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THE CHAIR: I call this to order, this meeting of the Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee for Tuesday, January 24, 2023. While we will pause for a second to take a look back at 2022, this meeting in fact will actually demonstrate the excitement that we have for this coming year.

I would like to remind each member of the Committee to mute his or her phone or microphone on the Microsoft Teams program, when not talking, and to please, dear sir/dear ma'am, announce your name at the beginning of each time that you speak. Additionally, I'd like to remind the public that this is a listening-only hearing and to mute their microphones as well.

Before we begin, $I$ want to introduce the members of the Committee. Please respond "present" when $I$ call your name. Arthur Bernstein; representing the general public.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Present.

THE CHAIR: Dr. Harcourt Fuller; recommended by the speaker of the House.

MR. FULLER: Present.

THE CHAIR: Sam Gill, representing the general public.

MR. GILL: Present.

THE CHAIR: Dr. Dean Kotlowski; specifically
qualified in American history.

MR. KOTLOWSKI: Present.

THE CHAIR: Robin Salmon; specifically qualified in medallic arts or sculpture.

MS. SALMON: Present.

THE CHAIR: Mike Moran; recommended by the senate majority leader.

MR. MORAN: Present.

THE CHAIR: Dennis Tucker; specifically qualified in numismatics.

MR. TUCKER: Present.

THE CHAIR: Dr. Peter van Alfen; specifically qualified as a numismatic curator.

MR. VAN ALFEN: Present.

THE CHAIR: John Saunders; recommended by the House minority leader.

MR. SAUNDERS: Present.

MS. WARREN: And, excuse me, this is Jennifer Warren. Mr. Saunders, when you're not speaking, if you could just mute your phone, don't go ahead and mute in the system, and then unmute, that would be helpful because we get feedback. So, I just appreciate it. Thank you. This is Jennifer Warren.

MR. SAUNDERS: Okay.

THE CHAIR: Based on what I've heard, I know that we are not expecting Donald to join us, so we do have a quorum.

The agenda for today's public meeting includes the following. Swearing in of our new CCAC member, John Saunders; approval of the minutes and letters to the secretary for the November 15, 2022, meeting. A summary of Fiscal Year 2022 accomplishment as reflected in the annual report. And finally, a review and discussion of the candidate designs for the Harlem Hellfighters Congressional Gold Medal.

Before we begin these proceedings, I ask that the Mint Liaison to the CCAC, Ms. Jennifer Warren, if we are aware of any members of the press who have remotely signed on to this public meeting?

MS. WARREN: Yes, sir. This is Jennifer Warren. Mike Unser, founder and editor of CoinNews Media Group, LLC. And Paul Gilkes, Coin World senior editor, Amos Media. And sir, I believe that's because Mr. Saunders is now on through the internet rather than the phone. And that's awesome.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. For the record, I would like to confirm that the following Mint staff are attending the meeting today. Please, sir/dear ma'am, please indicate present after I've called your name.

April Stafford, chief, Office of Design Management.

MS. STAFFORD: Present.

THE CHAIR: Megan Sullivan, senior design specialist.

MS. SULLIVAN: Present.

THE CHAIR: Roger Vasquez, senior design manager.

MR. VASQUEZ: Present.

THE CHAIR: Pam Borer, design manager.
MS. BORER: Present.

THE CHAIR: Russell Evans, design manager.

MR. EVANS: Present.

THE CHAIR: Boneza Hanchock, design manager.

MS. HANCHOCK: Present.

THE CHAIR: Joseph Menna, chief engraver.

MR. MENNA: Present.

THE CHAIR: Mike Costello, manager of design and engraving.

MR. COSTELLO: Present.

THE CHAIR: Jennifer Warren, director of legislative and intergovernmental affairs and liaison to the CCAC.

MS. WARREN: Present. And I also want to note that Director Gibson, Ventris Gibson is on the call as well because she's not on your list.

THE CHAIR: John Schorn, chief counsel.

MR. SCHORN: Present, it's Schorn.

THE CHAIR: Schorn. My apologies.

Robin Terry, legal counsel to the Harlem

Hellfighters Congressional Gold Medal.

MS. TERRY: Present.

THE CHAIR: Brendan Tate, senior government affairs specialist, Office of Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs.

MR. TATE: Present.

THE CHAIR: And finally, I want -- just want to note for the record that we will be joined -- actually we have been joined, as you can see them now, the liaisons for the Harlem Hellfighters Congressional Gold Medal. Dr. Richard Harris, who is the John L. McMullen Professor of Humanities at Webb Institute in Glen Cove, New York, and a member of the Board of Trustees and chair of Exhibits Committee of the North Shore Historical Museum in Glen Cove, New York.

And Dr. Salter, who is a retired U.S. Army Colonel, and military historian, and African American historian, and currently serves as president of the Pritzker Military Museum and Library.

I'd like to begin with the Mint. Are there any issues that need to be addressed before we begin?

MS. WARREN: Dr. Brown, this is Jennifer Warren. I did see that Donald Scarinci just got on as well, so we should have all members on the call.

THE CHAIR: Outstanding, and thank you. I'd like to take just a moment to acknowledge our outgoing member, Mary Lannin. Mary served on the CCAC since 2014 , which she was initially appointed by then Deputy Secretary Raskin and then reappointed by then Secretary, Mnuchin, in 2018, on the recommendation of the then House Minority Leader, Nancy Pelosi.

During her time on the CCAC, Mary served on the CCAC Working Group and also served as chair of the CCAC in 2015 and in 2021. Mary is a life member of both the American Numismatic Association and the American Numismatic Society, as well as a member of numerous numismatic societies including the Royal Numismatic Society, the Swiss Numismatic Society, the San Francisco Ancient Numismatic Society, the Pacific Coast Numismatic Society and the New York Numismatic Club.

I consider, and I think as many members of a CCAC here, consider Mary a dear friend and colleague. And I know that many of us on the CCAC will miss her contributions because -- and we will continue to embrace them as we go forward because you could not be a member of the CCAC and learn from the experience with Mary.

Now we will move to the first order of business. And the introduction is swearing it on Mr. John Saunders, who was appointed by Secretary Yellen on December 6, 2022, for the position recommended by the then House Minority Leader, Kevin McCarthy.

I'd like to also introduce and welcome John Saunders, who was appointed -- sorry about that. so, to welcome him, John, you know, I know that you've been a professional numismatists for many years and coin collector. I often like to say I'm a coin collector more than a numismatist. I know you and I probably share that feeling. But he is a co-author of The Gold Coinage of Belgium from Ancient to Modern Times. I like to welcome John to the Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee and look forward to his contributions.

MR. SAUNDERS: Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Director Gibson, the Director of the Mint will administer the oath to Mr. Saunders. So, I turn it over to Director Gibson.

MS. GIBSON: Good afternoon, and thank you, and welcome you all, and specifically Mr. John Saunders. As Dr. Brown mentioned, you are a lifelong numismatists. And you have attended many coin shows, you've owned and sold ancient coins, and you taught college classes on the history of coinage. So, for that, we say thank you. And
we enthusiastically welcome you to the CCAC.
Mr. Saunders, in just a moment $I$ have the honor of administering the oath of office to you. And with this oath, you will fill the position on the CCAC appointment based on the recommendation of the House Minority Leader, now a House Majority Leader. And -- I'm sorry, now the Speaker of the House, and join a very select and prestigious group of individuals invested in U.S. coins and medals.

Mr. Saunders, would you please do me the honor of raising your right hand and then repeating after me? I see it clearly. Yes. I do solemnly swear.

MR. SAUNDERS: I do solemnly swear.

MS. GIBSON: That $I$ will support and defend.

MR. SAUNDERS: That I will support and defend.

MS. GIBSON: The Constitution of the United states.

MR. SAUNDERS: The Constitution of the United

States.

MS. GIBSON: Against all enemies, foreign and domestic.

MR. SAUNDERS: Against all enemies, foreign and domestic.

MS. GIBSON: That $I$ will bear true faith.

MR. SAUNDERS: That I will bear true faith.

MS. GIBSON: And allegiance to the same.

MR. SAUNDERS: And allegiance to the same.

MS. GIBSON: That I take this obligation freely.

MR. SAUNDERS: That $I$ take this obligation freely.

MS. GIBSON: Without any mental reservation.

MR. SAUNDERS: Without any mental reservations.

MS. GIBSON: Or purpose of evasion.

MR. SAUNDERS: Or purpose of evasion.

MS. GIBSON: And that I will and well.

MR. SAUNDERS: And that $I$ will and well.

MS. GIBSON: And faithfully discharge the duties.

MR. SAUNDERS: And faithfully discharge the duties.

MS. GIBSON: Of the office on which I'm about to enter.

MR. SAUNDERS: Of the office which -- of which I'm about to enter.

MS. GIBSON: Ms. Saunders, you may let your hand down now. And congratulations and welcome to the Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee. And not only do we look forward to working with you, but having your input and your support as we chart a path for the Mint and for the American public going forward. So, I'd like to invite you to say a few words.

MR. SAUNDERS: Well, thank you all. And, you
know, with the one meeting beforehand, I've figured out that I'm joining quite an elite group of people, quite a -people that have, you know, consistent interests in numismatics coinage along with my interests. And I'm very pleased to be part of this group. And hope that $I$ can contribute in some way that's useful. And I look forward to meeting, really know everybody better. It's quite a nice group of background. It does seem like everybody on the committee has got something special going. So, I thank you for letting me be part of your group.

MS. GIBSON: Dr. Brown, your microphone is muted.

THE CHAIR: I apologize. That's one of the handicaps when we are in fact having these virtual meetings. But Mr. Saunders, I want to again welcome you aboard once again, and to let you know that each member of the CCAC will do so in their unique way when we get together next month, I have no doubt about that.

Moving on to the next order of business, and that is to -- and I know that many of the members have received a revised minutes. So, I'd like to in fact entertain first a motion to say if any comments based on the revised minutes of the meeting of November 15, 2022, the revision was in fact...

MR. GILL: Mr. Chairman, this is Sam Gill. I do have one correction, and that is that $I$ was not present at
that meeting, I had a travel conflict. And so, I'd like the record to reflect that accurately.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Sam. So, we need a motion to in fact approve the minutes with the revision of in fact not having Sam being present during that meeting...

MR. VAN ALFEN: Mr. Chairman, this is Peter.

THE CHAIR: Go ahead, Peter.

MR. VAN ALFEN: Hi, this is Peter van Alfen. So, moved.

MR. BERNSTEIN: And this is Arthur Bernstein, second.

THE CHAIR: Based on a motion and a second. All those in favor, aye.

UNIDETIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.

THE CHAIR: Are there any objections to the motion? If not, without objection, the minutes will be approved.

Now I'd like to have a motion to approve the
letters to the secretary from our meeting of November 15, 2022 .

MR. VAN ALFEN: This is Peter van Alfen, so moved.

MR. GILL: This is Sam Gill, I second.

THE CHAIR: All those in favor, aye.

UNIDETIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.

THE CHAIR: Are there any objections to the
motion? If not, and without objection, the minutes and the letters are now approved. The next order of business I'd like us to spend a few moments about is to recognize the Fiscal Year 2022 accomplishments as reflected in the annual report of the Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee. I think it is important that we recognize this, particularly since we have returned from virtual meetings to in fact the inperson meetings during, the first one in June of 2022.

The CCAC met a total of five times in fiscal year 2022, reviewing 15 design portfolios for programs including three Congressional Gold Medals, five circulating coins, one bullion, and six numismatic products, such as the American Innovation dollar, and a Native American dollar.

With finally being in-person, we have the opportunity to say formal good-byes to several of our CCAC colleagues, including Tom Uram, who was recommended by the United States speaker of the House, and Jeanne StevensSollman, who represented the interest of the general public, and Robert Hoge, who was specifically qualified in numismatic curation.

As this meeting is the last under my term as CCAC chair, I will be starting the second term probably at the next meeting that we need at the end of this month. I look forward working with the CCAC because we have a lot of great things ahead of us in 2023, including receiving
reports from the Future Numismatic Themes Working Group, as well as the Semiquincentennial Working Group, which they will report to us and we will in fact share that with the full CCAC and the public in the upcoming year.

Having then spoken about those, I'd like to move to the next item on the agenda, which is a review of the candidate designs for the Harlem Hellfighters Congressional Gold Medal. I must tell you that and for our liaisons in the interest of full transparency, I'm an Army veteran. So, I know I have a thousand questions. But as a chair, I will defer to my colleagues to let them ask the questions as I know they will have.

