioned versus, you know, under bridges that people take pictures from. So it's part of the culture of LA.

DR. BROWN: Thank you.
MR. SCARINCI: Mr. Chairman. If I can just say one brief thing about street art. I happen to collect pictures of street art. It is all over the country. It's international. It's totally something, you know, very modern, very us, very 21 st Century, even though it's been around since -- as the chief engraver says, since ancient times. And I'd like to even see more of this kind of thing on future designs, so I encourage it. I hope the rest of the committee will have more discussions about street art, I'm sure, you know, in private conversations that don't relate to any coins, but $I$ encourage it. I think it's brilliant to use it in this context, you know, and in
this form. It's just very American.
DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let me suggest something for the committee to consider. As from a process standpoint. We'd like to really be clear about what we expect on one side of this medal and the other side of this medallion, rather -- and the other side. So let me suggest that as we score, that we are clear about what's the obverse and what is the reverse. So we're going to make sure that when we add the score sheet, modify it to be able to accomplish that. So if there's any question? Please? Just make sure you ask Joe. So let me do this before I go do that about the scoring. Let me come back to a chief and waiver.

MR. MENNA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Menna (inaudible) 13 or 14. I just wanted to add a comment regarding $I$ think it was brought up that the CFA recommended zooming in so that the Forum could be seen more. And $I$ understand the literal utility of that. But from a compositional standpoint, the way the artist has made the Forum carry over the Arc de Triomphe's horizontal along the bottom, that serves as
the pediments for the two sculptural compositions, it gives you a strong horizontal. And the way the Forum points up towards the logo arc framed by the arc of the arc, to say it like that, $I$ think there is a structural integrity to this that adding a literal kind of photographic or cinematic zoom in, it would lose the structural integrity. I think it's more solid. I think as a designer, the committee might consider that it may be more solid like this. And that's just my perspective, and I'm not trying to change anyone's opinion.

MS. STAFFORD: And it's a three-inch planchette.

MR. MENNA: Yeah. It's a three inch -this is like a Congressional Gold Medal size. This is going to read just beautifully. I mean, the Coliseum, the form is the one with Richard (inaudible) Miller's. I mean, with Richard what's his name's. Horrible sculptures on top of it.

DR. BROWN: So let me come back to the process as we go forward, because I saw the hand of Dennis -- I think of Chris, Dennis.

DR. CAPOZZOLA: My question was
answered. I have no questions.
MR. TUCKER: My question was answered as well. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: John, do you want to weigh in on this in terms of your view of these candidate designs?

MR. SAUNDERS: I just got on the thing. I'm not quite sure how $I$ did it. I've got somebody from my office on the way to my house to set up teams. I've been trying to log on through Zoom and your IT department is trying to tell me how to get Teams and I don't think you'll get it. Where are we, Dr. Brown? I'm lost.

DR. BROWN: I tell you what, John, we'll come back to you perhaps on the next one --

MR. SAUNDERS: That'd be fine.

DR. BROWN: -- if you don't mind?
MR. SAUNDERS: That'd be great.

DR. BROWN: So just as a reminder, my colleagues from a process going forward of the scoring that we want to make sure that we indicate clearly on
the score sheet what is the obverse and the score with respect to that and what is the reverse. How do we do that? From a compilation standpoint, I'm not sure how to do that?

MR. WEINMAN: This is Greg Weinman, maybe as a point of convenience maybe one possibility is score and then have a subsequent conversation about what would make a good offer. Otherwise, I won't be able to capture the points properly.

MS. STAFFORD: Yeah.
DR. BROWN: Any reservation or objection to that approach? Hearing none. Seeing none. Then committee will now score the candidate designed for the National Medal for the use of a 2028 Los Angeles Summer Olympic Games handover medallion during the closing ceremony for the 2024 Paris Summer Olympics. Please provide your complete score sheets to Greg Weinman, counsel to the CCAC who will tally them and present the results.

MS. WARREN: And this is Jennifer
Warren. For those remote please send them to Greg Weinman on his email. Are we going into a five-minute
recess, sir?
DR. BROWN: Can we take five minutes,
please? Recess for five minutes.
(Off the record.)
MS. WARREN: Back to you, Dr. Brown.
DR. BROWN: We are back. I now
recognize Greg Weinman to present the scoring results. MR. WEINMAN: Good morning. With nine members scoring, that means the highest possible score is 27. So out of possible 27, design number one received 23 points making it tied for a high scoring design. Design number two received three points. Number three received three. Number four received seven. Design number five received four points. Design number six received 13. Design number seven received seven points. Design number eight received two. Design number nine received five. Design number 10 received one. Design number 11 received one. Design 13 received 17. And Design 14 also received 23. So the two high scoring designs are LA 01 and LA 28 Design 14 with the --

MR. MORAN: I'm good with that.

MR. WEINMAN: So with that, you may recommend you entertain a motion to start?

DR. BROWN: Obvious reason. Mike?

MR. MORAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. Mike

Moran. I move that we accept these two designs as the obverse and reverse first motion. Second motion is that we treat 01 as the obverse and 14 as the reverse.

DR. BROWN: Is there second on the motion?

DR. VAN ALFEN: Peter Van Alfen, second.

MR. HOARD: And that's on the first motion or --

DR. BROWN: Can you repeat the motion?

MR. MORAN: No. First motion is to accept the two designs chosen as number 1 and number 14. That's the first motion.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Is there a second on that motion?

DR. VAN ALFEN: Peter Van Alfen, second.

MR. MORAN: And the second motion is
that we treat number 1 as the obverse. Number 14 is the reverse. DR. BROWN: Okay. My apologies.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Second.
DR. BROWN: A second. Oh, let's take the first motion. All those in favor, aye.

GROUP: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Any opposed? Any
abstentions? First motion carries.
Let's go to the second. motion. All those in favor, aye.

GROUP: Aye.
MR. HOARD: Are we able to speak on the motion first to discuss the motion?

DR. BROWN: You certainly may. Please. Thank you.

MR. HOARD: I would -- so this is
Kellen Hoard. I would support actually having 14, as I mentioned earlier, as the obverse rather than the reverse. As I said previously, you know, I'll keep it short. I think the 14 what it does really effectively is it draws you into the medal with a certain perspective, is that it's a piece that, you know, kind
of with the traditional CCAC lens that you want to pick up off the table or that if you're handing it over to, you know, from mayor to mayor, it's something that when you see it firsthand, it feels like there's that transition, like there's that continuity. It feels like it's a piece that's compelling, that shows up really nicely on the three-inch medal, and that allows you to kind of dive into all the detail that it has on that obverse.

And then you turn to the reverse because you're intrigued by the obverse and you're able to see further imagery. You're able to see the cities more explicitly laid out. You're able to see a transition from more of a classical style to more of a modern style. And it feels like this is kind of the momentum of the piece, is that you're actually turning the coin to experience a different design elements and going from kind of almost a detailed, heavy piece to a more simple piece. For that reason, I really think that it's worth having 14 as the obverse, because, again, it really makes you feel like you're actually immersed in the medal, and that's exactly what we want
to achieve through our obverse medallions. Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Any
further comments on the motion?
MR. TUCKER: This is Dennis Tucker.

Thank you, Mr. Chair. I have to disagree with that.
I actually find number one to be the most compelling and visually arresting design of the two. I approached this almost the way we would look at a Congressional Gold Medal, where the obverse is typically more active. We think of that as being the verb of the medal, and then the reverse is allowed to be more static, the noun, if you will. And I think that if we call it $0-01$ and $R-14$ accomplishes that. I do think that 1 is something that would attract your eye held at arm's length. It's innovative, it's bold, it is intriguing. And 14 is also a very attractive design, but you turn it over and can spend some time with that as well on the reverse. So I like Mike's motion. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Any further comments on the motion? Hearing none. Seeing none. Now we're speaking to the motion that Michael said.

All those in favor, aye.
GROUP: Aye.
DR. CAPOZZOLA: That is 1 is $0-01, R-$ 14.

DR. BROWN: That's correct.
DR. CAPOZZOLA: All right.
DR. BROWN: Any opposed?
DR. CAPOZZOLA: Nay.
DR. BROWN: Any abstentions? The motion carries. Are there any other further motions? None. And if all discussion has concluded, I'd like to take this time to thank our stakeholders because this has certainly been an interesting and we know this will be an enjoyable experience that we'll get to observe when the handover takes place. Thank you so much, Peter and Taylor, for attending.

We will now review the reverse candidate designs for the 2025 American Innovation \$1 coin program honoring innovation in Arkansas. April Stafford, Chief of the Mints Office of Design Management, will introduce the program and present the candidate designs.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you. First, some background on this program. It is Public Law 115-197, the American Innovation Dollar Coin Act that requires the Secretary of the Treasury to mint and issue dollar coins with the reverse design honoring innovation or innovators from each of the 50 states, the territories, and the District of Columbia. In accordance with the act, the Mint worked with the governors of each state to be honored in 2025 to develop design concepts for the coins. These concepts have been approved by the Secretary of the Treasury. The first two states to be recognized in 2025, Arkansas and Michigan, are presented here. The remaining two, Florida and Texas, will be presented in early 2024. As always, the governors were asked to propose between one to three design concepts and artists created designs based on the concepts proposed and subsequently approved by the Secretary. The Mint collaborated with liaisons and experts from each state in developing the following candidate design. The obverse design for this program will remain the same as in previous years and will contain a unique gear
shaped privy mark to distinguish this year's coins. So we have one concept from Arkansas, and that is Raye Montague. Some background on this incredible innovator. Raye Montague, born on January 24th, 1935, in Little Rock, Arkansas, was an American naval engineer and innovator of computer aided design of naval ships. She's credited with the first computer generated rough draft of a naval ship for the United States Navy. After graduating high school in 1952, she longed to major in engineering, but racism and misogyny prevented her from pursuing a degree in the field. In 1956, she graduated from the Arkansas Mechanical and Normal College, now The University Of Arkansas at Pine Bluff historically Black University with a degree in business. That same year, she moved to the Washington, DC area and was hired by the United States Navy as a clerk typist. With her desk next to the engineering station, she would observe the engineers and fill in when they were out. Her desire to rise in the ranks drove her to take computer classes at night while she continued to learn on the job. In 1971, she developed the first automated
system for selecting and printing ship specifications and became the first person ever to design a United States Navy ship using a computer. It would typically take two years to create a design of a ship on paper. Nevertheless, due to the urgency of her task, which came directly from President Nixon during the height of the Vietnam War, she was given only one month to design the first draft for the Oliver Hazard Perry class-frigate. She finished the design in under 19 hours. In 1972, the navy awarded Montague its meritorious civilian service award for her groundbreaking work. She was inducted into the Arkansas Black Hall of Fame in 2013 and the Arkansas Women's Hall of Fame in 2018. The required inscriptions on these designs are United States of America and Arkansas. We are very pleased to have with us today as Representatives, David Montague, son of Raye Montague. He's also the Associate Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs and professor of Criminal Justice and Criminology at the University of Arkansas at Little Rock. We also worked with Blake Wintory, who is at the Arkansas Heritage Museum, and

I'd like to ask David Montague to say a few words to the committee, if you wouldn't mind.

MR. MONTAGUE: Hopefully my mic is working. Can you hear me okay?

MS. STAFFORD: Yes. Thank you.
MR. MONTAGUE: Great. First of all, thank you so much for that wonderful introduction. And to the group assembled here as the son of Raye Montague, someone who grew up observing her and her career and then later what she did try to inspire others based on her experiences of overcoming obstacles. I cannot tell you how much this honor means to me and how much it would mean to so many others in our state and beyond to have her selected for being honored on the dollar coin. I briefly just want to say, in addition to the accolades that were mentioned about her career, I think what a lot of people need to understand about her is that she overcoming those obstacles was never a bitter person. She used them to try to open doors for others along the way during her career that lasted 33-and-a-half years in the Navy and did become a professional
engineer as well as a registered professional engineer in Canada. And she used those opportunities to also do the same type of inspiration of others in her retirement. So I think that this coin certainly represents not only her commitment to our country, because she certainly was very proud to protect our sailors and Marines and building ships, but certainly goes a long way to continuing her legacy and her dream to inspire others far beyond her passing, which happened in 2018. Thank you again.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you so much. And just for everyone's awareness, when we move through the portfolio of candidate designs, we will just simply introduce them by number. I want to assure everyone the committee has received in advance the design descriptions for each of the designs, and we'll be sure to make those available and they'll be entered into record.

All right. So we will move on to the candidate design. We have first design one. This design is the secondary preference of the family, with consideration or suggestion that should this be
recommended to the secretary that the clothes be updated to be more reminiscent of what her family remembers her wearing most often, that would be office attire.

Design two, this is the first preference of the family. It is also the recommendation of the Commission of Fine Arts. I should note that this program has a requirement to avoid head and shoulder portraits. We realized late in the development process that this design would need to be amended in some way to either include a hand or include more torso. That actually was part of the recommendation of the CFA. We shared that same requirement with them, and they recommended that this be adjusted so that Raye Montague is pulled out and you see more of her torso. And we, of course, are ready -- our chief engraver is ready to speak to that should you want information about a vision for how this might be adjusted.

Designs three and three A and design
four, that concludes the candidate designs, Chairman. DR. BROWN: Thank you so much.

MR. WEINMAN: Real quickly, Dr. Brown, if I could. For the record, I wanted to introduce my colleague, Liz Young, who is the project counselor for the America Innovation Service. She's in the (inaudible)

DR. BROWN: Outstanding. To the committee, are there any technical legal questions that you have about this program or this design?

MR. HOARD: Sorry. This is Kellen
Hoard. For the Head and Shoulders requirements, do all the other designs decide to meet that requirement or they're not considered head and shoulders?

MS. STAFFORD: They do.
MR. HOARD: Okay. Thank you.
MR. TUCKER: Maybe this would be -this is Dennis Tucker. Maybe we could ask Joe at this point to comment on that potential redesign of number two.

MR. SCARINCI: Point of order, why don't we just wait and see if there's any passion for the Lilliputian design number two?

DR. BROWN: I think in light of the
fact that it's favored by the fact the liaison as well as the CFA, it may make sense to get that now. DR. CAPOZZOLA: Okay.

DR. BROWN: I do appreciate your -MS. WARREN: And, Dr. Brown, after
that, Mr. Saunders has his hand up.
DR. BROWN: John, do you have a question about legal or architectural issues?

MR. SAUNDERS: Yeah. On three, there's a dial at the top with numbers 7820 and 19 there. What does that mean?

MS. STAFFORD: That is a reference by the artist to her groundbreaking work in creating the first draft in under 19 hours.

MR. SAUNDERS: Okay. Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Thank you. Joe, could you speak to the issue about the body, the torso?

MR. MENNA: Yes, Mr. Chairman. This is
Joseph Menna. Mr. Montague, I think the way the requirement could be honored would be by the foreground. Not to contradict myself as I spoke about the Olympic being literal, zooming in or zooming out
here compositionally. If the ship and kind of digital plane that it is resting on, representing both water and CAD design were reduced in scale you could also pull Ms. Montague's portrait out a little bit, make her a little bit smaller. By doing both in tandem in a way that's balanced, I believe the requirements for not having a double headed coin could be met without the addition of a hand or something that could perhaps make the boat look like a toy or something less noble as this composition presents it. I think it could be very easily affected.

DR. BROWN: Any other comments or questions on committee regarding technical or legal issues? Hearing none. Then let us begin our consideration of this program. And I'd like to begin with offering some comments myself. As much as I do see the value in the preferences by Dr. Montague as well as the CFA, I sort of like smiling faces, as the (inaudible) has been recognized from my history. But I like both of these designs and for a number of reasons. One, this presents another opportunity for us to salute the underreported accomplishments of
women. Two, that its production in 2025 will be a wonderful complement to the five women to be celebrated in the last year of the American Women's Quarter Program. Three, this accomplishment is even more significant. I had the opportunity to do some Internet searching, Dr. Montague, I saw a picture of your mother holding you around the time that she accomplished this major feat. So I found that phenomenal. And this is a story before us in the month in which we are celebrating veterans. The last reason is a personal one. Her accomplishment occurred in the year $I$ was deployed in Vietnam, so I'm overly thankful for the fact of what she has done. So for that reason, $I$ do favor your preference as well as there are for one. We move to Dr. Fuller.

DR. FULLER: Thank you -- excuse me -Thank you, Dr. Brown. I want to highlight that this is one of the great privileges of serving as a member of the CCAC is that we always have an opportunity about, you know, learning about great Americans that most people probably have not heard about. It always educates us, and it always puts a smile on my face
when I learn about, again, great Americans that have made great contributions to this country. And with that said, $I$ do like the family's preference of 02 , but $I$ also like 01 as well. And if $I$ may, $I$ just want to say something very briefly about 01. Again, this image shows her as very warm, very approachable, and it also highlights her, you know, her contribution to naval history. So I will give this some due consideration, but again, I will go with the family's preference. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Peter?
DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. This is Peter Van Alfen. I'm very happy to support the family's first preference for number two, along with the recommendations suggested by Joe Menna and the CFA. I think that this is quite attractive design, and thank you very much.

DR. BROWN: Art?
MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Arthur

Bernstein. I also support the family's preferences. I think 01 is my second place and 02 is my first place. I particularly like the grid pattern over the

C relating to the computer assisted design. I thought that was very effective. I had one technical comment or suggestion. I noticed all the other designs use -differentiate the lettering. Arkansas is in one style of lettering, and Raye Montague's name is in a different style. In design two, where many of us are leaning, it's the same style of lettering. And I just wondered if there should be -- I forget, Joe, what you call that little dot.

MR. MENNA: Delimiter.
MR. BERNSTEIN: Delimiter. Whether there should be some sort of delimiter between Arkansas and Raye. It just sounds like -- to me it looks like it's one name. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Mike?
MR. MORAN: This is Mike Moran. I have a question first for Mr. Montague. Mr. Montague, we have two really different images of your mother here. One when she's obviously older, and another which looks to be more current with when she actually did the design order. My preference would be for number two in terms of the quality of the design. But are
you comfortable with that image of your mother that's shown in number two as opposed to number one?

MR. MONTAGUE: Can you hear me? Yes. So the question is, am I comfortable with the image of my mother in AR 02 --

MR. MORAN: Yes.
MR. MONTAGUE: -- more so -- yes, I am. And it's -- as I told Russ, who's actually fantastic to work with during this process, the image in number two reminds me more of her. I mean, it really looks like her in real life to me. And I like the fact that of her looking over the ship with that image. And it reminds me of when she and $I$ would do coin collecting when $I$ was a child. So it really does bring back a lot of memories. I also showed that one to my child -- I'm sorry -- college students. And she agreed with me as well. So, yes, the answer is that $I$ do like the image in number AR 02 more.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, Dr. Montague. MR. MONTAGUE: You're welcome.