But before we do so, I'd like to turn it over to April Stafford, who's the Chief of the Mint's Office of Design Management, who will introduce the program and present the obverse and reverse candidate designs with a Congressional Gold Medal to the Hellfighters.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you so much. First, a little background on this program. It is Public Law 117-38 that awards a Congressional Gold Medal to the 369th Infantry Regiment, commonly known as the Harlem Hellfighters, in recognition of their bravery and outstanding service during World War I. The unit known as the Harlem Hellfighters was originally organized in 1916 as the 15 th New York National Guard. They gave themselves the nickname "The Rattlers"
and adopted the image of a rattlesnake as their symbol.

They were transported to France, re-designated the $369 t h$ Infantry Regiment and assigned to support the French in spite of an official U.S. policy to keep all American troops under American control. There, the Harlem Hellfighters received abbreviated training, and were issued French equipment, including rifles and the Adrian helmet, but maintained their Doughboy Uniforms.

The Harlem Hellfighters were known not only for their bravery, but also for their morale-boosting marching band. Under the direction of James Reese Europe, the band introduced jazz music to European audiences. When the war ended on November 11, 1918, the Harlem Hellfighters had served more days in the combat zone than any other American regiment. They were awarded a French Croix de Guerre unit citation, and 171 members were individually awarded the Croix de Guerre for bravery.

The Harlem Hellfighters faced unconscionable discrimination before, during and after the war. For this, their story is disturbing and often infuriating. However, it is also about the extraordinary bravery, dedication, and sacrifice about the amazing courage and dignity the Harlem Hellfighters exhibited in the face of battle and racial prejudice.

As you noted before, Mr. Chairman, the Mint worked
with two experts in the development of this portfolio; doctors Harris and salter. They are joining us today for this discussion. Doctors Harris and salter, appreciate your time. Would you like to say a few words to the committee?

MR. SALTER: Absolutely. First of all, it is my honor to be here. I am a veteran as well. And I happen to be a military historian and an African American military historian having the opportunity to put the history together with Richard. It has been an honor. And I think what I'm going to do is just sit and answer any questions you may have. I am aware of the two initial selections, and so just happy to be here.

MR. HARRIS: I also -- this is Richard Harris. I also am honored to be here and to be involved in the discussion of the Congressional Gold Medal for the Harlem Hellfighters. This -- I think this whole movement towards the medal began several years ago when $I$ found out that there were, in fact, from Glen Cove, a small town on Long Island, there were over three dozen members of the Harlem Hellfighters on that one town. And I began doing research and mounted an exhibit, and that was what more or less set things off and finally ended up with the Congress passing that and it's been signed by President Biden on August 21, 2021. So, again, $I$ certainly appreciate this opportunity.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you so much. And so, without further ado, we'll share the portfolio of candidate designs. At the top, we will feature the designs that our liaisons identified as their preferences, as well as the recommendations from the U.S. Commission of Fine Arts. So, if we could advance ahead, you'll first see Obverse 4, this is the preference of both of our liaisons that are with us here today. And it is also the recommendation of the CFA.

Moving on to reverses, you'll see Reverse 2. This is the identified preference of our liaisons. And then you'll see Reverse 3, which is the recommendation of the CFA. The CFA felt very strongly that the design should nod to the Harlem Hellfighters' musical contribution, their cultural contribution with jazz music, and appreciated very much the designs, this one in particular that incorporated a reference to that. And we do have two designs in the portfolio, that if recommended to go to the secretary, we would have to reverse the position so that the American flag is on the left.

In addition to preferring this design because of the musical instruments, the CFA recommended should it move forward that the Croix de Guerre, which they understand is very, very important to our liaisons, to be included in the composition, actually become a medal and hang from a ribbon, as you can see in some of the other designs, and so
that would mean shifting the inscriptions appropriately. They also recommended that the flag depict the flag that would have been in use at the time and have the appropriate number of stars. They suggested considering removing the inscription, "LET'S GO," "RATTLERS," and wanted to know, you know, if the liaisons felt very strongly that the campaigns that the Harlem Hellfighters were involved in, if that was very, very important to be included on the reverse, perhaps that is something that could be discussed. So, those are the preferences of our liaisons as well as the recommendation of the U.S. Commission of Fine Arts.

We'll go ahead and go through the portfolio of obverse designs. Starting with Obverse 1. Obverse 1 depicts a battle-hardened Harlem Hellfighters affixing his bayonet as he prepares to join his comrades in a charge. The flames in the background represent battlefields conditions and the infamous name of their regiment. The inscription is "HARLEM HELLFIGHTERS." Obverses 2 and 3 depict a uniformed World War I American infantry men from the 369th Regiment with a prominent Croix de Guerre emblem. The inscriptions are "HARLEM HELLFIGHTERS," "RATTLERS," "15TH NEW YORK NATIONAL GUARD," and "U.S. ARMY 369TH INFANTRY REGIMENT." This is 2 and 3.

Moving on to Obverse 4, which again is the
preference of our liaisons as well as the recommendation of the CFA. This design depicts three uniformed World War I American infantry men from the 369 th Regiment. The inscriptions are "HARLEM HELLFIGHTERS," "15TH NEW YORK NATIONAL GUARD," and "U.S. ARMY 369 TH INFANTRY REGIMENT." Moving on to reverses 5 and 6. I'm sorry, observes 5 and 6. These designs depict the Harlem Hellfighters in uniform along with the inscription "HARLEM HELLFIGHTERS." In Design 5, seen here, he stands in a World War I trench. And in Design 6, he stands in front of a draped American and French flag. This design also features the inscriptions "U.S. ARMY 369TH INFANTRY REGIMENT" and "15TH NEW YORK NATIONAL GUARD".

Obverse 7 features a portrait of a Harlem Hellfighter. The inscriptions are "U.S. ARMY 369TH INFANTRY REGIMENT," "15TH NEW YORK NATIONAL GUARD", and "ACT OF CONGRESS 2021". Obverse 8 features a portrait of a Harlem Hellfighter in full uniform carrying his rifle. The inscriptions are "CHAMPAGNE-MARNE DEFENSIVE," "AISNE-MARNE OFFENSIVE," and the "MEUSE-ARGONNE OFFENSIVE."

Obverse 9 depicts two Harlem Hellfighters on a battlefield in France during World War I. They wear the American Doughboy uniform with the French Adrian helmet and an armed -- and armed with the Lebel Model 1886 rifle. The inscriptions are "U.S. ARMY 369TH INFANTRY REGIMENT,"
"HARLEM HELLFIGHTERS," and the "15TH NEW YORK NATIONAL GUARD."

Obverse 10 depicts four Harlem Hellfighters charging forward from the trenches during World War I. The inscription is "HARLEM HELLFIGHTERS." Obverse 11 features three Harlem Hellfighters in a fierce charge and the inscription, "HARLEM HELLFIGHTERS." Obverse 12 depicts Harlem Hellfighters in a trench on the battlefront. The inscriptions are "CHAMPAGNE-MARNE DEFENSIVE," the "AISNEMARNE OFFENSIVE," and the "MEUSE-ARGONNE OFFENSIVE".

And finally, Obverse 13 depicts two Harlem Hellfighters on the battlefront. The inscriptions are "U.S. ARMY 369TH INFANTRY REGIMENT" and "ACT OF CONGRESS 2021." Those are the obverse candidate designs. I'll move on to the reverse designs.

Reverse 1 features the Croix de Guerre atop crossed American and French flags. Arched over the top of the design is the musical score for a passage from James Reese Europe's, On Patrol in No Man's Land. The inscriptions are, "OVER THE TOP," "LET'S GO," "MEUSEARGONNE," "CHAMPAGNE-MARNE," and "AISNE-MARNE."

Reverse 2 features the Croix de Guerre Medal, crossed American and French flags, and the coiled rattler insignia, representing the accomplishments of the Harlem Hellfighters. Crossed olive branches represent the peace
they helped bring to Europe. The inscriptions are "AISNEMARNE OFFENSIVE," "MEUSE-ARGONNE OFFENSIVE," and "CHAMPAGNE-MARNE DEFENSIVE." Again, this reverse is the preferred design of our liaisons. And note, if it is to move forward to the secretary for consideration, we will have to be switching the placement of the American and French flags.

Reverse 3 represents a commemorative crest assembled from elements significant to the 369 th Infantry Regiment. A coiled rattlesnake insignia, the French and American flags, the Croix de Guerre, a French Berthier rifle, and a tenor saxophone. The inscriptions are "U.S. ARMY 369TH INFANTRY REGIMENT," "LET'S GO," "RATTLERS," "2021," "AN ACT OF CONGRESS."

Please note, this is the recommendation of the CFA and there are several suggested edits that they have noted. I've shared those at the top of the meeting. And the Mint, again, if this were to move forward, the American flag would necessarily be placed on the left and the French on the right.

Reverses 4 and 4A feature a detailed view of a Doughboy Uniform with the Croix de Guerre medal. The inscription is "U.S. ARMY 369th INFANTRY." The additional inscription on Design 04 is "RATTLERS." And 4A.

Reverse 5 depicts elements closely associated with
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the Harlem Hellfighters. The Croix de Guerre, adorned with a bronze star, crossed American and French flags, the coiled rattler patch, a crossed rifle and saxophone, and three campaign streamers representing the "CHAMPAGNE-MARNE DEFENSIVE," the "AISNE-MARNE OFFENSIVE," and the "MEUSEARGONNE OFFENSIVE." The inscription "171" is below the Croix de Guerre, representing the total number of medals awarded to the 369 th Regiment.

Reverse 6 depicts the Croix de Guerre and the inscriptions "U.S. ARMY $369 T H$ INFANTRY REGIMENT," and "ACT OF CONGRESS 2021." Reverses 7 and 7A depict the Croix de Guerre and The Rattlers insignia, along with the inscriptions "THE OLD 15TH," "U.S. ARMY 369TH INFANTRY REGIMENT," and "ACT OF CONGRESS 2021."

Reverse 8 depicts a crossed rifle and trombone with American and French flags hanging, representing both the bravery and the music that the Harlem Hellfighters brought to Europe. The design also features a five-pointed star and The Rattlers insignia. The inscriptions are "HARLEM HELLFIGHTERS," "AISNE-MARNE OFFENSIVE," "MEUSEARGONNE OFFENSIVE" and "CHAMPAGNE-MARNE DEFENSIVE." And finally, reverses 9 and 9A feature The Rattlers insignia. The inscriptions are "HARLEM HELLFIGHTERS" and "RATTLERS."

Mr. Chairman, that concludes the candidate designs.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much, April. I'm pointing now back to the Committee and asking are there any technical or legal questions from the Committee about this program or these designs for the Harlem Hellfighters Congressional Gold Medal before we begin our general discussion. Again, ladies and gentlemen, please remember to mention your name before you offer your comments or questions.

MR. VAN ALFEN: Dr. Brown, this is Peter van Alfen. I do have a question -- a technical question for gentlemen concerning reverse number 4 and 4 a. It seems that there should be sort of textured, if I understand the representation here. And if that's so, is -- how would this texturing be done in production?

MR. MENNA: This is Joe Menna, Peter. We would simply take the very same texture file that the designer used to generate this image extensively in Photoshop or perhaps SketchBook Pro and would -- we would be able to actually use that to emboss the texture. And if we felt it was necessary, we have the liberty to slightly scale it up to make it readable, 3 inches.

And same thing, the stitching would also be done by hand. And everything here would be eminently legible. The only thing I'd say we might cheat a little bit, and I don't mean that, you know, you know, you know what $I$ mean,
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the badge below "infantry", the finesse of those lines, we would come up with a device to make that readable as corrugated the way it is as well. All this -- we can make all this work at three inches, basically.

MR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Are there any other questions from members of the committee?

MS. WARREN: Sorry, this is Jennifer. Donald has his hand up.

MR. SCARINCI: A question for April. You know, I think that, you know, Obverse 1 looks to me to be the most artistic one. Is -- was Obverse 1 close, you know, for the committee. How did the constituent feel about Obverse 1? Was it not in their consideration at all, or just a little bit?

MS. STAFFORD: So, I will say for the CFA, they did not have much, if any discussion, over Obverse 1. But we do have our two liaisons here, doctors salter and Harris. Would you like to comment on your perspective of Obverse 1 as an option?