DR. BROWN: Kellen?
MR. HOARD: Thank you. I've been a
little bit persuaded here. At first, I was more in favor of image one. I'm like you, Dr. Brown, I like it when they're smiling. I think that's nice to look at. I think it's an interesting design. I like how they put the text alongside or how they oriented the text there. I thought they communicated a bunch of things interestingly and clearly and explicitly. But you all have gotten me, you know, pretty much tied also with design two there. And design two is fine for me as well, pending, you know, maybe a shift in the perspective on the head and shoulders. Specifically, one of my concerns there, and it sounds like that might address it, is she a little bit to me looks like a giant looking over the horizon and kind of coming up from the globe to come look at this design, and it was a little disconcerting for me. But if we're able to adjust the head and shoulders a little bit, $I$ think that'd be fine. So, yeah, I'm fine with one or two.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Turn to
Donald?

MR. SCARINCI: Listen, I really like

Jonathan Swift, and I really enjoyed the series of little people. I think it was the Land of the Giants was the series in the 60 s and 70 s . And, you know, this is just -- she looks like she's about to eat the ship, right? I mean, you know, and she's more menacing than -- she's more of a menacing figure to the people on the poor ship. And, you know, at least they're not depicted. But this is just a loss -- I don't really like any of these designs with portraits for this particular series. I think this might be a great quarter, you know, in the Women's Quarter series. But as far as an innovation dollar, you know, this is just a huge lost opportunity to have a creative design using computer, you know, using a computer image of a ship. You know, I just think there's so many other things that could have been done here and to make this a great coin instead, this is ho hum, and I'm sure $I$ have great confidence in the engraver's office, they will fix this so it doesn't look like a lilliputian situation, you know, but $I$ think all these designs are horrible. I'm not voting for any of them. And $I$ hope we don't have to listen
to portraits and portraits and portraits on this series as well. I know everybody wants a portrait, but, you know, this really isn't the place for it. It does a disservice, not a service, and I'm opposed to it, and I'm voting zero. And now I'll just take my break.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. As the public will, in fact, appreciate that the committee has a sense of humor from time to time. Chris?

DR. CAPOZZOLA: I'm happy to support number two for all the same reasons that have been offered in the past. I do think, you know, I appreciate that although she is not depicted there at the age when she did this work, we're recognizing a lifetime of innovation and contribution to Arkansas and not just one 19-hour segment of it. So I will leave it at that. Although I suppose I'll add a brief comment to our previous comments. But I also do would encourage in the series going forward, thinking as creatively as possible about innovation (inaudible) The artists will be well qualified to tackle that, and I have no doubt that (inaudible)

DR. BROWN: Joe?
MR. MENNA: I would just say oftentimes
in this program in particular, as we've discussed in the past, the Innovators are as much in many cases, the Innovators accomplishments are as much of an innovation, given the unique challenges that they face, to do these things at these times. So I think that's why sometimes the artists present these images just for consideration.

DR. BROWN: Dennis?
MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr. Brown. This is Dennis Tucker. And thank you, Joe, for that comment and my colleagues for this conversation. I think it's touched on some important themes and questions about this program, and we've talked about some of these before, the ideas of representation, especially for minority innovators, and the importance of being seen, and in our case, being seen on American coins. I think that's important. For this particular portfolio, $I$ was actually drawn to $R-04$ because this is one that shows Mrs. Montague in action and in the act of creation. So $I$ thought that this was a strong
design and appealing for those reasons. For R-02, Mike, thank you for bringing up the question of the age she's depicted at. And Chris, thank you for your comments. And to David Montague, thank you for your insight on that. She does not look like the age that she would have been when she was doing this work on the design of this frigate, but it makes sense that this also represents a lifetime of achievement. So I wonder to David Montague if you could speak a moment about your preference of $R-02$ over $R-04$ and if $R-04$ was ever in the running and your thoughts on that particular design?

MR. MONTAGUE: Yes, so when we -- and I do want to say, I do appreciate all the designs and the work that was put into them. And I do see different things that $I$ like about all of them. Obviously, AR 02, and the first one, AR 01 were my favorites. I did like AR 04, and my family did as well, in the sense that it's the same type of direction you were talking about in terms of some of the background. Now, the tractor feed paper that's showing in the background of that image, that is the
type of paper that was used in printers during that time. You know, the older fashioned keyboard just there, I'm glad it didn't show a huge monitor, which is, but back then, the computers were integrated into huge machines. They weren't separate standalone items at that time. The background material itself, I think, looks really good. The image of her in that one really struck me as not even resembling her to me. And that was kind of the main issue that $I$ had with it, is the fact that it didn't even look like her, you know, and so, that's not an insult to the artist in any way. It's just compared to the others. When I looked at that, it looks more like my Aunt Gladys, which is a relative of mine in that sense. You know, I used to call Aunt Gladys here in Arkansas. She was very inspirational and did inspire my mother. She was a very strong woman. But honestly, I like the background. But to be succinct, the image itself is what threw me off of her.

MR. TUCKER: Well, if I could follow up on that, then. And by the way, is it Mr. Montague or Dr. Montague?

MR. MONTAGUE: I go by David. It's technically Dr. Montague, but I answer to whatever. MR. TUCKER: Thank you. I prefer the theme of four, the act of creation. I appreciate number two, where she's observing her creation. That's an important thought. But there's something for me, it's more compelling to see her in the act of creation, the act of engineering and innovation. So for me, the composition of four is more compelling. If the portrait of her in four could be improved upon, would that be -- would you prefer that over two?

MR. MONTAGUE: I'd say I'd be fine either way. To be honest with you, my preference was ones that were presented to me and to my family. Number 02 really looks the most like her. And there's something special about the design of the frigate is being so clear in 02, you know, the Oliver Hazard Perry. And I've been to know the Dahlgren, you know, the Support Facility in Virginia and to the Navy Yard, and I've got to meet some of the people who served on the Oliver Hazard Perry. And then having been a kid, you know, in the model basin in Carderock, Maryland,
and running around and seeing all those things, when I saw the design of the frigate in 02 , it most clearly represented something very large and grand and scale. And I like the fact that even though, and I agree with your comments in number four, the $R-04$, that there's a lot of technical detail in there, when I thought about 02, to go back to that for a hot second, please. The grandness of the scale of the frigate, especially the fact that it's resting on the ocean that is designed from a computer system, and then my mother overlooking that process is kind of what struck me immediately. And it was something that one of the other participants here said today that I hadn't really thought about, is looking back at a lifetime of work. And that's something that it wasn't in the front of my mind at the time, but all those factors together, that's the reason why 02 really struck me more. I do like the R-04. From a technical standpoint, I agree. Showing her in action is really nice. This is something, and I don't know what it would look like if they were able to put that image in 02 on $04, R-04$. But 02 just hits me as it looks like what $I$ recall and
remember from the love of my being a coin collector that my -- I mentioned aunt Gladys, her husband, Uncle Jone -- my great uncle. I didn't have any aunts or uncles, only child of (inaudible) And then later, my mother and $I$ would do as coin collecting in Maryland growing up while she was in the Navy. And so that's why it brings back so many positive memories. And it's something that $I$ think people would find interesting when they start searching, well, who is this Arkansas Raye Montague person? And to me, the graphic design and the grandest, that's what stood out. So it's the image and the grandness of the ship itself with the design of the sea that really struck me the most. But number 04 reverse is quite compelling as well. Just the image of her just really does not fit for me. DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr. Montague. MR. MONTAGUE: You're welcome. MR. TUCKER: Wonderful insight. I appreciate that. And that helps me. I think that steers me more towards two. Thank you. Thank you,

Dr. Brown.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Harcourt? MR. MONTAGUE: You're very welcome. DR. FULLER: Thank you, Dr. Brown. This is Dr. Harcourt Fuller. I guess what I'm about to say is probably pointless because $I$ was going to opine on what Dennis said. But, Dr. Montague, I hear the passion in your voice with respect to 02 and that's meaningful to me because it's meaningful to you. So, again, I will respect your decision on that. But in any case, $I$ did want to go back to 04 , if $I$ may. And I agree with Dennis. When I look at this design, again, this is very clear. I see her designing a ship using a computer. And to me, that is so inspirational to a lot of people to see her design a naval ship for this time period. But again, Dr. Montague, $I$ understand what you're saying about the portrait of her. And so, again, I will respect the family's choice of 02, but these are all great designs. I think people will learn about this wonderful woman once this, you know, this coin is minted. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. MR. MONTAGUE: Thank you. DR. BROWN: John?

MR. SAUNDERS: First of all, I'd like to echo somebody else's comment that being part of this committee lets us learn about a lot of interesting people and great people that we might not have learned about otherwise and very glad to learn about Raye Montague. In terms of designs, I agree with Dennis. I like number four because it shows what she did. I mean, number two, it's not clear what happened there. Number four shows me that she's actually designing ship. So it tells the story, I think, a little bit better, but maybe artistically it's not quite as good. People will look up the story anyway. I also like design number one. This goes back to the days when $I$ first learned to program a computer that had a punch card on it. Remember, one of the great fears is dropping a stack of punch cards when they went to paper tape with punch tapes. A few years later, it was so much better because you could never drop your cards and get them out of order. So I
like the design. I like the fact it has a punch card that shows how difficult things were to do on a computer back then. Partly apologize for being late here. My people had me set up on Zoom. I was trying to download Teams. Your people walked me through how to do it. The one thing I didn't know was you push the cloud button. That's a download. Usually it says download on other programs. And so even though I -back in the 60s, could write code, I can't use a computer today. So I apologize for my latency. So the story, I like one and four best. I do like the artistic design of two. I kind of agree with something else. Maybe her smiling in it as opposed to kind of just a straight face would be nicer. But I guess I'm in a position. I like all three of those too. Kind of different reasons for each one. But I do like the punch card on there, I mean, that's going back to the days that shows, besides the other things, what somebody designing something on a computer had to overcome. So thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let me just also share with the public that we've had these
conversations about innovator versus innovation, and that that's something for which we've come to appreciate. It's been guided by the legislation that we are here actually to actually fulfill what a state says, in fact, represents their innovation for that state. And the other thing I'd like to share with the committee and as well as with the public, my 90-yearold mother, when $I$ show her coins, she sees them and she says to me, what does this mean? And I asked her, what do you mean? If she sees a face, then she says that's something that means something to her as opposed to something that doesn't have a portrait. Look at it from another way, from a child. You can't be what you can't see. So it makes sense from time to time that we clearly identify the portrait associated with the person who's made this phenomenal innovation. Thank you so much for your courtesy.

We're going to move right along now to actually -- ask Mike or Joe if you have any additional comments you'd like to open.

MR. COSTELLO: I have no additional comments.

MR. MENNA: I have none, sir.
DR. BROWN: The committee will now score the reverse candidate designs for the 2025 American Innovation $\$ 1$ coin honoring innovation in Arkansas. Colleagues, each of you have received the score sheets. When you are done, please provide them to Greg Weinman, who will tally the scores and present them back to us once he gets through with them. Let's consider a five-minute break. Five-minute break. (Off the record.) DR. BROWN: We are back and recognize Greg Weinman.

MR. WEINMAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. With 10 members scoring, that means the potential score is 30. So these scores are out of 30. Design number one received 16 points out of 30 . Design number two received 24 points out of 30 , making it the high scoring design. Design number three received two points. Design number three A received four points, and design number four received 13 points. So once again, design two is the clear favorite with 24 of the possible 30 points.

DR. BROWN: Okay. Is there a motion?
MR. HOARD: This is Kellen Hoard. I move that we adopt design two with the modifications that we discussed in terms of the head and shoulders to ensure that it meets all guidelines.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Peter Van Alfen, second.

DR. BROWN: Any discussion on the motion?

DR. CAPOZZOLA: This is Chris
Capozzola. I would endorse what Art Bernstein said earlier. There's a delimiter between Arkansas and Montague.

DR. BROWN: It's a friendly amendment to the motion; do you accept that?

MR. HOARD: Yeah.
MS. WARREN: John Saunders has his hand up.

DR. BROWN: John?
MR. SAUNDERS: I was just agreeing to make the same comment and I think there should be something between the two of them. And I think we
should consider if we have a portrait with a smile to it, we make -- consider a smile. But I'm happy with it the way it is too.

DR. BROWN: Any questions about that?
Any further comments about the motion? Hearing none, all those in favor, signify by saying, aye.

GROUP: Aye.
MR. SCARINCI: I abstain, Mr. Chairman.
MR. MORAN: Just because.

DR. BROWN: All those opposed, please signify it by saying, nay. And we have one abstention. Thank you. Motion carries. Any further motions on this program? Hearing none. See none. As all discussion on this program has concluded, I would again like to thank and take a moment to thank Dr. Montague for what you have provided to us. It has been invaluable.

And for the record, I also like to note that this is one of less than 20 circulated or commemorative coins that have recognized a scientist or scientific accomplishment since the establishment of the 1792 mint.

MR. MONTAGUE: Thank you. Thank you for allowing me to participate in this process. And I'm just overwhelmed right now.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Have a great remainder of the day.

MR. MONTAGUE: Thank you. You all too. Bye bye.

DR. BROWN: We will now begin consideration of the candidate designs for the Flowing Hair Gold Coin and Silver Medal. April Stafford, Chief of the Mint's Office of Design Management, will introduce the program and present the candidate designs.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you. The Flowing Hair Dollar designed by then chief engraver Robert Scott, was the first dollar coin struck by the United States federal government with the first minting on October 15, 1794. In 2024, the Mint will offer a 1 ounce 24 karat gold coin and 1 ounce silver medal to mark the 130th anniversary of this first mintage. Both the coin and medal will feature the 1794 Flowing Hair $\$ 1$ obverse and reverse design. To honor the
original, the mint will follow the same minimal inscriptions on the next 1794 Flowing Hair Dollar coin on both the gold coin and silver medal. The original edge inscribed nomination will also be included on the gold coin. The mint presented these designs to the Commission of Fine Arts on October 19. The renders presented faithfully recreated the original 1794 coins. After review, the CFA requested the mint to also present for comparison these designs with slight revisions to address alignment concerns on the obverse and reverse. The updated portfolio you'll consider today includes both the original renders that are faithful to the 1794 coins and revised renders per the CFA's request. Note that this offering would be separate from the American Liberty Biennial Program. So starting with the obverse, this image of the proposed 2024 obverse --

MS. WARREN: We're not sharing.
MS. STAFFORD: There we go. This image of the proposed 2024 Flowing Hair Dollar, 24 karat gold coin and silver medal obverse presents the original 1794 silver dollar with an updated 2024 date
and retains the Liberty inscription. The portrait of Liberty faces right and is surrounded by 15 stars, representing the 15 states that had ratified the Constitution by 1794. As with the 1794 silver dollar, the silver medal, the gold coin will incuse the denomination on the edge of the coin. I apologize. As with the 1794 silver dollar that was originally created, the gold coin in 2024 will incuse the denomination on the edge of the coin as follows, 100 cents, $\$ 1$ or unit with decorations separating each word. Moving on to the revised version. This revised version slightly shifts the 2024 inscription to the right to center it between the stars at the bottom of the design. So I don't know if we can go back and forth easily between the original and the revised. Okay.

Moving on to the reverse. This image presents the proposed reverse design for both the silver medal and gold coin versions. As in the 1794 original, a laurel wreath surrounds an eagle with spread wings in the center. An early predecessor of the American heraldic eagle, the design also retains
the inscription United States of America. The revised version slightly shifts the inscription United States of America toward the border to create a bit more space between the inscription and the wreath. We could just quickly toggle between the very slight change and the revised. And I will just note, when the CFA reviewed these week before last at their November meeting, their recommendation was for the revised versions to move forward. That concludes the candidate designs.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Are there any --

MR. MORAN: I have a question for April.

DR. BROWN: Please.
MR. MORAN: This is Mike Moran. April, does this program have legs? Is there more to it than just the 1794 design rendition? More contemplated in terms of future issues that will follow this one.

MS. STAFFORD: So I believe that was part of the CCAC's recommendation; is that correct? That was read and shared in the October version, so
that has been shared internally. I can only speak presently to this particular issue. I do know that conversations are going to be taking place, though, about that recommendation.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Are there any other technical or legal questions from the committee about this program?

DR. FULLER: Mr. Chairman?
DR. BROWN: Yes, sir.

DR. FULLER: This is Dr. Fuller.
April, I also noticed that -- and I forget which is which, but $I$ think the original version was lighter or darker in shade because when you switched between both, one was lighter, and one was darker; is that a design feature or not?

MS. STAFFORD: Not a design feature. I'll ask Joe to comment on that.

MR. MENNA: Yeah. Dr. Fuller, just being done in different time periods, not expecting to go to a second version. The digital rendering environment was just a little different. It's just light and shade. They're the exact same basins, exact
same relief. It's really just a function of the lighting and the render. There's no difference outside of the aging --

DR. FULLER: Thank you.
MR. MENNA: -- of the inscriptions.
Yes, sir.
MS. WARREN: Dr. Brown, John has his hand up.

DR. BROWN: John?
MS. WARREN: You're muted, sir.
MR. SAUNDERS: I have a quick question.
Looking at the original versus revised, $I$ feel like I'm a bus dollar variety collector trying to find the differences. On the obverse, besides moving the stars further away from the date, is there any other difference between the revised and the original? MS. STAFFORD: No.

MR. SAUNDERS: Okay. Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Chris?
DR. CAPOZZOLA: Just a question. What
is the diameter of this that's contemplated and how does that relate to the original 1794 dimensions?

MS. STAFFORD: So the diameter -- Mike,
if you could --
MR. COSTELLO: This is Mike Costello. The diameter for the silver will be 1.6 inches. Off the top of my head, I do not know the original. It's definitely smaller.

MS. STAFFORD: Do you know the gold coin?

MR. COSTELLO: And the gold will be the 1.1, 1 believe, 1.1. (inaudible) No intention to match.

DR. CAPOZZOLA: That'd be 34
millimeters --
MR. COSTELLO: Yes.

MR. MENNA: If I can ask -- this is
Joseph Menna. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Chris, the other thing, what makes these things the main difference between the original and the relief, these coins (inaudible) the originals are flat, and these are going to be polished, so they're going to look crisper, and they look more bold. But otherwise, except the original versions with the inscriptions
where they were are absolutely faithful. Noetic corresponding to the to the original sculpt. To the original sculpt. The change inscriptions are not. Those are the only differences.

MS. STAFFORD: And a quick Internet search by our design manager indicates that the original diameter seemed to be 39 to 40 millimeters. DR. CAPOZZOLA: Thank you. MS. STAFFORD: Uh-huh. DR. BROWN: One other point (inaudible) This is going to be -- the gold is going to be high relief.