MR. HARRIS: Sure. This is Richard Harris. Well, we do. We finally decided that, well, with Obverse 1, we didn't spend a lot of time considering that either. And we decided that we liked Obverse 4 the best for several reasons. For, one, it depicts several soldiers and we
wanted to recognize the $369 t h$ as a unit as opposed, for example, to focusing on one of the greatest hero, Henry Johnson. And also I know I had mentioned when Dr. Salter and $I$ were discussing this that there's a photo essay, I guess you call it book links (ph), titled Willing Patriots. And I think one thing that really struck me in going through that book was the look of -- the sense of dignity that you could tell on the soldiers pictured there. And I think that's one thing we wanted to try to capture here. So, the two considerations, I think, as far as I would say were one that we wanted to suggest with three figures the sense of the whole regiment, so to speak. And for me, personally, as I said, I'm so struck by the photographs of that book that I hope we would be able to convey something of that.

If $I$ could mention one thing, I also think that here that the -- on this edition, with this copy, it has "15TH NEW YORK NATIONAL GUARD" and "U.S. ARMY 369TH" on the obverse and the reverse, and I don't think we need to repeat those. I think the last design we were looking at actually just had the "15TH NEW YORK NATIONAL GUARD" on the front with HARLEM HELLFIGHTERS and then the 369 TH U.S. ARMY INFANTRY REGIMENT on the reverse.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much. Donald, you have an additional question?
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MR. SCARINCI: Yeah. If I may follow up. On that reverse, how did you feel, I mean, with the Commission on Fine Arts suggestion for Reverse 3, if we change -- you know, if by motion after the vote, we change the legend on the top? You think it's better or worse than the one you picked by including the instrument? I guess that's what I'm asking.

MR. SALTER: No. We spoke about this. So, we do actually like this one with the instrument because it -with the weapon and the instrument, it does highlight two of the significant importance of the $369 t h$. You know, a lot of folks know the Harlem Hellfighters because of the 369 th band. But what we really wanted people to know is that they were in the trenches and fighting longer than any other regiment, so that's why the rifle. And so, we like this.

We would recommend that it would be reversed, that you would have the rifle overtop of the saxophone. And I guess when you're talking about the text, I'm assuming you're referring to the U.S. Army 369th Infantry Regiment. So, going back to Richard's point, one of the reasons we like this combination as well is you do get both of the historic names on the coin.

On the front side, you get the original name, the National Guard name. And on the backside, you'll get the
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Army designation name. So, now you're showing front four. So, we would recommend, as Richard said, that only the -you know, the 15TH NEW YORK be on the front side because you do have the 369 TH on the back. And I think those are the two questions you asked.

MR. SCARINCI: Yes. That's very helpful. Thank you.

MR. SALTER: Yeah. And the only -- the other thing I want to say is, you know, we had a long discussion when we were writing the historical overview. You know, one of the things, you know, you know, being a military historian and an African-American history historian and combining both of those, the African-American military experience, which is what I've been studying and writing about and teaching for the last 30 -some-odd years, you know, the magnitude of the African-American service.

So, to go back to that first coin, you know, you have one individual as very artistic, you know. But we wanted to show as many folks as possible and we figured that, you know, three would be the maximum. You know, with that distinguish focus look of a soldier who was either going into combat or soldier who has been in combat and who was proud to be serving for his country. So, that's, you know, why we like four the best because you do get these three striking images. And one of the things -- and now as

I'm looking at this when the change has been made, $I$ see in the middle soldier the chin strap does match the others. MR. HARRIS: Also if I could add the -- as far as the reverse is concerned, if we could go back to that for just a second. Thank you. A couple of other -- by the -- it's the other one, the R-3. Krewasky and I actually talked last night about this for a while. And certainly the flags have to be reversed with the American flag on the left. Also we thought that it is -- because when we thought about it, it is important to have the acknowledgement of the significance of the band.

But as he said, we got -- we put the rifle on top. There were soldiers first and also musicians. In terms of the overall design of this, we thought probably too we could eliminate the text, "LET'S GO," "RATTLERS" because we have the unit's insignia with -- with the stake on it. And so, also the fine arts -- the arts committee recommended that the Croix de Guerre be shown as a medal to be worn. And so, what they suggested was that it be shown with the ribbon.

And we agreed that to do so, what we would need to do with this design would be to make the insignia, the rattlesnake smaller, so we could have that design of the Croix de Guerre with a ribbon on it. And I think those were the main -- I think those were the main points we had
discussed last night. So, that, again, just to sum up have the lettering at the top, make the -- switch the position of the flags, put the rifle over the saxophone, delete "LET'S GO," "RATTLERS," and put a ribbon with the Croix de Guerre.

THE CHAIR: Much appreciated.

MR. SCARINCI: Mr. Chairman, before I relinquish to Joe, you know, I mean, maybe we should consider -- I mean, that was very articulate and very thoughtful analysis of these two designs. And maybe in order to save some time, maybe to streamline the conversation when we're ready to talk about the designs, those of us who are supporting -- who would support obverse 4 and reverse 3 with an amendment to make the changes as suggested, maybe we don't need to speak. And we can save a little time. And the others who feel that there is another candidate that they prefer to support, maybe they could just -- I think they could be the ones to speak and see if they could persuade us better than the constituents have certainly persuaded me...

THE CHAIR: Well, thank you so much for your comments. We will certainly take that under consideration. But let's turn to Joe. He had his hand up a couple of times there.

MR. MENNA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is Joe
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Menna. Speaking to Mr. Harris' point, I was -- I paid attention when the CFA mentioned lowering the medal over the insignia. And $I$ would say just as a point of consideration, instead of really shrinking the insignia as the award is gifted to these heroes, you could lower the - you could lower the medal so it overlaps the insignia just a little bit, maybe shrink the insignia a touch, but by having the insignia overlap -- lowering the insignia, so it overlaps, not touches the snake but overlaps a little bit as it's been gifted, it's awarded to these heroes and then we can give you the top of the ribbon too but not too much of it, like not sure of the full ribbon. Because $I$ think if you shrink the insignia, you might lose some -- I'm not going to editorialize as to what your wisdom is. But if the insignia is important to you, that's just another option $I$ would present. That's all.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Joe. Now I have a couple of questions, I think, maybe instructive to the Committee members. So, if $I$ can pose them to the liaison to get some feedback. Can you share with us the term of Doughboy uniform? Where did that come from? I have never heard about having served in the military, but it'll be interesting to hear from your stories about where that came from.

MR. SALTER: You want me to take that one,

Richard? You know, that is --

MR. HARRIS: Sure.

MR. SALTER: -- a loaded question. There are -this is one of those questions that, you know, you have different answers. But one of the ones that $I$ stick to is going back to marching and your uniform getting caked up with a lot of the soil and the dust. But there's, you know, several different interpretations. So, I think you're hitting the nail on the head. There is no one historical tie to that, but that is the one that $I$ have heard the most.

THE CHAIR: Richard, do you have additional comments?

MR. SALTER: I think you're on mute, Richard. MR. HARRIS: And Krewasky, correct me if I'm wrong. But as I remember, this -- that term Doughboy may well go back to the Mexican War 1845-'48.

MR. SALTER: Yeah. That's what I'm talking about, the marching in the --

MR. HARRIS: Right.
MR. SALTER: And that's the first footage we have of soldiers. Matter of fact, we have footage of buffalo soldiers and rough riders as early as the Mexican -- no, not the Mexican. You're talking about the Spanish-American War.

MR. HARRIS: Yeah. Well, I've read also that this -- the term may go back to the Mexican War, but certainly was used during the 1898 Spanish-American War.

MR. SALTER: Yes. Spanish-American War is where I heard it from.

MR. HARRIS: As you've said, I don't think there's any final agreement on it.

MR. SALTER: You're right. And of course, that has no significance on the Congressional Gold Medal. I mean, they are wearing the U.S. Army Doughboy Uniform.

THE CHAIR: My next question has to do with the musical aspect of this. How did that manifest itself given the fact that they were in the trenches? So, when did that manifest itself to become part of this historical picture?

MR. SALTER: That is -- that's actually from the very beginning. So, Richard Hayward (ph) and I know Richard can jump in as well. You know, he was the commander who was picked to recruit African-American soldiers in New York. And one of -- and this interesting thing about the Harlem Hellfighters is they had a low number of African-American officers. They only have five officers. And one of the first individuals that Hayward and his men recruited is, guess who, James Reese Europe. It's just like any other thing, you know, what will allow you to go out and recruit. So, really from the very
beginning, our -- look like someone else was talking.

So, really from the very beginning, the musical component was there. Pomp and Circumstance has always been a part of military units. And then when they get to Europe, although the majority of the soldiers do stay downrange in the trenches, the band, just like in a lot of units today, is a separate entity of a regiment, of a brigade, of a division or corps. And so, the band actually went on tours while the majority of the other soldiers did actually stay in the trenches.

So, it was concurrent. And the reason $I$ said at the beginning, that's what most people know them about because that is a part of the genesis also of jazz being brought to Europe. Of course, you know, there was -- you can go back to a few stories before then. And so, that is the popular vision that a lot of the French had and a lot of the Americans remember from the 369 th.

It's almost like the entertainment arena gets more visualization today. But we thought the weapon needed to be there because they were American soldiers. They did spend 191 days in the trenches. They had their first German casualties as early as 1415 April. And one of the individuals who shot one of the first Germans, and those of you who are artists know of Horace Pippin. Horace Pippin was a $369 t h$ Infantry soldier.

So, I mean I could go on and on but the genesis of the saxophone and the band really goes back to the very beginning with recruiting and it lasted throughout. And unfortunately, as we know, James Reese Europe lost his life just months after coming back and getting into a scuffle with a band member. I believe they were in New York. I don't know if you want to add anything else, Richard. I think you went back on mute, Richard. You came off of mute and went back on mute.

MR. HARRIS: That's right. As you said, the band was a very important element of the 369 TH . And in fact, in February of 1919 when the 369 th returned, there was a march up, a parade up Fifth Avenue and the band was a big part of that also from basically, Madison Square around 23 rd street up into Harlem. And the band was a big part of that.

So, that's why we thought about at least recognizing the band not only for that but also of course it's said because it was really the James Europe's band that brought jazz to Europe, to France. And evidently, from everything I've read was not simply interesting to the French, but they went crazy over jazz. They loved it.

MR. SALTER: Yeah. From the time they stepped off the ship that they were playing jazz music. And playing the French national anthem actually is one of their first songs they played when they arrived on New Year's Eve, New

Year's Day of 1918.
THE CHAIR: One of the benefits of being on the CCAC is to hear about the historical context of the programs that we are reviewing. So, for that reason that I've asked you these questions. I have one other question that has to do with context, and then I'll turn to design issue. I'm sort of curious, out of almost more than a century since these, in fact, soldiers, in fact did their heroic events.

What do you think are the factors that explain that has taken us as a country so long? And that, Richard, you shared with us, were it not for those members from Glen Cove, New York, I'm not sure that we might be where we are now today. So, I'm just curious about -- from the two of you, whether you can help us to appreciate the importance of this real gold medal, Congressional Gold Medal, in light of what you know about the historical context why are we here today?

MR. HARRIS: Well, I think the one thing, it was very interesting, when we had at the museum I worked with, in November of 2017, we had a celebration there of the Harlem Hellfighters and actually a -- the Purple Heart was given to the daughter, Sophie (ph) of Long Island, the daughter of one of the Harlem Hellfighters.

It's been very difficult for us to locate other
descendants. It's something that the Committee -- the Harlem Hellfighter Recognition Committee that I've been chair of, that we've been working on. But $I$ would say this very quickly that at that gathering in the museum, I am sure that we were far over the limit for people as far as the fire marshal was concerned.

But half a block away, there was a fire on that very morning. And he ended up being up there and didn't come down to see if we have far too many people in the museum. But it's -- I think, in part, it's just a fascinating story. And as I said, what I -- I've long been interested in the First World War and I knew who the Harlem Hellfighters were.

But when I started going through old newspaper articles from 1918 in the local library and $I$ saw here the names of all these people, here's -- this happened, that happened, $I$ thought it was an absolutely fascinating story that really should be brought to the attention, at least, of the community. And there were also a couple of men from Glen Cove who were Tuskegee Airmen, and my wife and I interviewed one of them. And so, the whole thing then came together. Mr. Krewasky, if you want to, salter?