MR. MENNA: My understanding, and , Mike, correct me, basically the same with the Liberty program. The gold will be high relief. The silver would necessarily be low relief, bigger coin. Very, very much like the American Liberty Program in terms of relief dimensions --

DR. CAPOZZOLA: Thank you.
MR. MENNA: -- and planchettes. Yes, sir.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Any
other questions from the committee? Seeing none. Let's begin our consideration. Let's begin with Mike Moran.

MR. MORAN: Thank you. This is Mike Moran. Speechless for a change. No, I guess obviously, the only thing we're being asked to address at this point is whether we prefer the original or the revised. I don't know. There you go.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Thank you for your brevity. We appreciate that. Let's move on to Peter?

DR. VAN ALfen: Thank you, Dr. Brown. This is Peter Van Alfen. Since this coin, my understanding, is essentially an homage to the original 1794. I would prefer to maintain the quirkiness of the original 1794 issue and therefore would support the original rather than the revised. I think that the quirkiness does add some flavor, as it were to this homage and reissue, essentially, of the coin. So that's what I've got to say. Thanks.

DR. BROWN: Donald?
MR. SCARINCI: So there's a distinction
between a reproduction and a restrike, right? And the Mint archives have a great deal to offer to restrike a lot of our, you know, a lot of classic designs. And there's a draw of, you know, of patterns that can be restruck with beautiful designs. And for reproduction coins, I prefer to buy them from the Sunshine Mint. I mean, quite frankly, this is not a coin that $I$ will buy, you know, or have any interest in whatsoever. I understand, you know, collectors are clamoring for these old classics, but all we're doing here is reproducing. You know, we're just making reproductions. We're not -- these are reproductions, good reproduction, right? And if you're going to go with a reproduction, go with something that looks as close as possible to the original. So I would, you know, I also agree with Mike. I would support the original, but, you know, I'm not voting for this because I think it's a waste of people's money.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. We'll go on to Dennis?

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr. Brown.
This is Dennis Tucker. I think this will be popular
in silver, very popular and reasonably popular in gold. I think some of the going back and forth about the design is academic because these are, as Donald pointed out, renderings or interpretations rather than technological restrikes. But I do prefer the original as well if we're going to go down that road. I have no objection to the revised version, but I think the original is closer to the idiosyncrasy of the 1794 coin. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn to Art?

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Arthur
Bernstein. I find myself wavering between quirkiness and I don't know. I think I'm going to lean towards quirkiness.

DR. BROWN: Okay. John?
MR. SAUNDERS: The discussion whether the original or the revised seems to me almost unimportant and moot because while the design is clearly the 1794 \$5, it doesn't look anything like it. I mean, anybody that's looked at the coin, it's so stylistically different that it's nowhere close to the
original. So if you are going to be that much stylistically different, I don't think it matters whether you maintain the star closer to the date or not. I find that completely moot. But the main question is, do we want this new revised thing that has perfect detail, it shows it off in a way that technically they couldn't do in 1794. And in some ways I like it, in other ways I hate it. But I think that is the big question for any of the times we're using design is we want to use something that takes design but makes it where it doesn't look anything like it because of, you know, the ability to strike things differently now. So that's the question $I$ think we should be discussing. But if you make the changes -- you've made so many changes from the original to this, a couple more changes don't bother me at all.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Turn to
Kellen?
MR. HOARD: Thank you. I actually did have a technical question which $I$ forgot to mention earlier. Is this still going to be laser engraved
dyes?
MR. MENNA: Yes, these will be laser engraved master dye?

MR. HOARD: Master dye. Sure. I'm pretty much ambivalent on the difference between original and revised, but just kind of the committee generally pushing towards original. I think I'd head that way as well. To me, there's not really a reason to shift the date over or shift the reverse out toward the edge. So we might as well maintain the idiosyncrasies, and so, I'll be voting for original.

DR. BROWN: Harcourt?

DR. FULLER: Thank you, Dr. Brown. I'm indifferent as well. I think there is an argument to be made for both, although, I guess there is something to be said about using modern methods and modern technologies to, I guess you could say recalibrate, if you will, original designs. So I'm indifferent.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Turn to Chris?

DR. CAPOZZOLA: So those of you who are indifferent may wish to flip a coin. I share some of
this uncertainty. I will just observe, we may be setting precedent here by making certain choices if there are others, if this turns into a series or if the Mint contemplates other kind of issuance like that. So we should tread a little bit carefully. But otherwise I think I share some of the flight preference for the original design.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Mike?
MR. MORAN: This is Mike Moran again who is now going to get off the fence. I think if you continue this program to other designs that are in your library, your portfolio, so to speak, if you start to make modifications for aesthetic purposes, I think you quickly will run into too many issues. It's a lot easier to just stay with the original and let the original imperfections flow through. They're part of the essence of the design to begin with. As to the relief, I agree with Donald and with John that particularly the gold version and the high relief is not going to be very recognizable to a collector who can afford these coins. Most of us can't. I would therefore encourage that when you do the silver, gold
but silver, that you try and stay true to as much as you can to the original coin relief that was executed at the Mint in 1794.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. With respect to the comments from my colleagues here, I'm leaning in the direction that we stay as close to what the original is, recognizing that what we are having here is using modern technology to bring back a beloved design by many collectors. Based on that --

MR. MORAN: (inaudible) motion.
DR. BROWN: You want a motion?

MR. MORAN: Yeah, I'll make a motion --
-
DR. BROWN: I don't think we need to (inaudible) Please proceed with the motion.

MR. MORAN: I move that we recommend that the -- stick to the original designs.

DR. VAN ALFEN: And I often second.
DR. BROWN: Conversation now about the motion. First, let's go to our chief engraver.

MS. WARREN: John Saunders has his hand up.

DR. BROWN: Before we do that, you may have some more information from our chief engraver. MR. SAUNDERS: Just briefly, I'm not trying to endorse one or the other. But historical context, the letters, the way that this manifested two-dimensional designs in the obverse and reverse fully the original version. Changing the spacing of letter would be akin to -- stylistically, I understand what John's talking about, but that's just a function of the relief. This manifests the kind of naive, sculptural style of that period, which is embodied by sculptors like William Rush and others very fully. If you're going to change the letters, why not start modernizing the relief, too? This is not a full replica coin. This is not what we did with Peace and Morgan. This is atypical. The only real difference we made from the original because everything embodies the 2D fully is the edge lettering, because that functionally, we could not make the edge lettering as it was on the original and strike it successfully. So this is kind of an odd thing. It's not really a reproduction, but it's also not really -- it's not a
replica, rather, because that's a flat coin. But if you do want to, the two-dimensional fidelity to the original is fully attached. I would just submit. Thank you. Unnecessarily, perhaps.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. I've got a motion on the table. John, do you have a comment on the motion or something else you'd like to speak to?

MR. WEINMAN: You're muted, sir.

MS. WARREN: You're still muted.
MR. SAUNDERS: Yes, I have a comment on the motion and a friendly amendment to it. I understand what Joe's saying. This is something different than the original design, but following the lines of the original design, $I$ would like to see the Mint come back with a design that looks like the original and then voting between the two. I'm not sure that -- I don't like the concept of having the original made better as opposed to original. But I think if we're voting between two things, we should be voting between the original design that looks like the original design and the surrealistic update of the
original design that we have here. So I would suggest to Mike that we ask the Mint to give us those two choices and bring this back the next meeting.

DR. BROWN: Based on my understanding of what you're recommending, it doesn't seem to me that would be a friendly amendment. That would be something that's contrary to the motion. So you're speaking against the motion that Mike just offered, correct?

MR. SAUNDERS: No, I think he's saying we make (inaudible) of it.

DR. BROWN: He is not embracing of it. Any other comments or questions about the motion on the table? Hearing none. All those in favor of the motion --

MS. STAFFORD: Can you repeat the motion, please? Sorry.

MR. MORAN: Somebody repeat it for me. I move that we stay with the original. I think that's all I said --

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you.
MR. MORAN: -- as presented this
morning.
MS. STAFFORD: Thank you.

DR. BROWN: There being no other comments with respect to the motion on the table. All those in favor of the motion, please signify by saying aye.

GROUP: Aye.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Naye.
MR. SCARINCI: One abstention, Mr.

Chairman?
DR. BROWN: One abstention.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Any opposed? So, all in
favor except for one abstention. The motion carries.

It's going to be -- I think it's going to be still valuable to the Mint to do the score and just hand it in; is that fair?

MR. WEINMAN: It's not necessary.
DR. BROWN: Very good.

MS. WARREN: And this is Jennifer
Warren. Dr. Brown, we can move to the next portfolio that was due to come up after lunch because my
understanding is both of the liaisons are on and they said that would be good, so we could stay on track because we're about an hour ahead, if that's still good with the members?

DR. BROWN: Members?
MS. WARREN: If you want to take a
five-minute break, but --
DR. BROWN: Members, what should I do?
MR. BERNSTEIN: Let's plod on to the next --

DR. BROWN: The next five-minute break

MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. Let's take five minutes.

MS. WARREN: And then move to the next portfolio?

DR. BROWN: Yeah.
MS. WARREN: Okay.
DR. BROWN: Let's take a five-minute break. Five-minute recess.

MS. WARREN: So we'll be doing the U.S. Army Veterans World War II Congressional Gold Medal in
five minutes.

DR. BROWN: All right.
(Off the record.)
MS. WARREN: Okay. We are back. And I hand it off to Dr. Brown to move to the U.S. Army Rangers of Veterans of World War II Congressional Gold Medal.

DR. BROWN: Good afternoon. Still morning, sorry. Morning. We'll now move to review the reverse and obverse candidate designs for the Army Rangers of World War II Congressional Gold Medal. April Stafford, Chief of the Mint's Office of Design Management will introduce the program and present the candidate designs.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you. Some background on this program. Public Law 117-132 awards a Congressional Gold Medal to the United States Army Rangers Veterans of World War II in recognition of their extraordinary service during World War II.

In the United States, Rangers have existed since the 1700 s, but following the Civil War, no Ranger units were activated until World War II. In

1942 the U.S. Army formed the first of seven Ranger battalions from a pool of selected volunteers. The initial concept combined the British method of using highly trained "commando" units and the military tradition of the United States of utilizing light infantry units for scouting and raiding operations. In fact, the 1st Ranger Battalion received a doubleedged "Fairbairn-Sykes" commando knife, a symbol of the British Commandos, after completing their training with the Commandos in Scotland. The first combat operation occurred on August 19th, 1942, when 50 Rangers took part in the British-Canadian raid on the French coast. After many successful missions that resulted in the first of eight U.S. Presidential Unit Citations awarded to the Rangers, two additional Ranger battalions were organized in North Africa. These actions demonstrated that the Rangers possessed the fortitude to fight in difficult terrain and embodied the courage necessary to endure despite being outnumbered and exposed to heavy enemy fire. Colonel William O. Darby, the first U.S. WWII Ranger and the first commander of a WWII Ranger Battalion,
recognizing how crucial these American commandos would be to the war effort, pushed to expand the Rangers.

In April 1943, he requested additional soldiers trained in the Ranger concept of combat. With his request denied, Darby divided the 1st Ranger Battalion into three distinct units that formed the basis for a new Ranger Battalion: the reformed 1st Ranger Battalion, the new 3rd Ranger Battalion, and the new 4th Ranger Battalion. Additional soldiers were recruited from replacement personnel centers or depots. The 29th Provisional Ranger Battalion, formed from volunteers drawn from the 29th Infantry Division stationed in Tidworth Barracks, England, was activated on December 20th, 1942. Although they did not participate in any battalion or company-size combat operations, the $29 t h$ conducted smaller raids on the English Channel Islands and on the Norwegian coast. The unit was disbanded due to the formation of the 2 nd and 5th Ranger Battalions in Camp Forrest, Tennessee. The 6th Ranger Battalion operated in the Pacific theatre. Once formed, the six active-duty Ranger Battalions carried out successful and heroic missions
throughout the North African, European, and Pacific theatres. One of the most celebrated missions of the 2nd Ranger Battalion was their climb up the cliffs of Pointe du Hoc in Normandy, a feat memorialized by President Reagan in his 40th anniversary speech about the "Boys of Pointe du Hoc" in 1984.

During the 5th Ranger Battalion's beach landing at nearby Omaha Beach, Brigadier General Norman Cota from the $29 t h$ Infantry Division stopped to ask the Ranger Battalion Commander to identify his unit. Brigadier General Cota then commanded, "Well then, lead the way, Rangers!" This command was later altered to "Rangers Lead the Way!" and has since become the motto for the Rangers still in use.

Approximately, seven thousand men from active duty and provisional WWII Ranger Battalions were collectively awarded eight Presidential Unit Citations. Many Rangers made the ultimate sacrifice and are buried in numerous American cemeteries overseas. Only 12 Rangers are known to still be with us today.

We are so pleased to have with us our
liaisons for this program. I'd like to introduce Ron Hudnell, the project manager for this congressional gold medal and a representative of the descendants of the World War II Rangers, as well as Jimmie Spencer with the association of the United States Army. May I invite Mr. Hudnell or Mr. Spencer to say a few words? MR. HUDNELL: Okay. Thank you very much for inviting Command Sergeant Major Retired Spencer and myself to this meeting to answer any questions that you may have and for us to be able to present. The reasons that the World War II Rangers should be awarded the Congressional Gold Medal and the elements we would like to see on the Congressional Gold Medal design. The -- I am the liaison from the committee the descendants of World War II Ranger League. There were nine elements that they wanted to -- that committee wanted to see on the medal, and those nine elements are contained within our first tourist preferences, both the off birth and the rebirth. And those were the same two designs that were selected by the Commission of Fine Arts. We're very thankful and happy for that. They had a couple
of suggestions from their recent meeting, and we're able to address those today if you would like. So, Jimmie, do you have anything you want to say?

MR. SPENCER: Yes. First of all, thank you for allowing us to do this and be here. I've been listening to your proceedings all morning, and I'm very impressed. You guys are doing a great job, and I've learned a lot. I represent the Association United States Army, and a small group of former Rangers, many of whom are in the Ranger Hall of Fame. And although we seldom agree with anything, we have come together along with the family members, and we think that we've got the best possible Gold Medals that represent the heritage and the history of our Rangers. And one of the things that we collectively are concerned about is those 12 Rangers who are still surviving, and hopefully that we will be able to present this to them or show this to them while they're still with us. So thank you for allowing us to be here.

MS. STAFFORD: Okay. Thank you so much. And yes, we will very likely ask you to comment
on the CFA suggestions, or rather, the committee will likely call on you to weigh in. First, we'll move through the obverse candidate designs. We have 0-01. This is our liaison's second preferred design for the obverse. O-04, our liaison's preferred obverse design as well as the recommendation of the CFA. You'll note that the word "SALERNO" will also appear on this side as well as the preferred reverse. So I'll just make note of that here. Also, the CFA noted that the exclamation points on "RANGERS LEAD THE WAY!" They suggested that unless it was really part of the motto, that it be considered for removal. I believe that we checked with our liaisons, and they do feel that it is actually an integral part of the motto and is only ever seen using the exclamation mark.

Moving on to 0-06 and 0-07, our
liaison's third preferred obverse. Moving on to the reverse candidate designs, we have $R-01$. It is our liaison's third preferred reverse. R-01A, R-02, R-03, R-04, R-07A, our liaison's first preferred design for the reverse as well as the recommendation by the CFA. Note again the repeat of the word "SALERNO". And I
believe let me just ask Boneza Hanchock, our design manager, for this program. Does World War II repeat across both?

MS. HANCHOCK: Yes.
MS. STAFFORD: And so, we also have the repeated inscription World War II. Again, once we move through the candidate designs, perhaps we can go back to our liaisons to comment on any duplicated inscription and give you some of their thoughts before you deliberate. And then we have -- moving on to the next candidate design $R-07 B$, and then finally $R-08 B$. So that concludes the candidate designs.

Chairman Brown, I don't know if you want to have the liaisons speak to their thoughts on the --

DR. BROWN: I think that would be appropriate.

> MS. STAFFORD: Okay. So, Ron or Jimmie, would you like to share your thoughts? I know you listened in, and you heard the CFA's comments about some of the repeated inscriptions across the obverse and reverse. Would you like to make your
observations or ideas about how that might be remedied?

MR. HUDNELL: Well, we think that the "SALERNO" on the obverse should be replaced with "CHIUNZI," $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Z}-\mathrm{I}$. It's the same number of letters. It's also more accurate as to where the World War II Rangers received their citations, the Presidential Unit Citation. So that's what we feel like should replace "Salerno." Actually, we would like to have Chiunzi Pass, but we recognize that's more letters, it might create engraving problems. So we're willing to consider "Chiunzi" instead of "Salerno" on the obverse.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you. MR. SPENCER: I agree 100 percent. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Did you say, Chiunzi Pass?

MS. STAFFORD: Yes.
MR. SPENCER: And we do strongly feel that the exclamation point should be retained on the "RANGERS LEAD THE WAY!" That is part of the motto. As I mentioned to the Brigadier General Cota when he
came onto Omaha Beach, he issued a command to the Rangers. He was not asking them nicely to lead the way. He was telling them forcefully, so that exclamation point is important.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you. So there we have the commentary on "SALERNO" being removed from that top location and replaced with "CHIUNZI" or "CHIUNZI PASS," if the space would allow retaining the exclamation mark. And is it correct that you would like World War II to remain on the obverse as well?

MR. HUDNELL: We would like it to remain on the obverse. I think it could be removed from the reverse.

MS. STAFFORD: Okay. We'll move to the reverse now. Thank you. All right. So we're looking at 7A.

MR. HUDNELL: 7A.

MS. STAFFORD: Okay. There we are. So
"SALERNO" would stay here, and World War II could be removed.

MR. HUDNELL: Correct. One other point on the location. On the reverse, we have the
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locations where the Rangers made their seven combat or six combat landings in World War II. And we detect the landing craft assault on the obverse. So the point is, maybe we should put the landing on the same side with the landing craft and the locations where the Rangers were awarded Presidential Unit Citations on the same side as the Presidential Unit Citation Ribbon that you see at the top of 7A.

DR. BROWN: And further comments, suggestions? Then we will begin by asking members of the committee, do they have any technical or legal questions about this program?

DR. VAN ALFEN: This is Peter Van Alfen. I don't have a technical or legal question, but I do have a question for our liaisons. Mr. Hudnell, you had mentioned nine elements that you wanted or the groups that you represent wanted to see incorporated. Could you expand on what those nine elements are?

MR. HUDNELL: Sure. The first, the committee felt that the Southern combat landings should be listed on the coin, which they are on the
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reverse that you see. They wanted an image of a landing craft assault. It was a British landing craft that carried Rangers into most of those combat landings. They would like to see the Ranger shoulder patch on a uniform. That is not reflected on the obverse. The soldiers landing on the beach, is not shown -- it is there, but it's very difficult to see. They wanted the motto "RANGERS LEAD THE WAY!" They wanted the British "Fairbairn-Sykes" commando knife, which you see on the reverse. They wanted the numbered Ranger's scroll, which you also see both for the authorized 6th Ranger Battalions and then the $29 t h$ Provisional Ranger Battalion. They felt it was important to have that on the medal. They wanted the word World War II. And then they wanted a Combat Infantryman Badge, in which you see on the reverse. DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Thank you. MR. HUDNELL: The -- some of the artists depicted the landings for the Presidential Unit Citations or the locations for the Presidential Unit Citations. And so, they felt that was also important, but it was not in their original list of
nine elements that they would like to see.
DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Thank you very much.

DR. BROWN: Further questions from the committee? I do have just a few. And, Mr. Spencer, I think that Mr. Hudnell mentioned that you are Sergeant Major; is that correct?

MR. HUDNELL: Yes, sir.
DR. BROWN: So the reason why I raised this, because I believe that it's our responsibility to make sure we educate other persons who, in fact, may not have had the privilege of serving. I remember that when I served, there's one word that we never said to the noncommissioned officers. We never said serve to them because they told us they always work for their living. Is that something that you embrace, (inaudible)

MR. SPENCER: We work for a living. And if $I$ had my way about it, we'd put that on the coin.

DR. BROWN: Appreciate that. The other question $I$ have, the Combat Infantry Badge, is that
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something that all Rangers achieved before they left that Ranger school or is that something that was only awarded to some of the Rangers?

MR. SPENCER: The Combat Infantry Badge is awarded to instrument who spent at least, I think, 90 days a minimum in combat. It's not specifically designed for the Rangers. It is for any and every combat instrument who's ever fought since World War II.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Then, unless there's any other question from the committee members, if not, then let's begin our consideration. And I'd like to if colleagues would appreciate and allow me the discretion to begin the process. I just have a few comments. First and foremost, I agree and support the preferences by you as well as the CFA and I do so for a couple of reasons. One, is that -- and my colleagues are going to have a stroke made of this. I really like number four, because it has the most negative space. It allows for that major element to really stand out and pop. The also reason why I suggest this one is that compared to some designs, for
example, six, $0-06$, you really can't tell what the insignia is on the helmet. And if you say, as you know, this could be either a major or lieutenant colonel based on what $I$ could see. So it's better to, in fact, have the full (inaudible) colonel leading the battalion. So for me that makes it even easier to really appreciate. The other is that -- the reason why I like 0-04 is that it does have action and that action is, in fact, landing on the base -- on landings. So where you see an officer leading their troops into battle? So to me it reminds me of what we think about and our service persons who, in fact, defend this country as often as they do in many places that they do so. So those are my comments with respect to that. And again, I'm going to be in favor of those that have been favored by you as well as the CFA.

Going to move now to my colleagues next for this. So let's move then with Donald.

MR. SCARINCI: I support the liaison's recommendations on the Congressional Gold Medal.

DR. BROWN: Outstanding. Peter?

DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. Peter Van Alfen. I'm happy to support the recommendations as well since they incorporate the nine elements and do seem generally to be fairly strong designs. I do want to say that $I$ really do like R-02 quite a bit. I think that's a really rather strong and attractive design. And in R-04, I do like the scene of action there as well. But again, I'm happy to support the recommendations of $0-04$ and 7A. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. John? MR. WEINMAN: You're muted, John.

MR. SAUNDERS: Okay. Sorry about that. Excuse me. I would also support the recommendations. This is a situation where $I$ like almost all the designs. I think when you compare two that look similar, sometimes you decide that you'd like the one better than the other. But $I$ like them all, so I'll go with what the liaisons recommend.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Kellen?
MR. HOARD: Thank you. This is Kellen Hoard. For the obverse, $I$ was really kind of split
between option one and option seven. I thought that those, which $I$ know, were the second and third preferences of the liaisons. I think that those are some of the more compelling images. I think it really embodies the motto of leading the way. You can really see them doing that, especially the seven, beckoning them forward. I think that those two are compelling. I think they're balanced. I think they're interesting. I think they're clean. My concern with four largely relates to my preference for the reverse. I like the liaison's first preference of 7A for the reverse. I think it works as it is, 7A for the reverse. And so $I$ would support 7 A fully. But then $I$ worry in many ways about the redundancy issues with four. And $I$ worry that four isn't quite as clean of a design, especially paired with that reverse, whereas I think one or seven for the obverse really pairs nicely with 7A for the reverse. And so, I move away from four towards, kind of, the depictions in one and seven, which it seems like meets most between one seven and then $R-7 A$ meets all nine of the stipulations and I think is a much more compelling set of designs.

Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Dr.

Fuller?
DR. FULLER: Thank you, Dr. Brown. This is Dr. Harcourt Fuller. I think this is a wonderful portfolio. I will support the liaison's choices. And, Dr. Brown, I must thank you for reminding us that we just commemorated Veterans Day. And it's really an honor to review this portfolio in the same month for the sacrifices that these great Americans have made for our country and for our future. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much for your thoughtful comments. Let's turn now to Dennis?

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr. Brown. This is Dennis Tucker. I support the liaison's preferences. I think that removing World War II from R-7A helps balance it. That was something that bothered me having World and War separated that way on 7A. However, I -- it -- the lettering on O-04 troubles me a little bit. It almost reads, World War II, Rangers lead the way. Even though the word

Rangers is larger, $I$ wonder if there's some way, we could add some space or some other way to make that distinction between the concept of World War II and the motto of (inaudible) Lead the way. And then, I don't know if our liaisons quite finished their thoughts on changing the names of the different military engagements and moving those around on obverse and reverse. I don't know if you have anything to add to that. And those are my comments. Thank you.

MR. HUDNELL: Well, the point that I was trying to make is the landing craft is depicted on the obverse, but the locations for the landing is on the reverse. And on the uppers, we have the locations for the Presidential Unit Citations, but on the reverse, we have the Presidential Citation Ribbon in the upper portion of the medal. The locations should be (inaudible) if that makes sense or could (inaudible)

MS. STAFFORD: So this is April
Stafford. I did speak with Mike Costello about that possibility. And it looks like it is something that
could be accommodated just literally inverting the placement of the border inscriptions from obverse to reverse.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Art?
MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Arthur

Bernstein. I also agree with the first preferences of the liaisons. I listened carefully to the nine essential elements, and I'm pleased that those requirements were all met. I have a 10th element that I like to see when we consider the Congressional Gold Medals, and that is the phrase, "Act of Congress," which indicates that it is a Congressional Gold Medal. And $I$ would just raise the question, as we're moving lettering around, taking the redundant World War II off of one side, might we add, "Act of Congress" to make it clear that it is a Congressional Gold Medal. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Mike?

MR. MORAN: This is Mike Moran. I
personally want to compliment the sponsors of this because oftentimes when we get these medal designs in
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here, the sponsors have gone off on an angle at a different track than what they really should. You guys were well grounded in the way you judged these, and you came up, in my opinion, with the right selections, out for both the obverse and the reverse. DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Turn to Chris?

DR. CAPOZZOLA: I also support this combination of four and 7A, particularly with the alignment of Unit Citation and Landings that Mr. Hudnell described. Very small and two things. One, I don't actually know if we need to say the World War II at all. And if -- there are dates, there are place names, there are other things. And if we're trying to, you know, sort of, cover the bases, that may be unnecessary. And then a small question. I don't know if it's for the liaisons or the artists, but the knife in 7A has a small bevel on it that none of the other designs have. I don't know if bevel is the right word. Total triangle at the top, right by the (inaudible) Is there a reason for that?

MR. HUDNELL: I'm not sure I heard your
question.
DR. CAPOZZOLA: So if you look at the Fairbairn knife in 7A and see sort of the knife edge. This drawing has that -- see that triangular bevel right by where the hand goes? Wait a minute. And that appears on this drawing of the knife and on one of the other ones in the portfolio.

MR. HUDNELL: I think the knife in 7A is an accurate depiction, but $I$ can check to make sure, but I'm pretty sure that is correct.

DR. CAPOZZOLA: Thanks.
DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Mint staff have anything you want to add to that?

MR. COSTELLO: I have no additional.

DR. BROWN: Okay. Are there any
further additional comments or motions from the members at this time?

DR. VAN ALFEN: This is Peter Van Alfen. I've got a question for our liaisons again. I noticed that in a couple of the obverses, the colonel leading the charge is carrying a Thompson submachine gun and in others he's carrying an M1 Garand. Was
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there a preference that officers would have either way or is this Thompson's submachine gun in O-04 accurate in that regard?

MR. HUDNELL: During World War II, a lot of the Special Operations type troops, the leader of a platoon or the leader of the company or the battalion commander would often carry the Thompson submachine gun. I don't know that the colonel -- this is supposed to be Colonel Darby, who was the founder of the First Ranger Battalion in June 1942. I can't say that he actually carried a Thompson submachine gun, but the officers -- some of the officers would have. So that wasn't intentional to have him carrying the Thompson.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Okay. One -- just one point of detail. The ammo belt on the colonel. My understanding the Thompson had a special ammo belt and this ammo belt doesn't quite look accurate for the Thompson cartridge -- or clips. Is the ammo belt correct, do you know, or is that --

MR. HUDNELL: I would have to check and see. I'm not sure about that.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Okay. All right.
DR. BROWN: Kellen?

MR. HOARD: So this is Kellen Hoard. I just had a quick technical question. I think Arthur brought this up of including Act of Congress somewhere like we did for 7A. I was wondering, for the design, would there be space on the reverse to replace the World War II on 7A with Act of Congress? I don't know if that's even possible.

MR. HUDNELL: I would rather see it on the obverse.

MR. HOARD: The word Act of --

MR. HUDNELL: There's Act of Congress 2022, just below the top of the medal there on the obverse. Underneath, where you have Salerno.

MR. HOARD: Yeah. I was wondering -on the design side, would it be possible on the reverse even?

MR. MENNA: I'm sorry, I was speaking to myself saying there's plenty of space.

MR. HOARD: No. On this reverse, would there be room to replace World War II with Act of

Congress, theoretically?
MR. MENNA: I think for it to read linearly through the dagger would be challenging. World War II, that's much more common kind of thing. And the dates also being split. I think, on the obverse, up in the sky, upper left quadrant of the medal, if Act of Congress were to be placed there, I think it would work just fine. These are polished coins. These are metals. There's plenty of space for Act of Congress to be included in a way for all the stakeholders that will not diminish the integrity of the design.

MR. HOARD: Okay. Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Dennis?

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr. Brown. I have a question for our liaisons about R-02. And I understand this was not necessarily one of your preferences, but I'm curious if you had any discussion when you were reviewing this portfolio. We've talked with other liaisons for other Congressional Gold Medals about the use of silhouette, and I won't summarize their thoughts or feelings on that because I
don't want to color your commentary. But was there any discussion pro or con or was that an issue at all? MR. HUDNELL: I don't think there was any discussion pro or con about the silhouettes. I think the committee was more concerned about the elements that they wanted to see on the medal. So it had World War II Rangers. It had the Ranger Scroll with the listing of the seven battalions, and it had the 29th Rangers on there. Again, it was not our first choice.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you.
MR. SPENCER: I agree. We didn't -the issue of silhouettes did not come up in our discussions. The first time $I$ saw it was this particular rendition here and it looked more like it was a disorganized group of folks and it didn't pass the muster.

MR. TUCKER: Okay. thank you for that commentary. That was just more out of my own curiosity because we have talked about that with other groups, and $I$ wanted to see if there was any kind of consensus for you.

DR. BROWN: Passing a muster is a real recognized military term, so I appreciate that. Art?

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Arthur
Bernstein. Mr. Chairman, in effort to facilitate the conversation, would you entertain a motion?

DR. BROWN: Always.
MR. BERNSTEIN: Then $I$ would move that our committee recommend $0-04, \mathrm{R}-7 \mathrm{~A}$. Included in that recommendation would be flipping the geographic locations, as was discussed and a request that the designers consider separating World War -- on the obverse, separating World War II from the rest of the phrase and also consider adding Act of Congress.

DR. VAN ALFEN: I would second that.

DR. BROWN: So I have a motion. I have a second. Now we have a discussion about the motion.

MR. MORAN: Just a friendly amendment that the ammo belts on the colonel be checked for accuracy.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Gladly accept it.
MR. MORAN: Another friendly amendment eliminate World War II on the reverse of 7A.

MR. BERNSTEIN: I think that requires further discussion.

MR. MORAN: I just don't know how people feel about it.

DR. BROWN: You got it on both sides.
MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. I misunderstood you. This is Art Bernstein. I gratefully accept your friendly amendment.

DR. BROWN: Okay. Any other friendly amendments?

MR. HOARD: Also, in addition to flipping the inscriptions, actually replacing Salerno with the -- I forget what was the other location they wanted?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Chiunzy Pass.
MR. HOARD: But maybe without Pass, depending on space, however --

MS. STAFFORD: Depending on space. They prefer pass but --

MR. HOARD: Okay. But if that's
feasible?
MR. BERNSTEIN: Also accepted
friendliest motion possible.
DR. CAPOZZOLA: Friendly amendment --
is Chiunzy, is it a site of landing or is the site of unit citation? It should go in the right place.

MR. HUNDELL: It should go on the side with the citations.

DR. CAPOZZOLA: Thank you.

MR. HUNDELL: Please.
DR. BROWN: So we have a motion, we have friendly amendments, and we have a second. Any further discussion? I do have further discussion. I'd like to, I mean, I'd --

MR. MORAN: You're digging your own hole.

DR. BROWN: I understand. As the chair, from time to time, I have these comments, but I try to, in fact, contain myself, admittedly. But I do ask the question about Act of Congress. I guess I have for our liaisons, how crucial was that phrase? Because I raise it because to put that on there is going to take something away from the designs that we've seen. And I hate to lose that negative space on
the objects for the sake of that. So I'm curious, from our liaisons, the Act of Congress phrase, how in fact, from your conversations, how crucial was that?

MR. HUNDELL: That discussion, that element was not selected by the committee as something that they absolutely wanted to see. I mentioned to the committee several times that it's not always on the medal -- Congressional Gold Medals, but most of the time that phrase is on the Congressional Gold Medals. But it was not something that the committee said was one of their drop-dead requirements.

DR. BROWN: Appreciate it. Any further
comments?

MR. SPENCER: Just --

DR. BROWN: I'm sorry. Go ahead.
MR. SPENCER: Just let me add that we looked at it -- the Ranger veterans looked at it and we had it on one or two of the other designs and it was uniformly rejected so --

DR. BROWN: Rejected. Okay.
MR. MORAN: One more comment.
DR. BROWN: Okay.

MR. MORAN: This is Mike Moran. I
think you can do it on the obverse of four if you make it small font. It doesn't need to be big.

DR. BROWN: Dennis?

MR. TUCKER: Dr. Brown, this is Dennis Tucker. Just to clarify the motion, are we including in our recommendation that Act of Congress definitely be included or with the discretion of the design staff?

DR. BROWN: I think the friendly amendment that was raised by you was to definitely include it.

MR. HOARD: That wasn't my amendment.
MR. BERNSTEIN: Dr. Brown, I might be able to help. This is Arthur Bernstein and the word I used was consider.

DR. BROWN: Consider. Fair enough?
MS. STAFFORD: Dr. Brown?
DR. BROWN: Yes.

MS. STAFFORD: If you're leaving it to the Mint to consider, given the strength of what our liaisons have said, especially talking with
representatives of the veterans themselves, not finding favor with including Act of Congress, that I can say that the team here would move forward not including it.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. We have a motion. We have a second with friendly amendments. Any further conversation or comments about the motion? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: With this
friendly (inaudible)

DR. BROWN: Hearing none. All those in
favor of saying, aye.
GROUP: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Opposed, nay? Any
abstentions? Hearing none. It seems to me that the motion passes unanimously.

So we really want to thank the liaisons
for your patience, particularly you, Mr. Hudnell, because you told me you've been here from the beginning of the last session. We want to thank you for your contributions that you passed, your contributions today. We wish you a pleasant remainder of the day.

MS. WARREN: Dr. Brown?

MR. HUDNELL: Thank you very much. We appreciate your regard.

MR. SPENCER: Thank you very much. Appreciate it. Thank you.

MS. WARREN: Dr. Brown, let's ask April
if she still wants the score of these designs.

MS. STAFFORD: No, we don't require
that. But $I$ apologize, $I$ just want to make sure. As part of the motion, did it -- was there a definitive decision about World War II or was that not part of it?

DR. BROWN: It was.

MS. STAFFORD: Okay. Can you just repeat that part? I just want to make sure I'm writing this down correctly --

MR. WEINMAN: This removal --

MS. STAFFORD: -- on the reverse, correct? Okay. Thank you.

MR. HOARD: April, do you want us to mark artistic merits?

MS. STAFFORD: It's not necessary.

MR. HOARD: Okay.
MS. STAFFORD: Thank you.

DR. BROWN: I think based on that, then we've covered the programs for this morning. Now we're going to have a brief lunch.

MS. WARREN: I would -- this is
Jennifer Warren. I would suggest we stick to keeping the lunch until 1:00 because we still moved up an hour and I believe the liaison from Michigan can do it at 1:00.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I haven't heard it for sure. Sorry.

MS. WARREN: Okay. We'll aim for 1:00
until we hear otherwise. We'll, at least -- it is 11:45 right now. So I would suggest going to recess and coming -- at least starting -- come back at 1:00 and then we'll see where we are. DR. BROWN: Sounds reasonable. Recess. Coming back at 1:00. (Off the record.)

DR. BROWN: Good afternoon. We're back. I want to take a quick roll call to confirm
that we have a quorum. Please acknowledge present when I call your name.

Arthur Bernstein?
MR. BERNSTEIN: Present.

DR. BROWN: Peter Van Alfen?

DR. VAN ALFEN: Present.

DR. BROWN: Donald Scarinci?

MR. SCARINCI: Present.
DR. BROWN: Michael Moran?

MR. MORAN: Present.
DR. BROWN: Harcourt Fuller?

DR. FULLER: Present.
MR. HOARD: Kellen Hoard?
MR. HOARD: Present.

DR. BROWN: Christopher Capozzola?
DR. CAPOZZOLA: Present.

DR. BROWN: Dennis Tucker?
MR. TUCKER: Present.
DR. BROWN: John Saunders?