MR. SALTER: Okay, yes, so I'll try to give you a historical overview that actually kind of starts back in the era of 1918. But first, let me just kind of start, you
know, holding up, you know, this book. This is when $I$ was at the Smithsonian, We Return Fighting, an exhibition that I had the opportunity to curate as well. It's about the African-American experience during World War I.

So, why is it so important today? Number one, by the time you get to World War I, African-Americans have been serving this country in uniform since pre-American Revolution. So, first of all, they have been in all those wars. And so, when you get to World War I and you just think about the $369 t h$, which is one of eight regiments, African-Americans and their white officers, especially for the 369 th had to fight for the right to fight.

We hear that for World War II. But they had to fight for the right to fight because people still didn't think that they were able to be good soldiers on the battlefield. So, that brings you up to 1918. And then, after the war because we had Red Summer and we were still in, you know, separate but equal and a racial environment. We had the Read Summer.

Most African-Americans were really forced and encouraged to take off their uniforms and not wear their uniforms because some folks felt that going overseas and the equal treatment that they had received, that they were bringing back this attitude that now they were equal in America.

And so, I always tell folks that $I$ believe World War I generation, these men and women planted the seeds for the World War II generation to execute the civil rights movement, white and black. Because still when you get to World War II, you're still fighting to prove that you are a soldier. And so, African-American military history was not really celebrated.

And this is to go real fast to the sweep, it was the movie Glory in '18 -- in 1998, '99, that really got people interested, well, man, there were African-Americans in the Civil War. And then, I can give you a historical oversweep, until we get to the centennial. But to your point, why is it so important now?

It was the centennial that made most of America and most of the world really aware of the significant contribution of the American experience and also that there was an African-American experience. And while I was a curator at the smithsonian, which is right across from the Met, we were still finding that there are African-Americans who are living today who are just now finding out that they had a grand uncle, or a grand cousin, grandfather who served in World War $I$ because all of that stuff was either destroyed or put in a box because they were forced to suppress it.

So, now with this medal band out there, it's no
longer suppressed. People will begin to start researching their history, people with African-American descent. And to Richard's point, when they had the exhibition up in his region, you know, once people find out that AfricanAmericans were there, they come out and their pride in being an American begins to flow out even more because now they know that, hey, I had a relative who was instrumental in World War I.

And I just like to close because as a historian, you give us the mic and we might talk too long. I would like to close by saying, you know, the 369 th was one of eight regiments. And those eight regiments were less than 19 percent of all of African-Americans who served during World War I. Four million African-Americans served, 2 million served overseas.

And that was approximately 10 percent of the American unit -- units that served -- 400,000 I mean because there were 4 million Americans, approximately 10 percent. So, that's my historical overview why this is so important today because now it illuminates not only them, but the other experiences of African-Americans during World War I.

THE CHAIR: So, I want to thank both of you because as I mentioned that one of the benefits of being on the CCAC is to hear the context of the design portfolios
that we are reviewing. And while I do understand and praise my colleagues on the CCAC, please forgive me, I know that our normal rhythm is that we don't typically take this amount of time.

But $I$ felt that this was so critical since we were considering in this program and at this time that when there's going to be future versus we're going to look at the history of this that the record will be able to assist them to further explore this in a way that helps to reveal even more information.

Turning to the design, I'm sort of curious between your -- two of you. They lay -- the designs that have, in fact, our obverse 10,11 and 12 have designs that have soldiers in action. I'm sort of curious as to your thinking about not embracing those above the one that you favored, particularly given the fact that you mentioned that the rifle was more important than the saxophone. There you've gotten three designs where soldiers are in action. I'm sort of curious why those did not in fact meet your favor compared to the ones that you selected.

MR. SALTER: I think for myself, and I'll just go first, and you kind of hit on it, you know, when you say the rifle is more important than the saxophone. I'm not -if $I$ said that, I'm not sure. I mean, they're both very important. But $I$ wanted to make sure that for the American
public, and it really is true as a historian, $I$ heard it more times than I would like to have heard that everyone knew about James Reese Europe and the band. They rarely knew what the soldiers did in the battlefield.

And so, when you look at these three designs, there is nothing that talks about the band. There is no instrument there. So, that was one of the things that Richard and I talked about. You know, we really wanted to make sure that both of those aspects came out. You're showing the three, you know, you see them in the trenches, but you don't see the other aspects. I don't think there's any medal on them, there's nothing about their insignia, the Rattler. And I just kind of like, personally, the fact when you look at a soldier, sailor, airmen or marine's eyes and you can kind of see the determination. So, that's why I shied away from these three personally.

THE CHAIR: Regarding obverse 11, I have sort of curiosity. I can demonstrate obverse 11. And I'm sort of curious to ask you whether or not, I'm sorry. Yes. Yes, that one. So, with this, it seems that the soldiers have, in fact, two of them have the same type of weapon that's different from the third. Would that have been the case with soldiers of the same rank?

MR. SALTER: And so, you know, that's one of the things as a technician, you know, I'm a military historian,

## Page 43

kind of the history, the cultural aspect, and when you start talking about weapons. So, yes, they used a Lebel and it's a show rifle. So, but would this have been an actual scene? I just, you know, I was just concerned also about, you know, having two rifles and a soldier charging in the center because World War I was a trench warfare battle. It wasn't like World War II, the Korean War or the Vietnam War, where you would see a scene like this. If soldiers were like this and they were going across the battlefield, number one, you would see the no man's land and all of the dents and the terrain barbed wire, which you do see in others. This one just kind of gave me the feel of more of an artistic, heroic charge, which I'm not sure would have been accurate for 1918.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Peter, actually, you have a comment or question?

MR. VAN ALFEN: Yeah. In fact, this just touches on the depiction of the weapons. Because I did notice that there seem to be a number of inaccuracies and possible problems with the depictions. One question that I had, I'm not sure, $I$ wasn't able to find out for myself and I couldn't find any depictions that were clear enough. They were issued Lebel or Berthier rifle, do you know, or both?

MR. SALTER: No. I think they were issued both.
And that's one of the things, like I said, I'm not really
clear on when they transitioned and got some of the French weapons. So, that's one of the other reasons I shied away from. And I looked at a lot of readings. I even, you know, called two of my best friend scholars, and they say, yes, you know, both weapons were used, but all historians, they didn't really go into detail about when and where and when they would have used each particular weapon.

MR. VAN ALFEN: I don't want to delay this too long, but if we do go ahead with reverse, I believe it's 3 with the depiction. Yeah, reverse 3 with the depiction of the Berthier rifle. That seems to me to be the three rounds version and not the five-round version, which would have a protruding magazine below the stock. And I think by 1918 or 1917, April 1917, the French were using the five round version almost exclusively. And so, if this was being issued to the Hellfighters, I'm sure would've been the five-round magazine. So, this would, I think, need to be modified with a protruding magazine for more accuracy. So, I'll leave it at that. If we go ahead with reverse 3 , we can make an amendment to make that modification.

MR. SALTER: Right. And I think we answered the question about the weapons and said that we weren't quite sure exactly which one would be the best one to depict. But I do agree that further research should be done to make sure the right weapon for 1918 is in this image.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much. And unless there's any other questions from other members of the committee, technical or legal, then $I$ suggest that we begin our consideration. I would like to remind members to please try to keep their comments to 5 or minutes or less, except for those, in fact, we feel that they have something that might be especially beneficial for the consideration. Additionally, if any members have questions or comments about the program other than those that we've spoken about already, let's hold these until you are recognized and or wait until the end of the discussion of the program when we will have the opportunity to ask those questions. For the benefit of the court reporter, again, for those calling in, I ask that you state your name when you begin speaking. So, let us begin our deliberations with Dr. Dean Kotlowski.

MR. KOTLOWSKI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Just to say congratulations to Mr. Saunders on joining us with his appointment. And congratulations, Mr. Chairman, on your reappointment as chair for another year. As I said before, it's not only an honor, it's a pleasure to work with you. And the heartiest of welcomes to Doctors Harris and Salter. I am a U.S. political historian of the $20 t h$ century. So, I teach World War I, I don't go into quite this depth with the Harlem Hellfighters, but I do mention them, and I do think it's very important. You have
persuaded me about something, now I'm going to try to persuade you on something. So, let's see if we can maybe do an exchange here. We don't, it doesn't have to be quite that way. But, you know, the jazz music was very important. And, you know, if you fast forward, in the Cold War era, some of these jazz musicians engaged in cultural diplomacy through the U.S. Government. There's been articles written about this, and $I$ think it's kind of important. So, you know, where I'm going to start is, is with the reverse and take a look at what was there.

You know, the obverse and the reverse that the liaisons pick, I usually am very deferential to what the liaisons want here, and they make good recommendations. And if you match them up, your original preferences, obverse and reverse, they make a nice solid, you know, congressional gold medal, looks a lot like the gold medals that we issue. It was a very classic design, you know. It's good to go back and forth here. I mean, with the obverse, you do have three and you have them more or less in profile.

And I'm talking about, you know, if you look at obverse 4. And then if you go to the original reverse, which $I$ think is reverse 2 , this is the original preference. Yeah, that's a good solid, you know, design. And I like how the medal hangs there. I have to say,
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Chairman Brown mentioned Mary Lannin, Mary, I have to give her the credit here. She developed this concept. She coined, no pun intended, the phrase, the tabletop test. You have some coins on the table and what really catches your eye? And what caught my eye is, again, looking at the reverses. I don't think I'm going to get very far with this, so this is not the persuasive part, Dr. Salter and Harris, but the one that caught my eye was number 4, because $I$ thought number 4 had great artistry and 4 and 4A both really zero in on the Croix de Guerre and on the soldier's uniform.

And, you know, we see a lot of military designs, you know, things for military-themed coins and medals. And this has got a level of detail, a close-up, a perspective that we really don't see. I don't remember anything like this. I really like the, this design. I like 4, and I even liked 4A where Rattlers was missing, and it was a little bit more of a cleaner design. Not sure, how my colleagues are going to feel about that.

But if we go back to what I'm hearing, which is an openness, quite a bit of openness to design three. This is Obverse -- excuse me, Reverse 3. We can just take a look at reverse 3. I guess maybe one of my concerns is we're doing a lot of -- well, we're talking about a lot of changes, and I'm getting a little trouble trying to
visualize the changes.
I have to say, Dr. Salter and Harris, you did persuade me, you got me to drop my reservation about the saxophone, this was my reservation. We could assume that almost all of the members of the 369 th carried a gun, a rifle, used it, but not all of them obviously played jazz music. So, where you all helped me was the idea of putting the rifle over the saxophone. And I do think this is a very creative design that at least gets people thinking about the contributions of the interactions of peoples from two different countries. And you could even say two different races, and what that meant. And I think that that's very important.

Now, as we go back to the obverse. I do think it is important, even when we talk to the liaisons and when we're deferential to what they want to just give some shout outs to the designs of the artists. So, because there are some terrific designs here, and you know, just a really brief shout out to obverses 2 and 3 . I think that the soldier depicted here is very nice-looking, he is very determined. And also, just a little bit of a shout out to number 9. You see a couple of soldiers in combat, in a combat type situation, as you might expect, what I'm leading up to here is the, using the tabletop test, what caught my eye was obverse 1, it really strongly caught my
eye. I normally do not like depictions of people, individuals where, you know, it's a frontal view and not a profile or a side view. But $I$ thought this one worked. I was a little concerned as $I$ was looking at this, about the fire in the background, if people, if that would be clear, I'm dropping that concern. I think it is clear. I think what you have here, and $I$ wonder if there's any appreciation of this by the liaisons or my member -- my colleagues on the committee. You have the individual soldier, and you have the unit, and you have action, and you have activity. And with the inclusion of the fire and the Appalachian Harlem Hellfighters, you get the sense that these guys really were going through hell, if you don't mind me putting it that way.

So, I felt that this was a very, very strong design. And, I think it would pair well with what seems to be is -- we could call it an emerging alternative design to the original preference. That's a mouthful, which is the one with the saxophone and the rifle.

So, that was a lot to say, and there's a lot
there for consideration. But this is a rich portfolio, a lot of interesting designs. And we have our chair and other members of the committee ask you some excellent questions, and we're very, very grateful for your participation in the way in which you have illuminated
this. And I'm going to say one more thing. I loved Dr. Salter, how you mentioned the film Glory. Because anybody who knows anything about the film will say, "What, that was about the Civil War." But no, this is where you really start in a mass way of creating knowledge about AfricanAmerican military contributions, you know, beginning with -- you think it could go earlier, but let's just say from the Civil War onward, extremely important. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much, Dean. Well, let's turn now to Robin.