MR. SAUNDERS: Present.
DR. BROWN: And I am Lawrence Brown. We do have a quorum. We will now move to the next
portfolio for consideration today. The reverse candidate designs for the 2025 American Innovation \$1 coin honoring innovation in Michigan. April Stafford, chief of the Mint's Office of Design Management will introduce the program and present the reverse candidate designs.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you. And previously I gave background information on this program. So we'll go straight into information about Michigan's concept. There is a single concept, and that is the automobile assembly line. The moving assembly line changed the way automobiles are made. The assembly line concept was originally patented by Ransom Olds and was used to build the first mass produced automobile, the Oldsmobile Curved Dash in 1901. At the Ford Motor Company, William Klann introduced the assembly line after visiting a slaughterhouse in Chicago. There he observed what was referred to as the disassembly line, a system where carcasses were butchered as they moved along a conveyor. The efficiency of one person removing the same piece over and over without moving himself caught

Klann's attention. At Ford, the process was an evolution by trial and error. The moving assembly line was developed for the Ford Model $T$ and began operation on October 7th, 1913, at the Highland Park Ford Plant. It continued to evolve after that using time and motion studies. The assembly line, driven by conveyor belts, reduced production time for a Model $T$ from over 12 hours to just 93 minutes by dividing the process into 45 steps. With the reduction of time came a reduction in injuries to workers and the financial cost of production. This cost savings reduced the price of automobiles, increased pay for workers, and played an integral part in America's adoption of the automobile in everyday life.

Required inscriptions for this coin are
United States of America and Michigan. We are so pleased to have with us today our liaison to Michigan, Judge Steven Bieda. Judge, would you like to say a few words before our committee reviews the candidate designs?

MR. BIEDA: Thank you. My name is
Steve Bieda. I'm from Michigan, and I have a
numismatic backup in addition to (inaudible) I'm a life member of the ANA, and I'm also a designer of the Olympic coins in 1992 and had design experience working on Michigan quarter dollars in 2004. We've had an opportunity to review designs that have been fitted specifically in the assembly line. And we have some things in there, and $I$ don't know if it's appropriate to share those with you or the committee would like to (inaudible) those first. But if our preferences and sort of in the order are M1-06, followed by M1-05, and then third choice is M1-02. One of the things that we liked about M1-06 is the fact that it shows a lot of the vitality of the movement of the assembly line as well as it also shows several workers on the assembly line, which is an important part of the assembly line process, as well as it's influenced in the creation of the American middle class. Many of you might be familiar with Henry Ford -- \$5 promised the workers which was one of the things that he seen Michigan (inaudible) of the middle class. (inaudible) we suggest (inaudible) there's also some technical -- small technical changes
to the 06 spokes and the wheels on there, which is a pretty (inaudible) product as well. I don't know if there's any questions on that. I'm not sure how the format goes on this, but it's an honor to be here and an opportunity to appear before the Citizen Advisory Commission in the past of some other points programs. And it's great to see everybody. (inaudible)

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you so much. We really appreciate that. And yes, the committee will definitely come back to you with questions as they have them. And we will go to the candidate designs now. Starting with design one, design two, as our liaison noted, this is their tertiary preference. It's also the recommendation by the CFA, although the CFA did suggest again, it's the liaison's suggestion that if possible, a worker could be added into the background. Design three, four, five. This is our liaison's secondary preference. And six -- design six is our liaison's first preference. And again, there is a request by the liaison to -- not necessarily for this design, but for anyone that moves forward, that more than one person be depicted. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. To the committee, are there any technical or legal questions that you have about this program? Seeing none. Let us begin our consideration. Let's start with Donald? MR. SCARINCI: I think this is one of those designs $I$ would love to just make a motion and do it. I think design number three is a no brainer here. I think it fits within the series. It does everything we need to do to illustrate the assembly line process. I think it's; you know, I think it's -I love the geometric forms. And, you know, I could live with the little, tiny people that are going to look like the quarter in your -- it's going to look like the little guy in the quarter on your display board in front of you, where you can't really see unless you take a loop to it that that's a person who looks like a bug. So but in this context, I think the symmetry -- what works here is the symmetry of those little figures along with the lines. You know, it's -- it presents a dynamic and as far as I know, accurate image of assembly line -- of assembly lines. I, you know, I really, you know, I appreciate the liaison's
preference for number six, but, you know, those cars look like they came from one of the original assembly lines. And, you know, again, you know, there's three figures in there. Two of them are going to look like a bug and, you know, on the quarter planchette. So I think this is an opportunity, especially since we went with a portrait on one of the other coins in the series. You know, $I$ think this is an opportunity to go back to, you know, what makes this particular series, you know, very cool and go with the geometric design.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn to Peter?

DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, Dr. Brown.
Peter Van Alfen. I do like the symmetry of number three. I agree with Donald there. I'm not sure, however, if it is an accurate representation of a 1960s assembly line, which is what it seems to be. I have a strong preference for number two. I think that this is a very strong design. And I think adding another figure to it, actually, in my preference, would not add greatly to the design. I think it's
quite strong as it is right now. And the others, I think the overall portfolio is really quite nice and attractive. But $I$ think number two really does stand out just for the strength of design. So I'll leave it at that. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn to John?

MR. SAUNDERS: My first preference was number one. I like several designs that are similar. Number one was a little bit simpler, easier to understand. I like number two as well. Not quite as well as number one, but very similar. Number three, I didn't like. Number one, the innovation happened with the Model T, and this is, you know, 30, 40 years later. It seems a little bit busy for me. I understand, as Donald saying, the symmetry of it's kind of nice, but it was not one of my first choice -choices. Number four, I didn't particularly like. This would be more appropriate if we were doing Dayton, Ohio convention of the tire or something like that. It's not a bad design. I don't think it expresses the assembly line. Number five was kind of
okay, but I like other ones that did the same thing better. And number six was my second choice behind number one. I think it does a good job, too. It's a little busier than number one. I like the simplicity of one better. But I also have a technical question on these. A number of the cars or the designs feature an early car, $I$ presume that's a Model $T$, but is it a Model $T$ first off? And has somebody checked it for accuracy of what a Model $T$ looked like? So those are my preferences and my questions?

DR. BROWN: Joe?

MR. MENNA: John, this is Joe Menna.
Thank you, Mr. Chairman. John and the rest of the committee, as with other coins and medals where we've depicted vehicles from specific eras or various eras on one coin rather, we try and capture the zeitgeist and the feel of the cars or planes or whatever they may be. We cannot depict specific vehicles, details accurate to any specific model for reasons that Greg Weinman can explain.

MR. WEINMAN: Fundamentally, there are two. Number one, the government tries not to endorse
when there's not a specific charge to do so. And so this isn't about Ford, isn't about GM, it isn't about Oldsmobile. So we're not trying to -- we don't want to endorse one organization over another. And the second one is there are actually sometimes intellectual property considerations when it comes to the design of certain very identifiable vehicles, for example. For that reason, we try to find something that is more generic.

MR. SAUNDERS: Interesting points. DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Mr. (inaudible) Kellen?

MR. HOARD: Thank you. This is Kellen
Hoard. I also liked -- well, what $I$ did is, before this meeting, I went and talked to some of my student peers about the idea of the assembly line innovation just to kind of bounce off what they thought of it. The thing that kept coming up time and again for them was that it wasn't just the actual automation, but also, like our liaison said, about the people. And that's kind of what they thought of, was the people who were involved in that, particularly in light of
recent news out of the UAW. So I was really drawn quite a bit to six, both on my own and as a consequence of people that $I$ spoke to really valuing the people and being intrigued by the people in addition to the machinery. I thought it shows kind of dynamic motion happening. I thought it shows actually the assembly occurring quite well. And I thought it was very fluid. It feels like it's happening, and then they're in the midst of doing something. I, like Donald, was also somewhat drawn to three. I'm not sure I'd rank it the highest, but $I$ thought it was a really quite interesting design. And I'm less concerned about the exact date of the cars in there because to me, this is a continuing innovation. It's not something that only happened for the older cars. It is something that continues to be not only built upon but continues to work as an innovation through the $60 \mathrm{~s}, 70 \mathrm{~s}$, into today. So I'm less worried about the exact date of the cars because this is an innovation that has lasted long beyond its original kind of limited purpose there. And those are kind of the two big ones for me. I would probably not rank
two very highly. As I've spoken about earlier, I find to be, in contrast, number six, a very static image where there's not much assembly occurring, and the man almost looks kind of posed for a photo rather than actually engaged in his work. And so for that reason, I wasn't quite as drawn to it. That's it for me. Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Dr.

Fuller?

DR. FULLER: Thank you, Dr. Brown. This is a really -- I'm sorry. This is Dr. Harcourt Fuller. This is a really wonderful portfolio. I think that most of the designs have great merit, and $I$ think that they portray very well, you know, the idea of the assembly line. But let me agree with Donald. If we could go to three, please -- 03. What I like about 03 is that when we think about the assembly line, we -- $I$ certainly haven't seen a design like this that gives you essentially a bird's eye view of what the assembly line looks like. The other ones that show the assembly of the cars, right, they are more detailed, right, and you get a close-up view of
the assembly of the cars. But, you know, to me, especially when April was discussing how the idea of the assembly line came about, you know, by, you know, looking at meat, you know, slaughterhouses, right? I think this is true to that, you know. It really gives you that bird's eye view where you're seeing the process and you're also seeing the people. And so I will give this high marks. But I also like four -04, if $I$ may. And $I$ know that this design is not necessarily about the tire, right, but of course, the tire is an integral part of the automotive mobile, right? This is just a wonderful design to see on a coin, you know. It just almost looks like -- it looks three dimensional. It just really speaks to me. And so that's one that $I$ like. But again, overall, I think this is a great portfolio. I also like six, the liaison's preference. But again, $I$ think three and four are my top preferences. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's
turn now to Dennis?
MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. And
I'd like to start by greeting our liaison. Steven,
you're never very far from American Coinage, and we appreciate the work that you've done with the stakeholder's program. You're a valued member of the ANA, so it's very nice to know that you've been involved with this program that we're working on today. My eye was drawn to $R-03$ as well, Donald. It actually reminded me of the Virginia Chesapeake Bay Bridge Tunnel design. I think it's remarkable as a work of draftsmanship. I'm not quite as convinced that it would work well as a coin just because it is such a small canvas. And I like R-06 because of that combination of humanity and mechanized assembly that we've talked about. So R-06 has my support. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Art?
MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Arthur

Bernstein. I was attracted to design six that was the preference of the liaison. But the more I looked at design three, to me it just shouts assembly line. I mean, you can't look at that whole confusion of stuff going on and not think about what it's trying to represent, an assembly line. So I'm going to cast
significant points for design three.
DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Mike?

MR. MORAN: This is Mike Moran. I went through them and ranked them as to what they conveyed to me in a message. One was okay. Two was a little better. But again, six, $I$ thought, gave me a sense both of motion and of process and was the most effective in conveying to me the sense of the original concept of the assembly line. So that's where I'm going to go, six.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Turn
out to Chris?

DR. CAPOZZOLA: All right. Chris
Capozzola. I'll be brief and just say that if we acknowledge the input of the liaisons, I would pick six over the others for the reasons of motion that people addressed before. I thought I was going to be the only person to speak up for three, but three stopped me dead in my tracks when I saw it. I thought it was really fantastic. I liked the sort of midcentury feel of it. I liked the scale of it, including the small scale of the human beings. So I'd
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like to, in part, hear from the liaisons about any discussions they had about three if you would.

DR. BROWN: Mr. Bieda, do you want to respond to that?

MS. WARREN: You're muted, sir.
MR. BIEDA: Can you hear me?
DR. BROWN: Yes.

MS. WARREN: Yes.
MR. BIEDA: Actually, a lot of good suggestions on here. As I said earlier, this is a beautiful portfolio. All of these designs have some very strong elements. I think when we're kind of looking at some of the designs, I also because it does activity and it shows a line from a perspective. The concern that when you edit broke down to the size point, it's almost like not recognizable, which is the issue that $I$ think that kind of reminded me of an electronic keyboard or something when I'm looking at it and shrunk the actual size of a coin. If this was a traditional silver dollar size. I think it might be a much more powerful design. We're concerned that we looked at it when we shrunk it down that the workers
are almost indistinguishable and as the design in itself. So while it's a beautiful design, I don't think it's going to work really well in that small size. (inaudible) Again, like the tire design, except for it does remind me of something that the Fine Arts Commission mentioned about. We have a (inaudible) that's right near the airport that people pass all the time but it's a beautiful design, great logo and if we were talking tires or something it would be even better. But it just doesn't show what I'm looking for in assembly line distinction. So I kind of go with six. I respect all of your opinions, want to thank you for your service on this Citizens Coin Advisory Commission. I've been watching this for years and the work that you've done and I appreciate -- it's difficult (inaudible) that you have to make. You know, there's a couple of designs that you could use small kink if they were kind of curious, but nobody seemed to focus on number five design which is also a pretty good design to start to show the progress on the line and it's a very powerful design as well. The car and the line is shown. You know, again, we're
kind of caught in time with several of the top three designs that invest in their earlier days. I don't think one of the difficulties, something from six weeks is sort of subjective of a timeline where the assembly line (inaudible) were looking at it from its earliest days. We kind of looked at that on this. But $I$ think the real reason that $I$ go back to six is it's the only design that really shows a sense of workers you've got (inaudible) being lifting up the car, you've got the line showing, you got several workers in there. And it's one part where you really show that interchange and that interaction between the, you know, the line element of that, which, if you look at (inaudible) maybe, the reason down to that figures and everything a little easier to look like the other ones.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. I must confess that hearing comments from our colleagues I lean towards the design that's favored by our liaison for a couple of reasons. One, it sort of reminds me of a phrase that one of our former members mentioned about the tabletop test. If I think about having a
coin on the tabletop and someone had asked me what does that mean? What does that design mean? It would seem to me that the elements would be large enough to, in fact, have a conversation. The other reason that $I$ lean in this direction is that from what the comments and my colleagues have said about the involvement of people. Involvement of people is really critical. So that -- for that reason as attractive as design three is on the planchette that we're going to see this, it's going to be so difficult to see them that you're going to need a loop. And we've already had some members of the public comments about the size of some of our elements that they need a loop to be able to discern them. So for that reason, I was leaning in the direction of six as well. I see your hand up. John, do you have a question, comment?

MR. SAUNDERS: No, I had a comment. I want to second something Steven said earlier. I mean, the assembly line is what we're celebrating here, but the offshoot of the assembly line was the decision of certain car makers to make sure that their workers made enough money to buy one of their cars. And I
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think that's part of the innovation that we're celebrating here. And so I think it just redoubles my feeling that we have to show workers as well as the assembly line happening.

DR. BROWN: Are there any additional comments or motions from members at this time? Mike and Joe, did you have additional comments you'd like to add?

MR. COSTELLO: I have none.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Hearing none. The committee will now score the reverse candidate designs for the 2025 --

DR. FULLER: Mr. Chairman?
DR. BROWN: I'm sorry? Harcourt?

DR. FULLER: This is Dr. Fuller.
Please forgive me. Can I ask Joe to opine about the size of the size issue in number three? In other words, will it be discernible? Will the cars and the people be discernible on a quarter? I'm sorry -dollar.

MR. MENNA: Yeah, this is Joe Menna. Dr. Harcourt, you're talking about the one with just
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modern cars, mid-century cars -- three to seven -three rows. I believe, while the artist -- that's the artist's particular vision, but $I$ don't want to sound like $I$ didn't do my job, but $I$ don't think it would read as well, let's say as number six. Let's put it like that. Like not even close.

DR. FULLER: Thank you. Appreciate it. DR. BROWN: And we will now score the reverse candidate designs for the 2025 American Innovation $\$ 1$ coin honoring innovation in Michigan. Please provide your completed sheets to Greg, who will then tally the scores and report the results. We can take five minutes recess in order to get this accomplished. We are recessed for five minutes. (Off the record.) DR. BROWN: We are back. I recognize Greg Weinman. MR. WEINMAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. Once again, with 10 members voting, that means the score is out of a possible 30 points. M1 -- Michigan one received eight points. Design number two received 12 points. Design number three received 15 points.

Design four received three points. Design five received seven points and design six received 21 points, making it the high vote getter.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much Greg.

Are there any motions at this point?
MR. HOARD: I would move that we adopt
design six.
DR. BROWN: Is there a second on that motion?

DR. VAN ALFEN: Peter Van Alfen,
second. I would add a friendly amendment that the spokes in the cars be modified to reflect accuracy.

MR. HOARD: That's friendly.
DR. BROWN: Any further conversation regarding the motion on the table? Hearing none. All those in favor signify by saying, aye.

GROUP: Aye.

DR. BROWN: All those opposed? Any abstentions? By my hearing, that sounds to me to be unanimous. Are there any further motions? If not, then all discussion on this program has been concluded. We'd like to really thank you, Judge

Bieda, for attending and giving us your insight. It's not often that we hear from a fellow collector, so we really appreciate your input that you've provided.

MS. WARREN: You're muted, sir.
MR. BIEDA: Thank you. It's been an honor (inaudible) Kind words, Dennis. I appreciate your out there career. (inaudible) Fun job. I envy you and (inaudible) really appreciate all the work that you've done and frankly the artists and the folks at the Mint have been wonderful. So with that, (inaudible)

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much, sir. Have a good remainder of the day.

MR. BIEDA: Thank you. You as well.
DR. BROWN: We will now move to the last portfolio for the day, which is a review of the reverse candidate designs for the 2025 Native American \$1 coin. Once again, April Stafford, Chief of the Mint's Office of Design Management, will introduce the program and present the reverse candidate designs.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you so much. First, some background on this program. Every year
since 2009, the United States Mints and issues a golden-hued dollar coin that celebrates the important contributions made by Indigenous Americans, who include American Indians, Alaskan Natives and Native Hawaiians. The obverse of the coins retain the depiction of Sacagawea and her infant son first used in 2000. In 2025, the reverse will honor Mary Kawena Pukui, the first Native Hawaiian to be honored this Public Law. In our work collecting recommendations for themes, multiple congressional stakeholders advocated for a theme celebrating and recognizing Native Hawaiians. Mary Kawena Pukui was specifically among a list of prominent Hawaiian women, including Edith Kenao Kanaka'ole, who were proposed for inclusion in the American Women Quarters program. Mary Kawena Pukui was a prominent Native Hawaiian scholar, author, composer, and dancer whose translations, compositions and ethnographic work have sustained the Hawaiian language and culture for generations. At a time when Hawaiian knowledge was rapidly being lost, Pukui worked to document the stories and lifeways of the Hawaiian elders and
translated countless Hawaiian language primary source materials through her career at the Bishop Museum in Honolulu. As a result of her undertakings, Pukui produced over 52 published titles, 150 songs and chants, and countless other authoritative written works that shared aspects of the Hawaiian language and culture to the broader public. She coauthored one of the most comprehensive Hawaiian dictionaries to date, as well as a collection of 2,941 Hawaiian proverbs sayings -- and sayings that continues to be a popular text in Hawaii. Pukui was born on April 20th, 1895, and in keeping with Hawaiian custom, she was raised by her grandmother, who spoke to her only in Hawaiian and taught her the traditions, rituals, and religion, including the art of hula that she learned from her elders. Pukui attended a Hawaiian seminary where classes were taught only in English. Although speaking in Hawaiian was not permitted, she persisted in keeping her language alive through sharing Hawaiian lore and wisdom in her Native Hawaiian language with her classmates. Beginning in 1937, Pukui worked for the Bishop Museum, collaborating with scholars in an
array of disciplines, resulting in notable publications. As a skilled translator, she interviewed native Hawaiians who were fluent Hawaiian language speakers, capturing their thoughts and wisdom first in notes and later in audio recordings. The museum houses an extensive collection of her work, including original music compositions, cultural stories, histories, audio recordings and ethnographic notes.

A little bit about the designs. All of the candidate designs feature a depiction of Mary Kawena Pukui and an inscription of her name, along with the required inscriptions United States of America and $\$ 1 . \quad$ The Hawaiian inscription you'll see translates literally to "Look to the Source." This phrase itself is considered very evocative of Pukui's life, work and legacy, as she was someone who was constantly consulted for her expertise on various aspects of Hawaiian knowledge. Hawaiian knowledge is symbolized in the candidate designs through the fruit, leaves, and nuts of Hawaii's state tree, the Kukui. The Kukui nut lei is an important Hawaiian cultural
element that was worn by Pukui. We are so pleased to have with us several liaisons. We have several family representatives and I'll ask that they introduce themselves. And we also have a representative from the Smithsonian's National Museum of the American Indian who focuses on native Hawaiian history and culture. So if $I$ could invite first the family to introduce yourself and say a few words.

MS. WARREN: There's one on there. MS. STAFFORD: Pele?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. He may be muted at the moment.

MS. WARREN: Hold on. Another family member is coming on. Pele?

MS. STAFFORD: Perhaps while the family
is looking at their settings, perhaps Pele, would you like to say a few words?

MS. HARMAN: I'm sorry for this.
MS. STAFFORD: Oh, no worries.
MS. HARMAN: I was just trying to get on earlier. Aloha (Speaks Hawaiian) from Hawaii. Aloha. And Mohalo for having us here. Our Ohana here
this morning to speak briefly about my great grandmother. Can you hear me?

MS. STAFFORD: Yes. Thank you.
MS. HARMAN: Okay. We're very honored to be considered -- our great grandmother to have been considered (inaudible) for this prestigious honor of a coin. And it comes at a very interesting date for this series today because a lot of people back in Hawaii are celebrating L. K.'oko'a when our third one went around the world through different papers, agreement signed, declarations of Independence. And so it's quite interesting that today is the day of the hearing of my grandmother -- my great grandmother was born under the Hawaiian flag. She was a staunch advocate for all things Hawaiian but was also very proud of her heritage from being American, from her father having resided and been born in Salem, Massachusetts. And so she served as a bridge for our people to really kind of navigate this time of great change in Hawaii, our homeland. And at the core of everything that she did was her love of her people and of knowledge and of educating others. And so we're
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very, very honored and thank the committee and also all the artists for the hard work that they put into trying to depict what she meant -- not just what she looked like, but what she meant to all Native

Hawaiians and also to those of her heritage from her father's side (inaudible) of the world and her Salem, Massachusetts side and so, Mohalo. I'm very happy if there's anything that you want me to answer, I can.

MS. STAFFORD: Well, certainly after we move through the candidate designs, we will definitely have questions for you, so thank you. Thank you very much. All right. And I see we have our Smithsonian representative also here, Halena Kapuni-Reynolds. Halena, could I ask you to say a few words?

MR. KAPUNI-REYNOLDS: Yes, of course. Aloha kakahiaka. Good morning from Hawaii. My name is Halena-Kapuni-Reynolds. I am the Associate Curator of Native Hawaiian History and Culture at the National Museum of the American Indians. I started in April, so this was one of the first portfolios that I've been working on completely following the launch of the Edith Kenao Kanaka.ole quarter. So it's been a
blessing in many ways to be able to work with the ohana, the family on these coins and hopefully to provide you folks with enough information to make a sound decision regarding the design. As the brief mentions, Pukui is a really prominent Hawaiian scholar and author that is quite beloved and well known in the community. And this quaint is really an opportunity to really reintroduce her again to the next generation to ensure that her work lives on and that we're constantly engaging with the legacy that she leaves behind. So I'll end there and it's great to be here with you folks today, and $I$ look forward to the design discussion.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you so much. And again, we'll definitely come back to the family and to Halena with questions as we move through. So we'll look at our candidate designs now, and as well as family representatives, our Smithsonian subject matter expert. As you all know, we have three different congressional committees with which we work, and so I will be noting their preferences as we move through the portfolio. So let's start with design one.

MR. KAPUNI-REYNOLDS: Before we continue with the portfolios, $I$ do see that we have two more of Pukui's family members that are ready to introduce themselves. Dodie?

MS. BROWNE: Hi. Aloha.
MR. KAPUNI-REYNOLDS: Maybe if you want to, they're going to start talking about the brief. So if you'd like to introduce yourself, and then La'akea, we'll jump back to you, okay?

MS. BROWNE: Can you hear me?
DR. BROWN: Yes.
MS. BROWNE: Okay. Aloha. I'm Dodie
Brown. I am a granddaughter of Mary Kawena Pukui, and this is such a wonderful honor, and I appreciate what you are all doing for her. Mohalo.

MR. KAPUNI-REYNOLDS: Thank you, Dodie. And La'akea?

MS. WARREN: You're muted again. There you go.

MR. SUGANUMA: Okay. Yeah. Being raised from infancy by my grandmother. I know how hard she worked to preserve her culture and her
language, and she would shy away from all the accolades and awards and accepting graciously. But I know in her heart all she wanted to know is that her work made a difference. And it certainly did, and it has, and it continues to every day. So again, we're very thankful that we were able to recognize her and I'm thankful to my daughter for all the hard work she did while $I$ was absent. Thank you.

MS. STAFFORD: Okay. Thank you so
much. So we will move through the candidate design, starting with design 1. This design was identified by the Congressional Native American Caucus of the House of Representatives as a preference. Design 1A was identified also by the Congressional Native American Caucus of the House of Representatives as a secondary preference. Design 1B was a preference of the family of Mary Kawena Pukui as well as the recommendation by the U.S. Commission of Fine Arts. Design 1C was a preference by the National Congress of the American Indians and the Senate Committee on Indian Affairs.

Moving on, we have Design 2, which was also a preference of the family of Mary Kawena Pukui,
a secondary preference of the National Congress of the American Indian and the Senate Committee on Indian Affairs. @A, 2B, 3, 4, another preference of the family as well as a secondary preference of the Senate Committee on Indian Affairs. And finally, design 4A. And that concludes the candidate designs.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. And we want to really thank the family for joining with us to help us in this very intriguing yet exciting exploration of these designs before us. To the committee, are there any technical or legal questions that you may have about this program? Hearing none. And we will begin our consideration. We'll begin with none other than, Art.

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Arthur

Bernstein and there are a lot of preferences we've heard. I think the preference I lean towards is the family choice of Design 2. And I note the granddaughter's comment about appreciating the simplicity and the inclusion of the Bishop Museum, which the honoree was so closely associated.

DR. BROWN: Mike?

MR. MORAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. This
is Mike Moran. I was initially attracted to the series that starts with image number one and I have some concerns as to how this thing would coin up because there is a lot of background in there as to whether it will distort it or not. And that's something that I've struggled with since I first started studying the portfolio and I have not answered it for myself yet. Then I go to one that nobody really mentioned at all, 4A. And I like 4A for its simplicity. It captures the essence of her and does it with satisfactory negative space. I would point out if you go back to 4, the stack of books behind her is looking to the left, does absolutely nothing for the design and just actually clutters. This one was probably the one in the portfolio that $I$ struggled with the most because usually something comes right out, hits me, and I go for it. This one, not really.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Turn now to Donald>

MR. SCARINCI: I really liked 1, and I'm kind of listening to what Mike is saying about 4A.

I can see what Mike likes about 4A. You know, I like the way her shirt drapes over the circle. I think our former member would have said that if she were still here today, our sculptor member, so I like that. And I also, you know, and $I$ also have to say, $I$ kind of like the fact that she's writing on a wall. The other designs, you know, but I'm really intrigued by 1 and 1A as between the two of them, you know, 1 is -- 1 really, really, I think would make a very interesting coin design for this series. Whereas 4A would be more same ole, same ole, you know, done. Not a bad design. I mean, a good design -- it's a good design. It's not a bad design. It's a good design, right? But my preference is to be a little more bold with the design. And, you know, I think as between the two, number 1 is my trifling. The others in the portfolio, you know, I just, I wouldn't do 1C. I wouldn't do 2 with the house. I think it's just too much stuff, you know. The same thing with the, you know, with the plant in the background. You know, I just don't see any of the others as being possibilities. I think it's really between 1 and 4. That's my pick.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Peter?

DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. It's Peter Van Alfen. I'm very attracted to 1 and 1A as well. This whole group of number 1, obviously, shares a lot of similarities. And I do have a question for the family why it is that they would have preference for 1B over, say, number 1? If one of you could address that. I'm just curious to know why it is that you find this more compelling.

MS. HARMAN: So I can address that. Again, so this has been a steep learning curve for us so -- initially, I think when we were presented with the first set of designs, we were not aware -- there were certain elements from each of the designs that we liked, but I didn't quite understand that each one was done by a different artist. And so it's not possible to mix and match. What we loved about all the -- the first -- the number 1 submissions were that this is the one that looks most like my great grandmother. And so her likeness was very much captured the way that she would have worn her hair with the hibiscus
flower, with her family. Her property in Oahu is known for tourists but would have gone by her property on Birch Street to look at her beautiful hibiscus flowers that she was very proud of. And so we liked all of those elements. And then, what we -- yeah, and so, I think this was an attempt to kind of change and then have the United States of America underneath and just appear but that was before we understood what the process was about the artistry that went into each of the submissions and that they were done. So our suggestion was kind of trying to do (inaudible) kind of thing with different elements of different designs, and so it would still be -- a preference, would be 1A, 1B. Yes. That's all.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you. All right.
Thank you very much.
DR. BROWN: Thank you. John?
MR. SAUNDERS: This is John Saunders.
Dr. Brown, thank you. I like the 1 series, but I think it looks better on paper than it would in a coin. I'm concerned with the hand being too prominent on the coin. I mean, it's beautiful. But again, I'm
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not sure how it would coin up. So my preference went to the two series based on my thoughts there. I like all three of the two series. They're not that much different. I kind of like the hibiscus almost as well as the Bishop Museum because, you know, we show her with a hibiscus in her hair. I've always thought, you know, I love the hibiscus flowers. A Hawaiian girl with a hibiscus in her hair is, you know, typically Hawaiian. So I like that one almost as well. But I'm going to go with my first voice to go along with the family and go with one with Bishop Museum with a hibiscus and a very close second. Three, I didn't -I wasn't in love with. Between 4 and 4A, I like 4A better, but I like the earlier designs better. DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Turn now to, Kellen?

MR. HOARD: Thank you. This is Kellen Hoard. I was a big supporter of the 1 series as well. And I liked all the different -- actually, all four of the ones in the 1 series. But $I$ would tend either towards 1 or 1C. The reason being that 1 A lacks the lei. And I would like to get, actually, both those
elements in there. She's not wearing or holding the lei in $1 A$ and then, $1 B, I$ know it was raised in a concern in the descriptions by $I$ think it was one of the congressional committees that she was both holding it and wearing it, and they didn't like that so much. So I like her either wearing or holding it in 1 or 1C, and $I$ find that both of those designs quite excellent. So that's about it for me. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn out to, Harcourt?

DR. FULLER: Thank you, Dr. Brown. This is Dr. Harcourt Fuller. I also like the 1 series. You know, the 1 series reminds me, if I may say so, of the Jovita Idar quarters and just gives me the same uniqueness, the same feel. And I'm curious to see if my colleagues would agree with that assessment. But I like the 1 series. Can we go to 4A, please? Yes. I also like 4A. I like the fact that she's, you know, she's writing with the pen. I think that's quite unique. You know, she pops in this design as well, even though it is a bit traditional in terms of a coin design. But $I$ like how she's writing
with the pen. And there was a-- and let's see. can you go -- is that 12? Let me see. There's one of them. If you could -- yes, this one right here. I'm sorry. Go back. Yes. I do like this one as well. Not necessarily for the design, but for the lettering. I think that when you look at this coin, you can clearly see her name and her name pops in this one, you know, so I like that. But again, I like the 1 series. Thanks.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn out to, Dennis?

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr. Brown. And thank you to the family and our liaisons for your insight and sharing your thoughts. I want to praise the artist of group 1 through 1C. I think this is a beautiful portrait, and I think the entire composition is very well done. I would love to see this on a three-inch silver medal. It's just a remarkable design. However, as a writer myself, I was more drawn to the designs where she is shown either actively writing or pausing the active writing. So that leaves us with group 2 and group 4. The two designs in group
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4 reminded me of the 2017 Sequoia Native American \$1 with the invention of the Native American syllabary, where he is actually drawing on the coin as well. So I think that's a neat effect. But I do prefer group 2 in general. I think these are nice compositions. They're nice portraits. They have her in the act of written communication. And I like the inclusion of the Bishop Museum. And $I$ know that design 2 in particular has not only family buy in, but also is a preference of two of our Senate liaisons. So I'm strongly in favor of design 2. Thank you, Dr. Brown. DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Turn now to, Chris?

DR. CAPOZZOLA: All right. Thanks, everyone. Just briefly, series 1, I shared the enthusiasm for it, but also just the hesitation that it just seemed a bit busy. You know, the lines on the back, which $I$ know represent water, might not come through clearly enough there. There is a certain simplicity to 4A, you know, for the work that this coin would need to do. It's the easiest and safest choice that the CCAC could make, and that doesn't
necessarily make it the wrong choice, but, you know, it certainly gets, in some ways, the work done. I'd be perfectly happy with 2 as well. And then again, if the preference is for 2 at the Bishop Museum, that would be my preference in the 2 series. I did have one comment and two questions -- or one comment and one question. The comment is about if you look, for example, at 4A, I want us to spend a little bit of time thinking about fonts and ways in which fonts of the United States of America appear here. And then, "N.n. I Ke Kumu" and I want to make sure we're not exoticizing her Native American identity by exoticizing the fonts in certain ways. And $I$ think that happens in some of the other designs as well. And think about, frankly, I'd love to see United States of America in the same font as "N.n. I Ke Kumu". And then the question for the liaisons is about translation. Some of the designs include the translation, "Look to The Source," and some do not. And the tension here is that, you know, a coin is a teachable moment. It's a chance to teach the Hawaiian language. On the other hand, the question is, you
know, it also reiterates the idea that Hawaiian is a foreign language rather than, you know, part of America's heritage. And just wondering if you have any preference among the liaisons or family members about the translation question.

MS. HARMAN: Yes, if I could chime in again. So $I$ am in a lot of ways trying to kind of carry on like great grandmother's footsteps. I currently teach -- my 22 nd year teaching at Hawaii (inaudible) School. And so this is something that we talked about as a family (inaudible) my father, (inaudible) and my sisters as well. It's very important that -- we felt like we didn't want to have the translation because not just that it made it a little busier looking. But that's a conversation that I feel -- we felt that would come out in the literature that accompanies the coin itself. And all the website at the U.S. Mint, that information that would be brought out to the general public could have a deeper kind of conversation about the status of native languages all over the world and especially our unique language. And so we felt that, you know, that
would cause people to pause and ask questions about, I wonder what this said, and then dive deeper into what our language, how to learn our language. And I'll read the letters for that when accompanying it, rather than just have the point itself and have the translation right there. So we prefer the designs that did not have the translation. And that was, I think, why the subsequent designs in the 1 series was to add on these thoughts again, and $I$ just want to -well, actually, I'll wait for the -- so that's that. Yeah. No translation would be our preference.

DR. CAPOZZOLA: Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Thank you so much and we really appreciate it. Do you have any further comments you'd like to offer based on what you've heard from the committee?

MS. HARLAN: Yes. So -- and maybe my dad and my aunt would also thank you so much for taking into consideration the ideas of the preferences. And I want to just share so the whole 1A series -- the 1 series, any of the designs. And this is where we kind of defer to the expertise of people.

All the things that you thought of for exactly what you had spoken about in (inaudible) as far as how is it going to look translating onto a coin with all the background that's going on and, you know, all the business of it all. And then can we take off the translation. And so these are all questions that we ask ourselves as well. The whole series of the number 1 design and the number 4 were actually the present of my father. So you have on the call two grandchildren and Auntie Dodie is her preference, was the number 2 design series. And then my father and myself and our sisters, we kind of leaned more towards the number 1 design as well as the number 4 because of her -- the likeness to what our great grandmother not only looked like, but what she did. so writing, like, somebody had said, (inaudible) writing was perfected and preserving all of this knowledge for us. And so, that would be our, I think -- I don't know. I'm done if you wanted to say something as well.

MR. SUGANUMA: I just wanted to add that they're all beautiful. And a little bit about the significance of the hibiscus. Where we lived in

Honolulu, on the corner of Birch and Elm Street, the house was surrounded by hibiscus. Every type of hibiscus that existed. In fact, tour buses on the way to Waikiki would swing into this little area and go right by our house to show the tourists all the beautiful hibiscuses. So the hibiscus plays a prominent role, you know, in the place where we grew up. Also,
"N.n. I Ke Kumu", it's a good way to learn a little bit about Hawaiian, but it's very significant because "N.n. I Ke Kumu" means to look at the source. And it's a phrase that used a lot today after she wrote that book. Because when people have questions about our history, about our thinking, and about our culture, you know, they're also look to the source. And it's very, very important, I think, for people to know, "N.n. I Ke Kumu" Mohalo. Thank you. MS. HARMAN: Yes. So you can see the family has even that art. So you have a very daunting task because different branches had different preferences and then, Auntie Dodie, I don't know if you want to talk about the number 2 design, which was
your preference.
MS. BROWNE: Well, my take on it is is my grandmother was a very simple person. She lived with us. I was an only child, so she always talked about the museum, and she felt very strongly that she would not have been able to do what she did without the support of the museum. It gave her direction, and I think, to me, it would be nice to include the museum. She was a simple person. She didn't like a lot of designs, color, if you remember the time frame, she was born in 1895. Her preferences were always calicos, which were small prints for, always made by -- we had a wonderful seamstress that would make her mu'umu'u and she always chose things that were simple, simple design, simple taste. And to me, and that was what came through on that particular coin with the museum, is it's very simplistic. And that was, to me, very much her. That's why that was -- I was drawn to that one.

DR. BROWN: So you have -- we really appreciate the different views of the family. And you have made an important point that you made the
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challenge even more substantial for us because we typically like to lean in the direction of the family. We appreciate that, but I'm going to turn it over to some of my colleagues because the more that we can sort of narrow this, the better, and the stronger is our recommendation. Donald?