MS. SALMON: This is Robin Salmon. Thank you, Dr. Brown, and thank you so much, everyone, for this most interesting history lesson. I'm a native of South Carolina, so the Battle of Secessionville is something that I know a lot about. And on my father's side, there are a lot of Western ancestors. And so, the significance of the African American in the West, the Buffalo soldiers, and then really the first real cowboys were African American. This is all something that is very much in my mind representing art. I really want to go more with the, the artistic designs. And of course, the one that passed Mary's tabletop test for me was Obverse 1. The idea of the single soldier representing so many, I think is powerful. Not only does it symbolize the importance of the African-

American in World War I, but farther back in our country's history, the soldiers running in the background that -- in incused design is, I think adds to the beauty of this particular design of the medal.

And I'm happy to have confirmed that that is fire in the background. I wasn't able to get the descriptions of the design. So, I was a little bit at a loss, and $I$ was thinking maybe that was the coast of France, but fire makes a lot more sense. The other single designs that $I$ especially liked were number five, showing the single soldier in the trench, very much what those soldiers were doing during World War I, and underscoring the significance of that.

Also, number six, same soldier, but with the flags in the background. It just takes it a little bit to a different thought process of -- he's finished his work now and he's being honored. Are we going on to the reverse?

THE CHAIR: Yes, please.

MS. SALMON: Yes. Okay. The reverse, number 4, also immediately caught my eye. Again, the very heavy symbolism, the significance of the Croix de Guerre being given, $I$ think can't be underestimated or overestimated. And this just appeals to me on so many different levels. And I think, it represents, again, that important symbol of
the history. Let's see.

Having said all of that, $I$ would not go against any of the designs that you have preferred and will lend my support where it may be best utilized. But I did want to shout out some of these really beautiful designs in my estimation. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much, Robin. Let's turn now to Art.

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Arthur Bernstein. With regard to the obverses, I lean towards the preferred design of the liaisons and the CFA. That would be Obverse 4. I appreciate the perspective of going down the line of the free soldiers. And as has been mentioned because this is a medal for a group, $I$ prefer a design which incorporates multiple individuals.

That being said, Obverse 8 was attracted to me. Again, that's a solo soldier. I found the depiction to be very stiff. And to me, that added a life-like element to the design. I could just see the soldier diligent in his duties and standing at attention. And to me, the stiffness was exciting. That's my comment on the obverses. On the reverses, the preferred design of the liaisons, 02 , would also be my first choice.

I appreciate the balance, that it incorporates all the details, the medal, the flags, the emblem, the
campaigns. But $I$ also want to support something said by two of the previous speakers, the 4 and $4 A$ designs $I$ found to be attractive. They're non-traditional, incorporating that small portion of the uniform. I really appreciate the texture that Peter mentioned in his technical question, the texture of the uniform, which presumably is, is that heavy wool that those soldiers had to wear.

And I think showing that pocket flap on the reverse ties in nicely with what might be the obverse, where you see the whole uniform also featuring those pockets on the three soldiers going across. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Mike Moran.

MR. MORAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. Let me take a personal observation first. I can remember growing up, and I was always a student of war, being just -- it was just part of me. And watching walter Cronkite you are there. And one of the pieces there was on the Lost Battalion, World War I, and the bravery those men showed. Problem was they had no choice, their backs were against the wall. And two weeks ago, $I$ was out in Nashville on a saturday morning and going for a walk. I did the Capitol Grounds. As I did, there was Sergeant York in heroic size, prominently displayed on the hill there with the Capitol. He's a folk
hero.
And I just opened the portfolio on the 369. And when I did, I went, oh, my God, none of these people held a candle to what this unit did. And the answer to your question, Lawrence, was somebody took an eraser to their history. We all know who did it. We did. And it's disgusting. I really felt like screaming as $I$ got into this and saw that, oh, wow, these people really, really were good. But look at the way they were treated. They didn't get to finish their advanced infantry training because the supplies were building up at the ports in France, and they needed some truck drivers, so they just shipped them over.

And then when Pershing gets all his troops there or some of them there, and the French and the English want to piecemeal out into the slaughterer houses of their own trenches, Pershing wouldn't let them do that. He wanted to fight an individual area with Americans under his generalship. No, he did have a solution. And there we go again, the 369th was part of that solution. It's disgusting.

And being a Saint-Gaudens person, I know the movie Glory and what that showed, as well as the Memorial in Boston Common is that these people were human and they died just like the rest of us, for a cause. And one of the
facts that is not really well known is that a significant number in the Union Army at the end of the Civil War were African American, a significant number. So, enough of that.

Turn to these, yes, the tabletop test is number one on the obverse. So, let's look at it for a second. Somebody give me paper, number 1, up there. What you have there, it's not art, but it is very, very effective. It is art, yes, but it's not artsy. And it grabs you. And it represents the whole unit.

You have the dividing line between the individual separated by the bayonet and the unit in combat. And the way the sculptor has depicted the unit in combat, basically gives you the anonymous horror of war. Silhouetted soldiers against flames. I've not seen anything come out of the Mint that is this strong, this emotional. It is just spell by me, but it's not artsy.

And you want to have somebody take a look at something and recognize the grit of these people, what they went through. This does it, and it does it better than number 4 .

Number 4 is three soldiers in a line. And I'm not sure that the detail and the emotion that come out in this sketch will completely translate when you put it into medal. But $I$ also tell you that we've done this before.
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We did it at Montford point. None of this committee was there except for Donald when we did that one. But those were three African American soldiers just like this. This has been done before, and it's okay, but after I looked at what these people went through, I want the best for them and the best. It's not just tabletop, it's not artsy, it's the best I've seen come out of this Mint, period, for a medallic design, and that's number 1. So, I'm strongly for it, and I'm not going to back off.

Reverse, here we go, designed by committee.
Jesus Christ, we're going to do it. I can see us doing it. It's going to be number 3, and we're going to have all sorts of motions at the end of it. And none of us have any idea what this thing is going to look like when you shrink down that insignia and hang the ribbon from the Croix de Guerre. But I'll be right there with you. But $I$ do want to point out that the best work is 4 and $4 A$, the only reason $I$ wouldn't be pushing 4 and $4 A$ is it completely ignores the physical aspect of this unit. And that, to me, is just as, almost as important, not quite. And it deserves to be recognized somewhere on this medal. And I don't think you can work it in on the guy's lapel or his pocket. So, I hate to pass that one up. One of the best medals I would've ever seen would've been number 1 on the obverse and number 4 on the reverse. But you can't do it.
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So, here we go. We're going to design by committee. I'm done, Lawrence.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much, Mike, really appreciate it. Let's turn now to sam Gill.

MR. GILL: Thank you, Dr. Brown. Well, my colleagues are eloquent as usual in bringing up all sorts of things that make me think, which $I$ appreciate very much. The truth is, I could have accepted any single design on the obverse or the reverse from this, this group. I think they're all very well done. The art is great. I appreciated each and every one of them. I didn't see anything that that really affected me negatively in any way. But having said that, I think the liaison's choices were well reasoned. I'm going to go back to, but I am going to start with number 1 obverse. I do agree with Mike and others that this is a real standout design. It certainly grabs you when you look at it, and I appreciate it very, very much, the determination in this man and what he's obviously going through. But $I$ also agree that number 4 is a, represents the entire regiment. And $I$ can understand that, $I$ appreciate that, and I'm going to support that.

For the reverses, 4 and $4 A$ were superb. I like them very, very much. The only negative $I$ have with them is they don't bring in the history of the regiment. And $I$ think
the history of the regiment is really, really important here. So, that would take us to two or three. I am persuaded now that their contributions to music was exceptional. And when they came back, they were honored in such a way with the parade, their band. And whereas they were stuck in the trenches with the other soldiers fighting for everything, they still managed to do that too, which was, gives them two contributions. And I appreciate that. So, I would certainly be happy with number 2 , but $I$ think it would be generous and good to recognize their contribution to the jazz and the music.

But at the end of the day, whatever the choices of those are all going to make a very fitting tribute to these fine soldiers. And it's just a real thrill for me to know more about them and to learn about this whole history thing. And anyone who has studied World War I realizes what a terrible, terrible fighting event it was. And so, I'm very, very thrilled that these soldiers can be honored. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Let's turn now to Peter. MR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. I have to say that my colleagues -- well, in fact, before $I$ turn to the designs, I also would like to congratulate Mr. Saunders for joining the CCAC, and Chairman Brown for the second term as Chair. And I also would very much like to thank
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our liaisons for their very informative and instructive contribution earlier this afternoon.

And that said, I do have to join, I feel, with my colleagues, Dean, Robin and Mike, who I think have all expressed support for obverse 1 and reverse 4 much more eloquently and probably forcefully than $I$ could. I think that these are two very, very strong designs. And I think this would make an exceptionally beautiful and attractive and just stunningly appropriate medal for honoring the Hellfighters.

I find reverse or Obverse 4, the preference to be fine, to be adequate. And I certainly do understand the notion of having the unit rather than the individual as representing units of the importance. But $I$ do find Obverse 1 to be a much, much more powerful design. And in terms of the reverses, I believe its 2 and 3, are adequate and I think that they would do all right. I don't think they're particularly striking. But 4, I think is really -Reverse 4, I do think is an outstanding design.

And again, $I$ think that that would be just a -make a wonderful medal. I certainly won't stand in the way of Reverses 2 and 3 or Obverse 4, but I do think you'd be much better served with obverses or Obverse 1 and Reverse 4. So, thank you very much.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Turn now to Harcourt

Fuller. Dr. Fuller?

MR. FULLER: I'm sorry. I guess I was muted.
All right. Thank you. Can you hear me now?
THE CHAIR: Yes, well.
THE CHAIR: All right. Thanks. Well, first of all, again, I'd like to join my colleagues in welcoming Mr. Saunders to our team, and also to congratulate Dr. Brown for his second term. I also want to express my gratitude to everyone on this call who has served their country, our beloved country in the military. I'm very happy that we are reviewing this portfolio on the cusp of Black History Month.

Mr. Chairman, I hope $I$ can stay in within the 5 minutes. But as how my colleague, one of the liaisons said, as historians you sometimes have to cut us off, but I'll try to keep it short. I have a great interest in the participation of blacks, Africans, people of African descent, African-American in military history. And certainly General Colin Powell's PBS special that he did, where he narrated the participation of African-Americans in the various theaters of American military history certainly piqued my interest in that.

And also, I think what this program, the Harlem Hellfighters, the reason why I really love this is because it not only allows us to look at the participation of

African-Americans in the First World War. But for me, it also helps us to think of it in a larger context of the participation of people from the -- blacks from the Caribbean, as well as Africans from the continent who also served in the First and the Second World War, et cetera. And there's a lot of research going on about that as well. So, I think this will only highlight that, which is good for everyone.

With that said, I would like to endorse Obverse 4 and Reverse 2, which are the choices of the liaisons. But if $I$ may, $I$ just wanted to give a shout out and make some comments about some of the other ones, which my colleagues have already opined on. So, if we can go to Obverse 1.

I agree with everyone that this, to me, is probably the most striking design. When $I$ look at this person, I get emotional. I feel like -- I mean, sincerely, it makes you feel like you want to serve. It just -- this person is a human being. This person, when I look at this person, I feel like I'm looking at my uncle or someone that $I$ know, personally. This person is a patriot, this person is a fighter. And it just makes, it just -- it grabs me. And so, I will be giving some high marks to this even though as I said, I'll go along with the recommendations of the liaisons.

If we could go to Obverse 2 as well. Again, I
also like 2 as well as 3, because $I$ think they do, they allow you to get into sort of the fact that we're talking about human beings. You almost feel like these are real. They're not just drawings, right, they represent actual people.
If we could go to 5, please. I really, really
like this one because we are talking about the First World War. And I think this is one of the few of them that $I$ feel that brings you into the trenches. I know that there are other designs that show the trenches but they don't give you that close up of the soldier's face and his determination. So, I really like this one again, and I would give high marks to that.

And just to wrap up, if we could go to the reverses, reverse -- let's go to 1, please. I like 1 as well. I also gave marks to 5 and six. But $I$ will end with Reverse 8.