MR. SCARINCI: Thank you so much for, you know, explaining your different views. And I, you know, and I -- and I'm looking at this a little differently now. And I'd like to ask Joe a question about am I correct that the -- in 01 in the 4 series, all by the same artist, by the way, in the 4 series, is this going to be incuse? Is the United States of America and the, you know, and the motto and everything going to be incuse in the coin with the portrait, you know, kind of, jutting out of that incuse? Is that the way you're envisioning it?

MR. MENNA: I'm not envisioning it.
The artist -- I don't mean that disrespectful.
MR. SCARINCI: No.
MR. MENNA: The way the artist -- this is Joseph Menna. The way the artist is envisioning it
is as presented, the text would be necessarily highest. The swirls that it's on after that, the texture could not be textured field, that would also be a raised layer. And the white behind that, that would be the part that is polished. I think in this series, number 4 provides greater -- the 3 or 4 provide a greater opportunity for success. MS. STAFFORD: It's 1 --

MR. MENNA: I mean, 1B and 1C rather provide a greater opportunity for success because of the clarity. There's an implied border that goes behind her neck that's raised. There's only a few more of those borders. Then we see the secondary layer that is raised, which is textured. It doesn't have outlines, but it would be raised, and that will provide more opportunity for polish. And I think there's more logic to the swirls, yet it does not detract from their dynamic quality. From my perspective, I'm not trying to influence anybody or invalidate anybody.

MR. SCARINCI: No. And the United States of America doesn't appear on the obverse, so
this is the only place it's going to appear.
MR. MENNA: Yes, sir.
MR. SCARINCI: I, you know, I like the elegance of the portrait, you know, by the artist who did the first series. And I can see why you like number -- and I could see why you like number 1B, you know, I mean, the portrait is nice. And I agree with Joe. I think this stands out. And I guess my conclusion after listening to everything is, you know, if we went with 1B, it's a coin that would stand out on a reverse as opposed to, you know, as opposed to 4A, which is just like every other coin in the series. DR. BROWN: So it seems to me --

MS. HARMAN: And if $I$ can interject really quickly. So the 1B, I think that was our attempt after we saw the initial designs that were presented, and that was our attempt to kind of simplify like she said. You know, there was a lot going on, as beautiful as the design was, and it really does stand out. We wanted it to be simplified a little bit and then include more elements. So that's why we asked for her dress to be shown as well
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as a lei, because these are -- although the design might not have been something that she would have necessarily chosen, it's not quite a (inaudible) but it is our -- something that all of our kupuna, all of our ancestors were very proudly our traditional garment. And so, with a lei as well, which is a state tree of Hawaii. The state tree -- kukui and so this is on the second part of the second part, the second draft that we were sent. That was kind of our attempt. So I really do appreciate hearing from your standpoint how it would translate into a coin and how this is different, you know, the raised lettering and all of that would work out to stand out. And we just really like the way that she's looking off into the distance. And with all her knowledge, but also her dignity of "N.n. I Ke Kumu" looking to the source and off into the distance rather than straight head on at us.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Unless any committee members -- Kellen?

MR. HOARD: I just have one quick question if $I$ could?

DR. BROWN: Please.
MR. HOARD: This is Kellen Hoard. I find myself more and more drawn to, you know, 1B and $1 C$ in terms of clarity on the coin, in terms of the likeness, like we've discussed, in terms of the symbolism, in terms of the language, but without the translation. I find myself just really drawn to it. I'm wondering from the family if they could speak to 1B versus 1C, because both of the Senate committees, I believe, were concerned about the lei being in the hand and on the neck. And I didn't know whether there's a preference in the family, whether the fruit be more clear, whether they're worried about the duplication or whether they're okay with kind of the 1B words in both locations, whether they had concerns with clutter or with appearance or any other thing, what the distinction was for the family.

MS. HARMAN: I can kind of -- just because -- that was my -- so, I just have to Mohalo, Roger, for, you know, just kind of walking us through this whole process. So the way that the hand is shown, I actually had concerns about that because this
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is not like a traditional gesture that we would have had. And so it was a (inaudible) strange from an artist perspective, and then also, I think, previous points, there's some kind of uniformity to the gesture itself. And so having something in her hand was very important. I think the only difference between although multi lines are very similar, having the lei in her hand and then also on her neck would have been our preference, but it's not a very strong, strong preference. And the only reason I'm sorry if I offend anyone, but the tool that's in her hand, it kind of reminded us of, like, a good part of (inaudible) that was like, I don't know about the tool as opposed to just the way that it looked, and I don't know how that would translate on a coin. But -- and so that was why something was a little rather than (inaudible) in her hand.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's do this, my colleagues let's, in fact, score issues, and then let's see if that gives us any further clarity about the next step. So we're going to take five minutes to scoring that will be completed by Greg

Weinman. And then he will report the results to us. MS. WARREN: So we recess for five minutes.
(Off the record.)
DR. BROWN: Okay. We are back. I now recognize Greg Weinman, who will present to us the results.

MR. WEINMAN: Once again, out of the 10 members scoring, the high possible score is a 30. That in mind, design number 1 received 19 points. Design 1A received 12. Design 1 B received 20 points, which is a very close top scoring design. Design 1C received 17 points. 02 received 13 points. 2A received four points. 2 B received 7.3 received 2. 4 received 4. And 4A received 14. So, once again, really very close between 1 and $1 B, 19$ and 20 points, respectively, followed by 1C with 17.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, Greg. Are there any motions from the committee?

DR. VAN ALFEN: This is Peter Van
Alfen. I would move that we adopt $1 B$ as the reverse for this as is it seems to align with the family's
preferences and with the CFA as well.
MR. BERNSTEIN: Arthur Bernstein seconds.

DR. BROWN: Okay. Any discussion on the motion? Hearing none. Seeing none. All those in favor signify by saying, aye.

GROUP: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Any opposed? Any
abstentions? By my hearing, it sounds like that it is a much (inaudible) carried unanimously.

I would like to take this time to really thank the family. We can understand can appreciate how the family, particularly when we get together. There may be times when we don't always see eye to eye on everything. So we appreciate the fact that you were courageous enough to share with us the differences that you had in terms of this program. So we'd like to take this moment to thank you for your attending and participation in this discussion.

MS. HARMAN: (inaudible) to the committee.

MS. BROWNE: (inaudible) Take care.

Thank you so much. Aloha.
DR. BROWN: Okay. All right. So this
is the last order of business for today's session. I just would like to take a few moments to share some comments. Serving as chair of the Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee for two consecutive one-year terms was a tremendous honor and privilege. Collaborating with the committee comprised of experts from various fields, including artists, historians and collectors, allow for a rich and diverse exchange of ideas. The responsibility to provide recommendations to the Secretary of Treasurer on coin and medal designs involved balancing historical significance with cultural representation and artistic innovation. This experience not only deepened my appreciation of the importance of coins and medals in preserving and reflecting national heritage, but also underscored the significance of public engagement in the storytelling of the nation's coinage on one of the smallest (inaudible). I would again like to thank members of the CCAC, the phenomenal Mint staff, our liaisons, our artists, and the public for their attendance today and
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to let them know I'm fully, really appreciative of what you have done and supported the CCAC over these last two years.

The next public meeting will be in 2024 and announced in the Federal Register. I now ask if there is a motion to adjourn.

MR. SCARINCI: Dr. Brown, before a motion to adjourn, I'd like to say something. Were you going to say something?

DR. VAN ALFEN: I was but go ahead.
MR. SCARINCI: So, I mean, I would like to speak personally to those of you who are in the selection process for CCAC members. And I would like to share with you something rather personal. I, you know, for three-and-a-half years during the Pandemic, I ran the law firm operating remotely and it was the most challenging experience of my life. Second to none. And, you know, for the last, you know, during this Pandemic, Larry, you know, was, you know, more heavily engaged than any other member that I've known since 2007. And when $I$ first became a member, he was -- I never got a call from a chairman before. You
know, but --
DR. BROWN: Never harassed.
MR. SCARINCI: Never harassed. And,
you know, the caring and the attention that he has paid to other collectors who've asked questions. And his concern for making sure that everyone was touched and his concern for making sure that as we changed members on this committee throughout the Pandemic and we hardly knew each other because we never met in person until recently, you know, it was Larry -- it was Larry Brown who kept us all together as a group. And I would hate to see, you know, I think one thing, you know, we really need as a committee, as a CCAC, is some cohesiveness and continuity. And coming out of this Pandemic, we're not there yet, right? I mean, people are still working from home, you know, in my law firm and everywhere else, and we're not there yet. And I think having continuity on this committee creates cohesiveness. And $I$ just wanted to say personally, I want to implore any of you who I don't have any idea what the process is or who's involved in it. You know, it's a mystery to me. But, you know,
whatever criteria you're using to consider appointing members, $I$ really like you to give a strong, hard look to Dr. Brown and the contributions he's made throughout the most difficult time, certainly of my life, and I think probably most of you. And it was even more difficult having new members join us, you know, every four years during the same period. So I just want to make a personal appeal to whoever is involved in this process to reappoint him. Thank you.

DR. VAN ALFEN: And to that, I would just like to say $I$ think we owe Dr. Brown a hearty round of applause for doing such a fantastic job in the last couple of years as Chair.

MR. MORAN: Before you get in, I want to add my two cents in on this. And, Donald, I highly concur with everything you've said. I consider Larry a friend. We've had many conversations on the phone, and he always listens to me. But no, I really think that he's been an excellent leader, and we could use four more years of his participation on this committee and his leadership on this committee from whether it's a chair position or a voting member position. I'd
like to see him have another term.
DR. BROWN: Okay. Folks, listen, I did not open that door for the sake of -- Harcourt?

DR. FULLER: I believe I have my hand up.

DR. BROWN: That, you do.
DR. FULLER: And folks, please forgive me also for $I$ know you're anxious to get home, but please, I also need to weigh in. I appreciate Dr. Brown's service, and particularly, as a military man as well, because one thing I appreciate about Dr. Brown is, when he's at the helm, I have a lot of confidence. You know, it's like when you're on an airplane or you're taking a cruise, and you know that the person at the helm is capable. They listen. And I have a lot of confidence. If you guys look at how he runs a meeting, it's precise, you know, and it's just -- again, the word that comes to mind is capable and confident. And $I$ want to say that you're very inspiring as well. You -- as one of our colleagues said, you call folks, you really care about people's opinions. And that is something that we have to
commend because there are leaders that don't listen to other folks. You're very respectful of your colleagues, and again, $I$ appreciate you. Certainly on a variety of levels you represent a lot to a lot of people, and $I$ think that, you know, since, $I$ mean, $I$ think, we've had a great run with respect to the diversity of ideas, of individuals, of thought, of designs with you at the helm. And just finally, not only do I appreciate you, but I appreciate everyone who has served on the CCAC and everyone who continues to serve. Thank you.

MR. MENNA: As an observer, not as my current position, but as someone who's been in the audience for almost 20 years of this stuff. The coolest thing, Dr. Brown is just an incredible gentleman, a great person, but I've never seen a chairperson actively solicit make sure everybody was heard and go back and double back and double back. He is the most egalitarian person to sit in that chair in the 20 years that I -- nearly 20 years that I've been here.

DR. BROWN: Folks, I think we have a

```
motion on the table. All those in favor? Aye.
```

Second. Okay.
DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. I'll
second. I'll second. Peter Van Alfen.
DR. BROWN: All those in favor, aye.
GROUP: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Opposed, nay.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Too bad. Even
if you do --
DR. BROWN: We are adjourned.
(Whereupon, at 2:32 p.m., the
proceeding was concluded.)
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| 110:22 111:8 |  | mmersi | 166:11,12 |
| 112:4,21 |  | 23:20 | 168:2,16 174:3 |
| 113:10,13 | $82: 11$ | imperfectio | 176:2,12,16 |
| 115:3 121:17 |  | 83:1 | 178:10,20,22 |
| 122:2 | 0:8 | implied 172:11 | 179:20 |
| hued 147:2 | ii | implore 181:20 | inch 15:16 24:2 |
| huge 55:13 | 89:22 | importance | 32:12,14 38:7 |
| 59:3,5 | 22 | 12:19 57:1 | 163:18 |
| uh 4:117 |  | 179:1 | inches 76:4 |
| hula 148:15 |  | important | include 16:4 |
| hum 55:18 | $10.1$ | 13:18 22:2 | 46:11,12 |
| human 138:22 | $1: 15$ | 23:1 57:14,19 | 120:12 147:4 |
| humanity |  | 60:6 99:4 | 165:18 170:8 |
| 137:12 | 08:3 109: | 101:14,22 | 173:21 |
| umor 56:9 | 10:12 112 | 127:16 147:2 | included 71:4 |
| hundell 118:5 |  | 149:22 166:13 | 114:10 116:8 |
| 118:8 119:4 | 115:7 116:12 | 169:16 170:22 | 120:8 |
|  | 15.7116 .12 | 176:6 |  |


| includes 6:12 | indistinguish... | innovative | integrated 59:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $5,7$ |
| 120:6 | 4:7 | $6: 1$ | tell |
| 121:2,4 138:22 | inducted 43:12 | innovators | 133:6 |
| 147:13 148:15 | infancy 154:21 | 41:6 57:4,5,17 | intention 76:10 |
| 149:7 179:9 | infant 147:6 | input 15:13 | tentional |
| inclusion | infantry 91:6 | 29:5 138:15 | 112:13 |
| 147:15 156:20 | 92:12 93:9 | 146:3 | nteraction |
| 164:7 | 102:22 103: | inscribed 71:4 | 141:12 |
| incorpor | infantryman | inscription | interchange |
| 18:9 105:3 | 101:16 | 72:1,12 73:1,2 | 141:12 |
| incorporated | influ | 3:4 97:6, | interest 79:8 |
| 100:18 | 172-19 | 149:12,14 | nterested |
| increased | influenced | inscriptions | 186:15 187:12 |
| 126:12 | 127:17 | 43:15 71:2 | interesting |
| incredible 42:3 | information | 75:5 76:22 | 24:18 25:10,11 |
| 184:15 | 46:18 85:2 | 77:3 97:21 | 27:18 40:13 |
| incuse 72:5,8 | 125:8,9 153:3 | 109:2 117:12 | 54:4 62:9 64:7 |
| 171:13,15,17 | 166:18 | 126:15 149:13 | 106:9 133:10 |
| independence | initial 91 | insight 58:5 | 134:12 151:7 |
| 151:11 | 173:16 | 62:20 146:1 | 151:12 158:9 |
| indian 11:4 | initially 157: | 163:14 | interestingly |
| 150:6 155:20 | 159:13 | insignia 104:2 | 24:9 54:7 |
| 156:2,2,5 | injuries 126:10 | inspiration | intergovernm... |
| indians 147:4 | innovation | 5:3 | 2:5 9:1,9 |
| 152:19 155:20 | 6:19,20 7:3, | inspiration | interject |
| indicate 7:17 | 9:17,18,20,20 | 59:16 63:15 | 173:14 |
| 33:22 | 40:18,19 41:3 | inspire 44:10 | internally 74: |
| indicates 77:6 | 41:5 47:4 | 5:9 59:16 | international |
| 109:13 | 55:12 56:15,20 | inspiring | 14:16,17 30:13 |
| indifferent | 57:6 60:8 66:1 | 183:20 | internet 50:6 |
| 82:14,18,22 | 66:5,16 67:4,4 | instrumen | 77:5 |
| indigenous | 125:2,3 131:13 | 103:5,8 | interpretations |
| 147:3 | 133:16 134:14 | insult | 80:4 |
| indirectly | 134:17,20 | integral 96:14 | interviewe |
| 13:20 | 143:1 144:10 | 126:13 136:11 | 149:3 |
|  | 144:10 179:14 |  |  |


| intrigued 38:11 | 139:17 143:17 | 83:18 84:21 | 154:1,6,16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 134:4 158:7 | issued 99:1 | 86:6 105:11,12 | karat 70:19 |
| intriguing | issues 11:7 | 124:19 131:7 | 71:20 |
| 39:16 156:9 | 48:8 49:14 | 132:12,13 | kawena 10:21 |
| introduce 5:2 | 73:19 83:14 | 142:16 160:17 | 11:1 147:7,12 |
| 40:21 45:14 | 106:14 147:1 | 160:18 | 147:16 149:12 |
| 47:2 70:12 | 176:19 | john's 85:9 | 154:13 155:17 |
| 90:13 94:1 | item 18: | join 14:16 | 155:22 |
| 125:5 146:19 | items 59:5 | 182:6 | ke 165:11,16 |
| 150:3,8 154:4 | J | joined 9:15 | 169:9,11,17 |
| 154:8 | january | joining 156:8 | 174:16 |
| introduced | jennifer 2:4 4:3 | jonathan 55:1 | keep 19:7 |
| 6125 | 7:88:22 34:20 | jone 62:3 | 1:16 37:19 |
| introduction | 2012 | joseph 8:16 | keeping 123:7 |
| 44:7 | jimmie 10:9 | 48:19 76:16 | 148:12,19 |
| invalid | 94:4 95:3 | 171:22 | kellen 2:10 |
| :20 |  | jovita 162:14 | 5:10 23:15 |
| inv |  | judge 9:18 | 25:22 37:17 |
|  | $21 \cdot 2142.2$ | 26:18,18 | 47:9 53:21 |
| invention | .7 132.3 | 145:22 | 68:2 81:19 |
| 164:2 | 4:4 146:7 | judged 110:3 | 105:20,21 |
| inverting 109:1 | 182:12 | jump 154:9 | 113:2,3 124:13 |
| invest 141:2 | joe 18:6 21:21 | jumped 19:15 | 133:12,13 |
| invite 94:6 |  | june 112:10 | 161:16,17 |
| 150:7 |  | justice 10:4 | 174:20 175:2 |
| inviting 94:8 |  | 43:20 | kenao 147:14 |
| involved | $57: 1,1266: 19$ | jutting 171:16 | 152:22 |
| 3:22 137:5 | 74:17 132: | k | kept 133:18 |
| :13 181:21 | 2:12 143:7 | 15 | 81: |
|  | :16,2 | aka | keyboard 59:2 |
| involvement | 71:10 173:8 | 2: | 139:18 |
| 142:6,7 | joe's | kanaka'ol | kid 60:21 |
| islands 92:17 | john 2:13 6:6 | 147:14 | kind 19:13 |
| issuance 83:4 | 26:20 33:5,15 |  | 21:18 22:8 |
| issue 41:4 | .20 | 152:22 | 23:3,9,22 24:3 |
| 48:17 59:9 | 68:17,19 |  | 24:11,17 28:13 |
| 74:2 78:16 | 75:9 80:16 | $152: 13,15,17$ | 29:4 30:17 |
| 115:2,13 |  |  | 32:6 37:22 |


| 38:8,15,18 | 51:7 54:8,10 | 166:2,22 168:4 | 35:20,20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49:154:14 | 55:3,5,7,11,12 | 168:18 169:7 | la'akea 154:9 |
| 59:9 61:11 | 55:14,15,20 | 169:15,17,21 | 154:17 |
| 65:12,14,16 | 56:2,3,12 59:2 | 171:8,9,14,16 | lacks 161:21 |
| 82:6 83:4 | 59:11,14 60:17 | 173:3,4,7,9,11 | laid 38:13 |
| 85:10,21 | 60:18,18,22 | 173:18 174:12 | land 55:2 |
| 105:22 106:19 | 61:20 63:21 | 175:3,11,20 | landing 93:8 |
| 114:4 115:21 | 65:6 72:14 | 176:13,14 | 100:3,4,5 |
| 131:17,22 | 74:2 76:5,7 | 180:1,15,18,18 | 101:2,2,6 |
| 133:17,21 | 78:8 79:3,4,8,9 | 180:19,19 | 104:9 108:12 |
| 134:5,21,21 | 79:11,16,17 | 181:1,4,10,12 | 108:13 118:3 |
| 135:4 139:12 | 80:14 81:12 | 181:13,16,22 | landings 100:2 |
| 139:17 140:11 | 97:13,19 104:3 | 181:22 182:7 | 100:21 101:4 |
| 140:18 141:1,6 | 106:2 108:5,8 | 183:8,13,14,17 | 101:19 104:10 |
| 146:6 151:19 | 110:12,15,16 | 184:5 | 110:10 |
| 157:22 158:5 | 110:19 112:8 | knowledge | landmarks |
| 160:6,11,11 | 112:20 113:8 | 30:2 147:20 | 16:6 |
| 161:4 166:7,20 | 117:3 127:7 | 149:19,19 | language |
| 167:22 168:12 | 128:2 129:10 | 151:22 168:17 | 147:19 148:1,6 |
| 171:16 173:17 | 129:11,19,20 | 174:15 186:10 | 148:19,20 |
| 174:9 175:14 | 129:22,22 | 187:6 | 149:4 155:1 |
| 175:18,20 | 130:1,3,3,5,8,9 | known 93:20 | 165:22 166:2 |
| 176:4,11 | 130:10 131:14 | 153:6 160:2 | 166:22 167:3,3 |
| kink 140:18 | 135:14 136:1,3 | 180:20 | 175:6 |
| klann 125:16 | 136:3,4,5,9,13 | kudo 22:15,15 | languages |
| klann's 126:1 | 137:4 140:17 | kukui 149:21 | 166:21 |
| knew 181:9 | 140:22 141:13 | 149:22 174:7 | large 61:3 |
| knife 91:8 | 153:19 154:21 | kumu 165:11 | 142:3 |
| 101:9 110:17 | 155:3,3 158:1 | 165:17 169:9 | largely 106:10 |
| 111:3,3,6,8 | 158:5,7,8,11 | 169:11,17 | larger 108:1 |
| know 4:6 17:14 | 158:15,17,19 | 174:16 | larry 180:19 |
| 22:16,17,20 | 158:19,20 | kupuna 174:4 | 181:10,11 |
| 23:1,5,8,9,10 | 159:9 161:5,7 | 1 | 182:16 |
| 23:17 24:1,7 | 161:8 162:2,13 |  | laser 81:22 |
| 28:18 30:6,14 | 162:19,20 |  | 82:2 |
| 30:20,22 37:19 | 163:8 164:8,17 | 21:3 26: | lasted 44:21 |
| 37:22 38:3 | 164:18,20 | $28: 18 \text { 30:4.8 }$ | 134:20 |
| 40:13 50:20 | 165:1,20 166:1 | 28.18 30.4,8 |  |


| 46:9 65:3 | learning 50:20 | levels 184:4 | life 53:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| latency 65:10 | 159:12 | liaison 2:54:3 | 126:14 127:2 |
| launch 152:21 | leave 56:17 | 7:8 9:2 48:1 | 149:17 180:17 |
| laurel 72:20 | 131:4 | 94:14 123:9 | 182:5 |
| law 41:2 90:16 | leaves 149:21 | 126:17 128:13 | lifetime 56:15 |
| 147:9 180:16 | 153:10 163:21 | 128:20 133:20 | 58:8 61:14 |
| 181:17 | leaving 120:20 | 136:22 137:18 | lifeways 147:22 |
| lawrence 1:5 | ledanski 187:2 | 141:19 | lifting 141:9 |
| 2:2 6:10 | 187:16 | liaison's 96:4,5 | light 47:22 |
| 124:21 | left 103:1 114:6 | 96:17,19,20 | 74:22 91:5 |
| layer 172:4,14 | 157:14 | 104:20 106:11 | 133:22 |
| lead 93:12,13 | legacy 45:8 | 107:6,16 | lighter 74:12 |
| 96:10 98:21 | 149:17 153:10 | 128:15,18,19 | 74:14 |
| 99:2 101:8 | legal 9:4 17:21 | 129:22 136:17 | lighting 75:2 |
| 107:22 108:4 | 28:2 47:7 48:8 | liaisons 9:16 | liked 21:8 24:4 |
| leader 2:16 | 49:13 74:6 | 10:11,19 16:18 | 26:4 127:12 |
| 5:17,20 6:7 | 100:11,14 | 16:21 17:1,5 | 133:14 138:20 |
| 112:5,6 182:19 | 129:2 156:11 | 29:6,9 41:19 | 138:21 157:21 |
| leaders 184:1 | legislation 66:3 | 89:1 94:1 | 159:16 160:4 |
| leadership | legislative 2:4 | 96:13 97:8,14 | 161:19 |
| 182:21 | 9:1,9 | 100:15 105:19 | likely 15:18 |
| leading 104:5 | legs 73:17 | 106:3 108:5 | 95:22 96:2 |
| 104:10 106:5 | lei 149:22 | 109:8 110:17 | likeness 159:21 |
| 111:21 | 161:22 162:2 | 111:19 114:16 | 168:14 175:5 |
| league 94:16 | 174:1,6 175:10 | 114:20 118:19 | likes 158:1 |
| lean 21:4 26:1 | 176:7 | 119:2 120:22 | lilliputian |
| 29:22 80:14 | length 39:15 | 121:16 138:15 | 47:21 55:20 |
| 141:19 142:5 | lens 38:1 | 139:1 150:2 | limited 134:21 |
| 156:17 171:2 | letter 6:13 | 163:13 164:10 | line 125:11,12 |
| leaned 168:12 | 11:11,17 12:7 | 165:17 166:4 | 125:13,17,19 |
| leaning 52:7 | 85:8 | 179:21 | 126:3,6 127:6 |
| 84:6 142:14 | lettering 52:4,5 | liberty 71:15 | 127:14,15,16 |
| learn 42:21 | 52:7 85:18,19 | 72:1,2 77:14 | 129:10,21 |
| 51:1 63:20 | 107:20 109:15 | 77:17 | 130:18 131:22 |
| 64:6,8 167:3 | 163:5 174:12 | library 83:12 | 133:16 135:15 |
| 169:9 | letters 85:5,13 | lieutenant | 135:18,20 |
| learned 64:8,17 | 98:6,11 167:4 | 104:3 | 136:3 137:19 |
| 95:8 148:15 |  |  | 137:22 138:9 |


| 139:14 140:11 | 132:4 138:5 | 129:13,13 | loop 129:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 140:21,22 | 141:15 149:10 | 130:2,4 137:20 | 142:11,13 |
| 141:5,10,13 | 158:14 165:8 | 141:14,15 | lore 148:20 |
| 142:19,20 | 166:15 168:21 | 149:15 153:12 | $\operatorname{los}$ 6:16 10:12 |
| 143:4 | 169:4,9 171:9 | 153:17 160:3 | 14:2,10 16:4,7 |
| linearly 114:3 | 173:21 176:16 | 163:6 165:7,19 | 21:3 26:3,9,13 |
| lines 86:15 | live $4: 22$ | 168:3 169:11 | 26:16,17 27:11 |
| 129:19,21 | 129:12 | 169:15 182:2 | 29:18,19 30:4 |
| 130:3 164:17 | lived 168:22 | 183:16 | 34:15 |
| 176:7 | 170:3 | looked 59:13 | lose 32:7 |
| list 101:22 | lives 12:17 | 80:21 115:15 | 118:22 |
| 147:13 | 153:9 | 119:17,17 | loss 55:8 |
| listed 100:22 | living 102:16 | 132:9 137:18 | lost 33:14 |
| listen 54:22 | 102:18 | 139:22 141:6 | 55:13 147:21 |
| 55:22 183:2,15 | liz 47:3 | 152:4 168:14 | lot 21:20 26:4 |
| 184:1 | location 99:7 | 176:14 | 26:19 44:17 |
| listened 97:20 | 99:22 117:13 | looking 22:5 | 53:15 61:6 |
| 109:8 | locations 100:1 | 23:21 24:17 | 63:15 64:6 |
| listening 95:6 | 100:5 101:20 | 53:12 54:14 | 79:3,3 83:15 |
| 157:22 173:9 | 108:13,14,17 | 61:14 75:12 | 95:8 112:5 |
| listens 182:18 | 116:10 175:15 | 99:15 136:4 | 127:13 139:9 |
| listing 115:8 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o g }} 33: 11$ | 139:13,18 | 151:8 156:16 |
| literal 31:19 | logic 172:17 | 140:10 141:5 | 157:5 159:6 |
| 32:5 48:22 | logo 32:3 140:8 | 150:16 157:14 | 166:7 169:12 |
| literally 18:10 | london 29:19 | 166:15 171:9 | 170:10 173:18 |
| 109:1 149:15 | long 23:11 45:8 | 174:14,16 | 183:12,16 |
| literature | 134:20 | looks 23:4 26:6 | 184:4,4 |
| 166:17 | longed 42:10 | 26:7,13 52:14 | love 62:1 129:6 |
| little 10:5 | look 20:3 22:7 | 52:20 53:10 | 129:11 151:21 |
| 17:14 24:17,21 | 24:6 25:4,8 | 54:14 55:4 | 161:7,13 |
| 26:1,2 42:5 | 39:8 49:9 54:3 | 59:7,13 60:15 | 163:17 165:15 |
| 43:21 49:4,5 | 54:15 55:20 | 61:22 79:14 | loved 159:18 |
| 52:9 54:1,13 | 58:5 59:10 | 86:16,21 | low 77:16 |
| 54:16,18 55:2 | 61:20 63:12 | 108:22 129:17 | lowered 14:18 |
| 64:14 74:21 | 64:15 66:13 | 135:4,20 | lunch 88:22 |
| 83:5 107:21 | 76:20,21 80:20 | 136:13,13 | 123:5,8 |
| 129:12,14,19 | 81:11 105:16 | 159:20 160:20 |  |
| 131:10,15 | 111:2 112:18 |  |  |


| m | 31:12 33:22 | 77:6 94:2 97:2 | 44:13 48:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1:22 | $4: 8$ 45:17 | ma | $3: 1055$ |
| 144:20 | 48:2 49:4,9 | 85 | 4:11 65:17 |
| m1-02 127:11 | 55:17 68:21 | manife | 6:9,10 79:7 |
| m1-05 127:11 | 69:2 81:14 | 85:10 | 80:21 118:12 |
| m1-06 127:10 | 83:13 84:12 | marines 45:7 | 137:20 142:2,2 |
| 127:12 | 85:19 87:11 | mark 42:1 | 142:18 158:12 |
| machiner | 96:8 97:22 | 70:20 96:15 | 160:22 171:19 |
|  | 102:11 108:2 | 99:9 122:21 | 72:9 173:7 |
| machines 5 | 108:12 109:17 | marking | 80:11 181:15 |
| made 13:5 | 111:9 120:2 | marks 15: | 184:5 |
| 17:11 19:8 | 122:9,15 129:6 | 136:8 | meaningful |
| 21 51:2 | 140:16 142:21 | mary | 63:9,9 |
| 66:16 81:15 | 153:3 158:9 | 11:1 147:7,12 | means 35:9 |
| 82:15 85:17 | 164:22 165:1 | 147:16 149:11 | 44:13 66:11 |
| 86:19 93:18 | 165:11 170:13 | 154:13 155:17 | 67:14 144:19 |
| 100:1 103:18 | 182:8 184:17 | 155:22 | 169:11 |
| 107:11 125:12 | makers 142:21 | m | meant 27 |
| 142:22 147:3 | makes 25:4,5,7 | 62 | 52:3,4 |
| 155:4 166:14 | :21 58:7 | mass 125:14 | meat 136:4 |
| 170:12,22,22 | 66:14 76:17 | massachusetts | anical |
| 182:3 | 104:6 | 18152 | 42:13 |
| main | 108:18 130:9 | master 82:3,4 | mechaniz |
| :4 | making 35:11 | match 76:11 | 137:12 |
| mainstream | :17 79:11 | 159:18 | medal 6:15,16 |
|  | 83:2 145:3 | material 59:6 | 6:22 7:2 10:6,8 |
| ain | 181:6,7 | ateria | 14:2 20:3 25: |
| 81:3 82:10 | man 27:1 | 48:2 | 25:13 26:4,7 |
| major 15:2 | 5:3 183:10 | atter 153:18 | 31:5 32:15 |
| 42:10 50:8 | management | matters 12:8 | 34:14 37:21 |
| $8102: 7$ | 2:22 7:19 14:5 | 81:2 | 38:7,22 39:9 |
| 103:20 104:3 | 40:21 70:11 | matthew 1:21 | 39:11 70:10,19 |
| majority $2: 15$ | 90:13 125:4 | 186:2,20 | 70:21 71:3,21 |
| 5:17 | 146:19 | mayor 14:19 | 72:5,19 89:22 |
| mak | manager 3:2,4 | 14:19 38:3,3 | 90:7,11,17 |
| 17:14 | 3:5,6,7,8 7:22 | mayors 14:15 | 94:3,12,14,17 |
| 22:9 27:8 31 | 8:3,5,8,11,14 | mean 4:3 21:2 | 101:14 104:21 |
|  | 8:19 10:8,17 | 21:19 32:16,18 | 108:17 109:13 |


| $109: 17,22$ | $29: 1035: 9$ | $62: 2$ 98:22 | $78: 2,479: 16$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $113: 14114: 7$ | $67: 1489: 4,5,8$ | $100: 16102: 6$ | $83: 8,987: 2,8$ |
| $115: 6119: 8$ | $95: 12100: 10$ | $119: 6140: 6$ | $108: 21109: 19$ |
| 163:18 179:12 | $103: 12111: 17$ | $141: 21157: 10$ | $109: 20120: 1$ |
| medallion 6:17 | $141: 21142: 12$ | mentions $153: 5$ | $138: 2,3143: 6$ |
| $10: 1314: 3,21$ | $143: 6144: 19$ | merit $135: 13$ | $156: 22157: 2$ |
| $15: 321: 1,8,9$ | $154: 3166: 4$ | meritorious | $157: 22158: 1$ |
| $21: 1131: 6$ | $174: 20177: 9$ | $43: 11 \quad$ mike's $39: 18$ |  |
| $34: 15$ | $179: 20180: 13$ | merits $122: 21$ | milestone $15: 2$ |
| medallions | $181: 8182: 2,6$ | message $138: 5$ | military $13: 17$ |
| 15:9,16 39:1 | memorial $16: 7$ | met $49: 7$ | $91: 4108: 7$ |
| medals $15: 7,15$ | $20: 4$ | $109: 10181: 9$ | $116: 2183: 10$ |
| $15: 1819: 8$ | memorialized | metals $114: 9$ | miller's $32: 17$ |
| $95: 13109: 12$ | $93: 4$ | method $91: 3$ | millimeters |
| $114: 21119: 8$ | memories | methods $82: 16$ | $76: 1377: 7$ |
| $119: 10132: 14$ | $53: 1562: 7$ | mic $44: 3$ | million $13: 16$ |
| 179:16 | men $13: 593: 15$ | michael $2: 14$ | mind $33: 18$ |
| media $7: 12,13$ | men's $14: 5$ | $5: 168: 19$ | $44: 261: 16$ |
| meet $47: 11$ | menacing $55: 6$ | $39: 22124: 9$ | $177: 10183: 18$ |
| $60: 20$ | $55: 6$ | michigan $7: 4$ | mine $59: 14$ |
| meeting $1: 2$ | menna $8: 16,18$ | $9: 18,1941: 13$ | minimal $71: 1$ |
| $4: 15,217: 10$ | $16: 1118: 7,15$ | $123: 9125: 3$ | minimum |
| $9: 1613: 17$ | $27: 17,1831: 15$ | $126: 16,17,22$ | $103: 6$ |
| $73: 887: 394: 9$ | $31: 1632: 14$ | $127: 4,20$ | minority $2: 13$ |
| $95: 1133: 15$ | $48: 18,1951: 15$ | $144: 10,20$ | $2: 165: 206: 7$ |
| $180: 4183: 17$ | $52: 1057: 2$ | michigan's | $57: 17$ |
| meetings $6: 14$ | $67: 174: 18$ | $125: 10$ | mint $1: 114: 3,4$ |
| $11: 12$ | $75: 576: 15,16$ | mid $138: 20$ | $7: 8,1611: 6$ |
| meets 68:5 | $77: 13,2082: 2$ | $144: 1 \quad 15: 5,7,13$ |  |
| $106: 20,21$ | $113: 19114: 2$ | middle $18: 5$ | $19: 1841: 4,8$ |
| megan $3: 27: 21$ | $132: 12,12$ | $127: 18,21$ | $41: 1869: 22$ |
| member $18: 22$ | $143: 21,21$ | midst $134: 9$ | $70: 1871: 1,5,8$ |
| $50: 18127: 2$ | $171: 18,21,22$ | mike $7: 119: 11$ | $79: 2,683: 4$ |
| $137: 3150: 14$ | $172: 9173: 2$ | $19: 21,2220: 9$ | $84: 386: 16$ |
| $158: 3,4180: 20$ | $184: 12$ | $36: 3,452: 15$ | $87: 288: 16$ |
| $180: 21182: 22$ | mention $81: 21$ | $52: 1658: 2$ | $111: 12120: 21$ |
| members $5: 2$ | mentioned | $66: 1973: 16$ | $146: 10166: 18$ |
| $7: 918: 2027: 6$ | $37: 1844: 17$ | $76: 1,377: 14$ | $179: 21$ |


| mint's 70:11 | modifications | months 29:16 | 84:10,11,12,15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0:12 125:4 | 68:3 83:13 | moot 80:19 | 84:20 86:6,7 |
| 46:19 | modified | 81:4 | 86:12 87:7,8 |
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