Yes, I particularly like this one. I think again, it shows, both flags. I really like the artistry on the rifle overlapping with the trumpet. Again, paying homage to the fact that they were soldiers, as well as musicians. So, once again, I'm honored to be a part of these deliberations. Again, thanks for your service for those who have served this great country. And I look forward to these medals coming out, whichever ones we
decide on. Thank you.
THE CHAIR: Thank you so much. Let's turn to Dennis Tucker.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you. And Dr. Brown, I want to applaud you and thank you for facilitating this excellent conversation. And in particular, I appreciate your question, why did it take so long for this medal to be authorized. One of the important functions of

Congressional Gold Medals is representation. And now, finally, thanks to Congress, thanks to Dr. Harris, Dr. Salter, the Harlem Hellfighters will take their rightful place in the pantheon of officially recognized American war heroes. It's been a long time coming. This medal says we see you, we recognize you, and we honor you. Those are all very important messages.

Mike, thank you for your analysis of Obverse 1.
I agree that this satisfies what Joe Menna has called the arm's length test, what Mary calls the tabletop test. I'm going to give some merit points for Obverse 1. It's cinematic in style. And that's something that we don't often see in Congressional Gold Medal design, it looks like a movie poster. And that's not a criticism. On the contrary, it's part of its appeal. I think it's a great design.

On the reverse, I, like Dean, I initially
preferred Reverse 2 to Reverse 3, the one that does not show the saxophone. The saxophone, to me, almost felt frivolous compared to the weight of the combat service of the Harlem Hellfighters. But thanks to our liaisons' discussion, I, like Dean, I've changed my mind on that. And I do like Dr. Salter's idea of imposing the rifle over the saxophone. I think that would give more weight to their combat service.

All of this said, I think the liaisons' design preferences, $I$ think the Obverse 4 will make a dignified and handsome medal. It's a good traditional, solid Congressional Gold Medal design.

So, for that reason, I endorsed that choice. I endorse the choice of Reverse 3. And I thank the United States Mint for its work, its excellent work. Thank you, Dr. Brown.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Let's turn to Donald. MR. SCARINCI: So, I really think -- I really liked Mike's exposition of obverse 1, and that's clearly the most artistic of the group, and the most the most stunning, really, of the group and an obverse that would definitely stand out. The Obverse 3, which is -- I'm sorry, Obverse 4, which is what the liaison wants, I understand why they like it. It's kind of very similar to other congressional medals, gold medals of the military
nature that we've issued in the past. That doesn't really stand out to me in any way.

But the constituents have, seem to have thought this through. And you know how I feel about Congressional Gold Medals, I don't really like to substitute our judgment for theirs, unless they seem a little less engaged or if they disagree. In this case, the constituent group seems to be committed to Obverse 4. So, I'm not really going to stand in the way of that, even though it's not the best choice here. I vote for that.

You know, and the reverse that they want, we'll have to do amendments after the vote, but, it's as good as any. So, I could live with that. I would personally keep the musical instruments above the gun because $I$ think there's a symbolism to that, putting the musical instrument above the gun. But if the constituent group feels strongly that it should be the other way around, I'll support that amendment as well.

So, I'm being very agreeable to the constituent group and I applaud the fact that you have clearly thought this through. And you have clearly made a selection that you can articulate your support for.

So, I'm just going to go along with it even though I don't think it's -- I don't think the obverse is the best but so be it.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much, Donald. Turn now to Mr. John Saunders.

MS. WARREN: Sir, your microphone is muted, Mr. Saunders.

MR. SAUNDERS: How's it now?

THE CHAIR: Good.

MR. SAUNDERS: Before $I$ get into individual designs, couple of overall comments. First is I would like to agree with what Mike said, very eloquently, that World War I was an awful thing for everybody in it. It decimated a generation. And this particular group clearly showed outstanding bravery and were among the best of the best, and they got pooped upon and not -- didn't receive credit and it's time to do something about it. So, I think -- I really agree with the idea of the medal.

The second thing is, this is my first meeting, I was very impressed with the work that the consultants, the experts, the Mint, everybody has done in bringing a group of designs. I couldn't say that $I$ hate any one of them. When you have the little checkmark for artistic merit, I had to get every one of them a checkmark. I just think that the consideration that's gone into this is very, very good. And I'm quite frankly impressed.

I'm going make some comments for a couple of designs, and $I$ want to make a comment in general first. We
all are numismatists, interested in coins, interested in our job here. We study these designs, we -- whether the rifle should have three bullets or six bullets, I mean that's detail we get into, which is good. But I also think a lot of people who look at things, the first impression is what is good. And I like some of the things on a first impression basis but $I$ didn't like some of the things in the first impression basis. So, I'm going to talk about that as well as details. I'm not going to go into a whole lot with this.

In terms of Obverse designs, initially, I gave 3 and 4, 3 points, and 2, almost 3 points. But looking at design 1, $I$ didn't like it, and again, this is my first impression thing. When I first looked at it, I thought the guy was holding an umbrella. You know, I looked at it, looked closer, figured out. So, I think I would be in favor of Obverse 1 strongly if we can show the point of the bayonet, so it's real clear that's a bayonet on a firstimpression basis.

The other comment I have on it is, to echo something Robin said, $I$ didn't get that it was a flames at first, I thought it might be a map too. And I kind of looked at it and said that doesn't look like any coast of, any part of Europe because I particularly know of, unless there was some particular battle there.
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So, I would suggest two things. One, you show the point of the bayonet and making clear that it's a bayonet. And two, if there's anything to make it more clear that the flames are flames, maybe some textures in the flames would do it. But if we can fix that, $I$ would strongly favor Obverse 1.

That said, I like the -- I like 4 just fine as
well. I appreciate the comment on 5, that it shows the trench warfare, $I$ like the design of it better. I didn't like 7, because when $I$ first looked at it, maybe this reminds me of a picture of the New York firefighter. But I don't know what firefighter refer with that.

I didn't like 10 because until you see the guy in the trench, it looked like people were stepping off a stage rather than coming out of the trench. And why is some guy coming out of the trench, behind people are going forward. On those bases, I didn't really like it. Eleven kind of struck me. When $I$ first looked at it, looks like some gangsters. I mean they're shooting machine guns. It looks like something out of Eliot Ness. On consideration, I liked it better, but $I$ hope you don't mind me giving a few off-the-cuff first impressions.

Going on to reverse, $I$ think number 3 is the best. I wasn't in love with 4 and $4 A$ as much as some other people. Maybe it's because I never did like matte proof coins, it kind of reminded me of a matte proof, i.e., I'm
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not sure how well it technically is going to come up. But I like 3, so, I'd like to go with 3. I have one other strong comment about 3 .

I like the saxophone on top, as Don just said.

Not so much for the symbolic reason, is I like the detail of the saxophone. Everybody knows what a rifle looks like. We don't need the detail of the rifles there. But I like the way that the saxophone, the detail of the buttons on the thing. And I think you'd lose something if we put the saxophone underneath. So, I would very much support having the saxophone on top.

In terms of the medal, I don't see a need to shrink it. If you wanted to show the -- on a suspension, do it like number 2 by creating a gap in the legend, so you could do it, I wouldn't -- I think it's fine as it is, I wouldn't put it on top of the badge. So, I like 3, just please keep the saxophone on top.

And let me see if there is anything else here. I think that's about my comments. And again, I didn't dislike the ones where $I$ said gave me a weird first impression. I just still think we should be aware of our first impressions on things as well as our detailed study of things. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much, John. And again, welcome aboard. My colleagues, I want to extend...
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MR. SAUNDERS: Thank you. Thanks everybody for the welcome to -- congratulations, Dr. Brown, for your reappointment as well. So, I'm looking forward to -- looking forward to that dinner the next month, get to see -- get to meet everybody in person.

THE CHAIR: We are looking forward to it as well. Joe, if -- I saw your hand up, but if you would give me a second and let me in fact first give my quick comments.

MR. MENNA: Oh, yes. I apologize.
THE CHAIR: Again, $I$ want to thank all the members of the CCAC for your contribution. I, like you, like many of you, I give deference to the stakeholders. Although I think this is a wonderful opportunity to actually ask the stakeholders if they might evolve in their decisions based on the comments that they have heard.

Before we do that though, very quickly for me, with respect to the obverse. As much as I would support Obverse 4, I sort of like obverses or -- or in fact design elements that are action since this is military. So, the ones that have 10, 11 and 12, I was really persuaded by it because of the fact that they had the action.

With respect to the reverse, I particularly, I see the value of the decision by the stakeholders, particularly with Reverse 2 that has the campaigns, the military campaigns by this distinguished unit. At the same
time, I agree that reverse 3 would probably be my favorite in reverse. With respect to the reverses 4 and $4 A, I$ can see why my colleagues embrace those. But I must say that I'm not so sure that $I$ would embrace them, needing to have the ribbon above the medal to me is not as critical. And maybe the colonel can tell me because $I$ remember wearing my uniform and $I$ wore it with the small ribbon that's to the right of it, and didn't have the medal on it. I'd have the medal on a case by itself. But then on the other hand, I understand the significance.

But $I$ want to end up by saying that again, while I agree with my colleagues that Obverse 1 is certainly more striking but $I$ also understand the reason why they embrace the stakeholders' Obverse 4. Being able to in fact have the inscriptions of the units on the obverse makes sense. And with respect to, if $I$ had to choose a reverse to go with that obverse, I probably would have chosen a modification of Reverse 2. Having the military campaigns would be important.

At the same time, I probably would have wanted to have the instruments and the rifle. Because to me from a standpoint of looking at the medal to say what does this mean, that would prompt me as a student to look it up more rather than meet and say, well, I have to look up to see what military campaigns they were engaged in.

So, those are my comments. And I'd like to now then move on to the next item on this meeting. And again, thanking my colleagues for your contribution to this conversation because it was particularly rich for me. And I thank you for your indulgence.

So, next I'd like to ask, are there any additional comments or motions from members of the committee at this time based on what you have heard? I see, in fact a -- from Dean, I see his hand up, and I see Mike's. So, let's go in the order of Dean and then go to Mike. And then let me then go to Joe after that, because, please forgive me, Joe, for not reflecting recognizing you then. So, Dean first.

MR. KOTLOWSKI: Sure. Thank you very much, Mr.
Chairman. Mr. Chairman, you mentioned earlier, following up with the liaisons, to see if their thoughts had evolved in any way, based on the really lengthy discussion that we gave. And I would just direct that question to the liaisons. Has your thoughts in any way changed based on the discussion that you heard?

And I would say, maybe in particular, would you find -- let's just focus on Obverse 1, would you find that in any way acceptable? From what I remember of your original thinking, you wanted a perspective that included a number of different soldiers, you had three of them in

Obverse 4. And here you got essentially more than three, with a focus on one in particular. I'm just kind of curious, your thoughts on that?

MR. HARRIS: So, Richard Harris. And I
think with the -- I think the image on 1 is certainly very striking. Two things that $I$ thought about, we were talking, for example, about the -- whether or not the rifle had been presented in an accurate way. When I saw the fire, $I$ think, obviously, symbolic of war. But by the time the Harlem Hellfighters got to France, no man's land was pretty much a lot of dirt and when it rained mud, and certainly that's not to say there was -- there still more fires. But -- especially in the destruction of towns. But the many photographs of no man's land show, at this point, in 1918, as being essentially barren.

The other thing that struck me is that on 01 , we have just one line, which is sort of two lines, with Harlem Hellfighters. And I thought with one of the other designs, that when we got to the back of it, there also was -- I'm trying to look at my notes -- also, there was almost nothing there in terms of lettering. And, for example, one reason that Krewasky and I talked about, one reason we thought it would be a good idea to include the 15th New York National Guard is because many of the soldiers started out in the National Guard. And then the 15th, New York

National Guard, when we entered the war, was re-designated with $369 t h$. Many of those soldiers still refer to themselves, during and after the war, as members of the old 15th. So, that was very important to them.

I think that if we were to take the design 01, we would need to fill in some details maybe on the reverse, so that we had the 15th New York National Guard, the 369th, among other things. But the other point that struck me had to do with the -- if we can just look at number 4, excuse me, 04. Think that one. Here, there -- as I said on the first, on the obverse, I think we should remove 369 th because it does appear on the back. And again, I understand $I$ think the figure, the face in -- on Obverse 1 is very striking and so forth. I mentioned why I like this particular depiction as the appropriate one.

I think that -- again, $I$ would say the points are well-taken. I was never sitting here saying no, no way or whatever. I think there was excellent points made. I thought that R4, I think it was.

To me, this was not particularly striking. I do like the idea of showing the Croix de Guerre with the ribbon. I think that was a very good suggestion. But I think if we were to combine 01 with $R 4$, that there's an awful lot of basic information that wouldn't appear, the New York 15th and the 369th and so forth that $I$ will, which

I think might be puzzling. And I think somebody raised an excellent point about was this a medal that might cause somebody to look at the front and the back and say, let me go to Google or whatever and find out more about this unit. And I didn't think that 04 really, really would encourage that. But at any rate, as $I$ said, $I$ think some excellent indications of preferences and explanations for them. So, at any rate, just a couple of comments, I'll keep it brief. THE CHAIR: Dr. Salter, do you have additional comments?

DR. SALTER: I'm going to keep it -- yes. Yeah, I'm just going to keep it to 2 minutes to just address a technical piece to your question about $R 4$ because $I$ do take everyone's comments and, you know, I'm just happy that a Congressional Gold Medal will be presented, you know, so anyone could go forward, but I have not been swayed from 04 and 03. So, I want to go to R3 and just answer your technical question if you want to use that. And some other military folks on here will probably know this. What you actually have is you actually have a medal and a ribbon. And at no time, would you wear the same medal and the same ribbon at the same time.

Now, if -- let's go to R4. So, if you're looking at $R 4$, that's the -- your medal hanging from the ribbon, that's fine. But you would not have your ribbon but you
could have another ribbon next to the medal, which is actually what you have here. Both of these are not the same medal in the ribbon because the medals in the ribbon look the same. So, if you were to Google the medal and the ribbon under Croix de Guerre, you're going to see that the stripes and the lines are the same. So, that's the only technical thing $I$ would add. But $I$ could go with anyone. But I stand by my two choices. I'm just pleased that we're going to issue a Congressional Gold Medal for the $369 t h$. THE CHAIR: Thank you, both. Dean, back to you. MR. KOTLOWSKI: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. I don't have anything to add at this point. THE CHAIR: Thank you, sir.

John, if you can hold for a second, let me get back to in fact, Joe, as $I$ didn't recognize him earlier. Maybe Joe has something that he'd like us to consider. MR. MENNA: Thank you, Dr. Brown. My name is Joe Menna. I don't mean to interrupt your discussion. And I beg your indulgence just for a second. I would never bench to editorialize on any committee members' choices, but there's certain issues, sculptural issues in the various ones that have been highlighted so far that $I$ think are worthy of attention. If you wanted to look at obverse number one in particular, Dennis hit it right on the nail -

- the nail on the head when he described it as like a movie poster because $I$ think that's what most folks are drawn to. When you look at this, it's a graphic image. But in terms of sculpture realization, I typically recommend that the artists do not make full frontal portraits at any scale. There's something that $I$ missed, if you look off the left to the side, this silhouettes, the figures are not intersecting the border, and that would be my fault exclusively, no one else's, for not catching that. But in general, if you look at the layers of relief, if you look at Harlem Hellfighters, this is the first layer of relief. And then the hand strangely holding the bleed of the bayonet as the second layer of relief, and then the hand behind that is the third layer of relief. And then the body and figure as the fourth layer back is space relief, and then the silhouetted figures, and then the ground, and then ultimately the flames.

That face is -- the soldier's face will have to be -- the soldier's helmet and face are going to look flat, frankly. Because in order to accommodate all of that, was is conceived graphically, but not three dimensionally. And this happens because when artists choose devices, it's not my place to intercede -- interrupt their voices, their own artistic choices. But $I$ do offer comments on anatomy and things like that. This artist anatomy is very fluid and I
would say expressionistic, it's not very realistic. We could work with that.

But this fleet -- this face will be pancake flat, as opposed to Obverse 4 where the figures are very monumental, where the text is at the bottom of the coin, medal rather. So, when -- the relief is necessary lower towards the edge of the coin, so that text will not compromise the quality of relief. These figures would be presented in a very robust, sculptural manner that would -that will be a true memorial to these veterans, these deceased veterans. Whereas, the other one is going to be sculpturally flaccid, and I don't think we've honored -that's editorializing, I don't think would present -- would be as powerful, sculpturally, when you, yes, the test of seeing it at arm's length. But there's also just a test of holding your hand as a sculpture. This is a much more sculpturally active design. The other design would be necessarily much more flat.

The thing in highest relief would be the text.

And I don't know -- I'm just offering that. I'll stop. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much, Joe.

John, I see you have the hand up.

MR. SAUNDERS: Okay, I'm unmuted now.
Two things. When Joe was talking, I couldn't
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help but think that he's not liking facing portraits. That he's facing the same thing the ancient Greeks did. The Greeks tried that it was a long time before they could come up with a really attractive facing-forward coin. They did it with the Larissa pieces, (inaudible) Rhodian drachms and tetradrachms, but, you know, it's a problem that's been around for 2500 years, Joe, so I just -- I couldn't help but make that comment.

MR. MENNA: No, and I agree. I agree. I agree. I think they solved it much more successfully with those handwrought dies.

MR. SAUNDERS: Yeah, a lot of difference from what you could do today. The other thing is, if we did go with Obverse 1, I wanted to agree very much with what Richard said is, maybe we take some of the things like New York -- 15th New York, and put it on reverse. On the reverse, we all seem to be favoring three, the fact that this is act of Congress -- by the fact that this congressional medal, it's done by an act of Congress. I thought that was kind of irrelevant. And we could put, you know, 15th New York there, if we did go with Obverse 1. But I think Joe's point about the -- how it would turn out.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much, John. Appreciate your comments. And I ask, are there any other? Joe, do you or Mike have any other comments that you'd like to
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offer or share with the committee on the design?

MR. MENNA: I happen to jump into my boss' office because we're right next to each other. But I did want to also comment on Reverse 4. As I say, we could make it successfully but that is also very graphically conceived instead of classically conceived. But the reverses are the stakeholder. No, reverses are often supporting, usually, except on American quarters and stuff, the obverse is the main character and the reverse is supporting cast. I think the elegant graphic structure of the stakeholders' reverse choices are -- will look -- will work better sculpturally. This is just going to be flat too, I hate to say, sorry. THE CHAIR: Understood. Mike, so you have your hand up?

MR. MORAN: I have a question for Joe. Joe, if you incuse Harlem Hellfighters on Obverse 1 and eliminate one layer of relief, does that allow you to give more relief to the face?

MR. MENNA: And your mic -- most sorry, Mr.

Moran. This is Joe Menna. Could you repeat that? I was running back to my office.

MR. MORAN: Okay. If you incuse Harlem

Hellfighters, the phrase Harlem Hellfighters on Obverse 1... MR. MENNA: You can...

MR. MORAN: -- does that help?

MR. MENNA: If you look at the -- if you think about the cross-section, right? If you think -- got a lot of stuff in here.

Imagine the cross-section, this is greater relief. And this is -- imagine the cross-section of the body incusing text along the topology like that. And then all the draft detail that goes inside, it doesn't work. We don't -- we -- no, sir, it doesn't work well.

MR. MORAN: Okay.
MR. MENNA: I get what you're saying. But we try to incuse on the -- incusing texts works on the most nominal topology in terms of its depth. It just works. Really active topology is like the enemy of incusing.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Let's turn to Dennis.
MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr. Brown. This is Dennis Tucker. I would like to make a motion. And I think this will capture everything that our liaisons have guided us on if you feel the time is right to do that.

THE CHAIR: Okay. So, if you can hold for one second, let me make sure that I get all the questions from anyone that's still remaining. I see John, your hand is up. Do you have additional comments? You're on mute, John. Okay. Thank you.

And Mike, your hand is still up. Go ahead. Did you have an additional comment?

MIKE: No.
THE CHAIR: Right. One of the joys of having virtual meetings.

Dennis, I think at this point, let me make sure, before you offer your motion, let's see, April, you or your team have any additional comments, or the liaisons? MS. STAFFORD: None from our design management team. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Okay. And on that basis, Dennis, let's proceed with your motion.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr. Brown. This is Mr. Dennis Tucker again. I would just preface this by saying Obverse 4, or by noting that Obverse 4 has both the National Guard and the U.S. Army numbers of the Harlem Hellfighters as Dr. Harris pointed out. So, my motion would be this, that the committee recommends Obverse 4 as it stands now, and Reverse 3 with the following changes. The name of the 369 th infantry removed because it would be repetitive. "LET'S GO," "RATTLERS" removed and the ribbon of the Croix de Guerre added at the top of the design.

MR. TUCKER: I think that satisfies all of the...
MR. SALTER: I have a pointed question. I have a pointed question on the...

THE CHAIR: The question is coming from whom? MR. SALTER: Dr. Salter.

THE CHAIR: Okay.

MR. SALTER: Okay. So, the one I'm looking at, the obverse, has the 15th New York and a 369th on the front. I want to make sure I understood that he said we would take the 369 th off the front. Is that what $I$ heard in the motion and just have the 15th?

MR. TUCKER: This is Dennis Tucker again. My recommendation was to remove it from the reverse.

MR. SALTER: Oh, and leave the obverse with both on the front?

MR. TUCKER: Yes, sir.

THE CHAIR: Okay. I got it. Dennis has made a motion. Is there a second to Dennis's motion?

MR. VAN ALFEN: I'd say, Dr. Brown, before we proceed with that, I would add to Dennis' motion that the rifle -- that we just make sure that the rifle is historically accurate for that period. There might be a slight change, if it's not the rifle, if it's historically accurate.

THE CHAIR: -- do you accept that change?

MR. TUCKER: -- I would accept that -- yes, I accept that.

THE CHAIR: So, we have a motion from Dennis. Do we have a second?

MR. VAN ALFEN: This is Peter, I second that.

MR. KOTLOWSKI: Dr. Brown, this is Dean
Kotlowski. I got my hand up. Are these -- I'm sorry to jump in like this. But are these motions to actually recommend these two designs with changes? Because I would just point out that there's -- we haven't scored anything yet.

THE CHAIR: Yes.
MR. KOTLOWSKI: And I would feel more comfortable if we scored first and see where we're at and then we entertain motions.

THE CHAIR: Dean, I appreciate your point of view, and it has some merit. Let's see if the motion passes, then we still would score. We'd still hand in our scoresheets. But the motion would then be, the thing that is my understanding, and counsel who's assisting us here can advise on this, but $I$ understand if the motion passed, then that in fact has preference even though we would still be asked to score our votes.

Hearing no objection from counsel, I'm assuming my interpretation...

MR. SCHORN: Yes -- yes, Dr. Brown, I agree with your interpretations. It's John Schorn speaking.

THE CHAIR: Okay. So, we have a motion by Dennis, a second by Peter. Any questions or debate on the motion? Hearing none, seeing none, all those in favor of
the motion say aye.
(A chorus of ayes.)

THE CHAIR: All those opposed to the motions, say nay?
(A chorus of nays.)

MR. SCARINCI: I am abstaining, Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIR: So, on my account, based on 11 members voting, we have three nays, one abstention. That means we have seven in favor of.

THE CHAIR: Then, based on that, then the motion carries. Are there any other further motions with respect to this program?

MR. HARRIS: Could you just once again clarify exactly what that was on, so?

MR. TUCKER: That was Dr. Harris?

THE CHAIR: Dr. Harris...

MR. HARRIS: Oh, yes. I'm sorry, Dr. Harris, right. Okay. So, that was for the 04 . We were talking about...

MR. TUCKER: Dr. Brown, this is Dennis Tucker. THE CHAIR: Please proceed, Dennis.

MR. HARRIS: Okay.

MR. TUCKER: The committee recommends Obverse 4.

And when $I$ say recommends, I mean recommends to the Secretary of the Treasury, of course. Obverse 4 as
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designed and Reverse 3 with the following changes. The name of the $369 t h$ Infantry removed. "LET'S GO," "RATTLERS" removed. The ribbon of the Croix de Guerre added. And the accuracy of the rifle checked and confirmed or changed if needed.

THE CHAIR: And I think the rifle laying on top of the saxophone.

MR. TUCKER: That was not part of my motion. My sense from the conversation was that the committee preferred the saxophone on top. So, I did not technically make that part of the motion.

THE CHAIR: Then I'm wrong. And I stand corrected. Thank you, Dennis.

MR. SALTER: And one last thing. I don't think I -- this is Dr. Salter. One last thing I didn't hear is, we have to flip the flags, correct?

THE CHAIR: Yes, Dr. Salter, that is correct.

MR. SALTER: Okay. And I didn't hear that in the motion. I just wanted to make sure. Okay. Thanks.

MR. HARRIS: This is Richard Harris. I also just had a question. So, if we're going to put -- we're talking about deleting the 369 th Infantry Regiment from the back, then we're going to keep that on the front and put New York National Guard on the back of the -- I'm sorry, on the reverse?
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THE CHAIR: So, Obverse 4 already includes both of those.

MR. SALTER: Yeah, this is Dr. Salter. So, Dr. Harris, if you're looking at the one that's on the screen, that's the one we're going to go with that has both.

MR. HARRIS: Okay. I understand. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: So, for the record, can we get a motion so that the flags are flipped and reversed, that has just been approved by the committee.

MR. TUCKER: Dennis Tucker, so moved.

MR. VAN ALFEN: Peter van Alfen. I'll second.

THE CHAIR: We have a second. That was Peter, correct?

MR. VAN ALFEN: Correct.

THE CHAIR: Any debates or discussion on the motion?

MR. HARRIS: If I can...

THE CHAIR: Hearing none -- go ahead. Yes.

MR. HARRIS: Oh, I'm sorry, Dr. Harris again.

Apropos, a comment that was made earlier, on the $R 3$, then is there any, I guess, is it your -- you have to call on this, but would we want to keep or drop "ACT OF CONGRESS 2021"? Someone made the point it's a Congressional Gold Medal, so that is -- that fact is pretty much inherent in the title. So, I don't know whether we would want to
eliminate that. It makes -- it would make the back, the reverse of the medal a little less crowded, just as by taking out, "LET'S GO," the "RATTLERS".

MR. TUCKER: Dr. Brown, this is Dennis Tucker. I would answer to that, if $I$ might.

THE CHAIR: Please proceed.

MR. TUCKER: Dr. Harris, that terminology "ACT OF CONGRESS" and the date of authorization is not required on Congressional Gold Medals, but it's often considered traditional. And many of our liaisons for military-themed Congressional Gold Medals like to see that wording. It does add a certain level of gravitas. If you were to have a bronze example of this medal in your hand, either the one-and-a-half-inch size or the three-inch that you've purchased or been given as a memento, it just makes it immediately clear that this was more than just a private issue, something that was made by a private firm, and that it does have the imprimatur of the U.S. Congress. That is just something to consider. I did not include removing that in my motion because it's something that we've seen on many Congressional Gold Medals.

MR. HARRIS: Okay. Thank you very much. That's very convincing. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Mike Moran?

MR. TUCKER: Yes, sir.
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THE MORAN: Question is, is that a 50-star flag or 48?

MS. STAFFORD: This is April. It's 50. And the CFA recommended it be shifted to be appropriate to the historical time when the Hellfighters fought.

MR. MORAN: Dennis, would you accept a friendly amendment to that effect?

MR. TUCKER: If it means we have to vote again, no. But if we can quickly do a new motion, I would second it.

THE CHAIR: Let's make that a new motion, if we could, gentleman.

MR. MORAN: Okay. Fine. I move.
MR. TUCKER: I second it.

THE CHAIR: Dennis has seconded.

Any questions or debate on the new motion?
Hearing none, all those in favor, aye.
(A chorus of ayes.)

THE CHAIR: Those oppose, nay. The motion passes.

Are there any further comments, suggestions or questions with respect to this program?

Hearing none. And at this point, I would like to again thank from the depth of our hearts, our liaisons, Dr. Harris and Dr. Salter. I'd like to also thank Joe Menna
and Mike Costello for the fantastic work by your team of artists. I'd like to thank April for the fantastic work that you and your team have done and your collaboration with the liaisons.

That was the last order of business for today. On that basis, I'd like to thank the members of the CCAC, the Mint staff for their attendance today by videoconference.

The next CCAC public meeting is set for two days, starting on February the 28th. All upcoming meetings will be announced on the Federal Register several weeks in advance. And I will entertain a motion to adjourn.

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Art Bernstein. I move that we adjourned.

MR. VAN ALFEN: And also $I$ second that.
MR. KOTLOWSKI: And this is Dean Kotlowski.

THE CHAIR: Gentlemen and ladies, members of the committee, please remember, you're still required to score your seats and turn them into the Mint. In this case, I think you turn them into April and Pam, they will take those seats. All those in favor of adjournment signify by saying aye.
(A chorus of ayes.)
THE CHAIR: It appears for me, from the guy from Brooklyn that the ayes have it. Wish you all a great
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remainder of the day, and fantastic week. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Bye.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you.

## CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC

I, SHONDRA DAWSON, the officer before whom the foregoing proceedings were taken, do hereby certify that any witness(es) in the foregoing proceedings, prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that the proceedings were recorded by me and thereafter reduced to typewriting by a qualified transcriptionist; that said digital audio recording of said proceedings are a true and accurate record to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that $I$ am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that $I$ am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.

# shondra Dawson 

SHONDRA DAWSON
Notary Public in and for the
District of Columbia

## CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I, WINJOY VIJAYAN, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that $I$ am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that $I$ am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.

WINJOY VIJAYAN

| 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15th } 15: 24 \\ & 19: 23 \quad 20: 4,13 \end{aligned}$ | 2017 36:21 | 20:3,5,12,15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0173: 1674: 5 \\ & 74: 23 \end{aligned}$ |  | 2018 8:8 | 20:25 21:13 |
|  | 20:16 21:1 | 2021 8:13 | 22:9,13,23 |
| $02 \quad 52: 22$ | 23:13 26:18,21 | 17:25 20:17 | 23:8,10,13 |
| 03 75:17 | 28:3 73:23,25 | 21:14 22:14 | 26:1,18,22 |
| 04 22:24 74:10 | $\begin{aligned} & 74: 4,7,25 \\ & 79: 16,2183: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 23:11,14 87:23 | 27:11,13,20 |
| 75:5,16 85:18 |  | 2022 3:5 5:7,8 | 28:4 34:17,25 |
| 1 | 83:6 | 9:3 12:22 | 35:11,12 38:10 |
|  | 171 16:16 23:6 | 13:20 14:4,8 | 38:12 40:11 |
| 1 19:14,14 | 17539 93:13 | 14:10 | 48:5 54:20 |
| 21:16 25:11,12 | 18 39:9 | 2023 1:14 3:4 | 74:2,7,11,25 |
| 25:13,17,20,23 | 1845 32:17 | 14:25 | 76:9 82:18 |
| 48:25 50:23 | 1886 20:24 | 20th 45:22 | 83:3,5 86:2,22 |
| 55:7 56:8,24 | 1898 33:3 | 21 17:24 | 4 |
| 57:15 59:5,15 | 19 40:13 | 23rd 35:14 | 4 18:6 19:25 |
| 59:23 61:13 | 191 34:21 | 24 1:14 3:4 | $4 \begin{array}{r}18: 619: 25 \\ 22: 21 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| 62:15,15 63:16 | 1916 15:24 | 2500 79:7 | 25:24 30:13 |
| 63:19 64:19 | 1917 44:14,14 | 27143 92:16 | 25:24 $40: 18$ 46:22 |
| 67:13,17 68:5 | 1918 16:13 | 28th 90:10 | 47:8,9,9,16 |
| 71:12 72:22 | 36:1 37:15,25 | 3 | 51:20 52:11 |
| 73:574:13 | 38:16 43:14 | 3 18:11 19:19 | 53:2 55:21,22 |
| 79:14,21 80:1 | 44:14,25 73:15 | 19:24 22:8 | 56:17,18,25 |
| $10 \quad 21: 340: 16$ | 1919 35:12 | 24:21 27:3 | 57:20,23 59:5 |
| $40: 18 \text { 41:13 }$ | $1998 \quad 39: 9$ | 29:6 30:13 | 59:11,18,19,22 |
| 68:12 70:20 |  | 44:9,10,19 | 59:24 61:9 |
| 11 16:13 21:5 | 2 | 47:22,23 48:19 | 64:10,23 65:8 |
| 41:13 42:17,18 | 2 18:9 19:19,24 | 56:12 59:16,22 | 67:12 68:6,23 |
| 70:20 85:7 | 21:22 40:14 | 62:1 64:1,14 | 70:18 71:2,14 |
| 117-38 15:19 | 46:23 48:19 | 64:22 67:11,12 | 73:1 74:9 78:4 |
| 12 21:7 41:13 | 58:9 59:16,22 | 67:12 68:22 | 80:4 82:13,13 |
| 70:20 | 61:10,25 62:1 | 69:2,2,3,16 | 82:16 85:23,25 |
| 13 21:11 | 64:1 67:12 | 71:1 82:17 | 87:1 |
| 14 34:22 | 69:14 70:24 | 86:1 | 400,000 40:17 |
| 15 5:7 12:22 | 71:18 75:12 | 30 28:15 | 48 32:17 89:2 |
| $13: 1914$$34: 22$ | $\begin{array}{ll}2014 & 8: 6 \\ 2015 & 8: 13\end{array}$ | 369 54:2 | 4a 22:21,24 |
|  |  | 369th 15:20 | 24:11 47:9,17 |
|  |  | 16:3 19:21,23 | 53:2 56:17,18 |


| 57:23 68:23 $71: 2$ | above 41:15 65:14,1671.5 | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { activity } & 49: 11 \\ \text { actual } & 43: 4 \\ 62: 4 & \end{array}$ | administering $10 \cdot 3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | tely 17:6 |  | ado 18:2 |
| 5 20:6,7,9 | $37: 17$ <br> abstaining 85:6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { actually } 3: 5 \\ 7: 12 \text { 18:24 } \end{gathered}$ | dopted 1 |
| 5 20:6,7,9 |  |  | d |
| 60:13 62:6 | tention 85:8 | 4:19 26:2 | adrian 16 |
|  | 0,2 | 7.9 29:6 | O. |
| 1,3 | 83:22 89:6 | :15 34:8,10 | ad |
| 5602037 1:25 |  | 35:24 36:22 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 6 9:3 20:6, | accommodate | 76:2 84:3 | dvi |
| 23:9 | 77:20 <br> accomplishm... | $\begin{array}{r} \text { add } 29: 335: 7 \\ 76: 7,1383: 15 \end{array}$ | advisory $3: 3$ 9:14 11:20 |
| 7 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7 \quad 20: 1423: 11 \\ & 68: 9 \\ & 7 \mathbf{7 a} \quad 23: 11 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 5:8 | 88:12 | $\begin{array}{ll} 14: 5 \\ \text { affairs } & 6: 217: 8 \end{array}$ |
|  | accomplishm. | $\begin{gathered} 82: 20 \text { 86:3 } \\ \text { addition } \quad 18: 20 \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | 14:4 21:24 account 85:7 accuracy $44: 18$ |  | 7:9 |
|  |  |  | affected 57: |
| $\begin{array}{cc} 8 \quad 20: 1723: 15 \\ 52: 1662: 17 \end{array}$ |  | additional | affixing 19:15 |
|  | 86:4 | 32:12 72:7 | $\begin{gathered} \text { african } 7: 21 \\ 17: 828: 12,13 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 73: 8 \text { 83:17,19 } \\ & 92: 893: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75: 9 \text { 81:22,25 } \\ & 82: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $8: 16$ 33:18,21 |
| $\begin{gathered} 9 \quad 20: 21 \quad 23: 22 \\ 48: 22 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 8:4,6,11,20 |
|  | accurately 13:2 acknowledge | additionally | 9:6,10,17,19 |
| 99 39:9 |  | 12 45: | 40:2,4,13,14 |
| 9a 23:22 | 8:5acknowledge... | address 75:12 addressed 7:25 | 0:21 50:5, |
|  |  |  | 0:19,25 55 |
|  | acknowledge... 29:11 | adds 51:3 | 56:3 60:17,18 |
| 16:6 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { act } 20: 1721: 13 \\ 22: 1423: 10,14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { adequate } 59: 12 \\ & 59: 16 \end{aligned}$ | 60:20 61:1 <br> africans 60:17 |
| ability 92: | $\begin{aligned} & 79: 18,1987: 22 \\ & 88: 7 \end{aligned}$ | adjourn 90:12 adjourned | 61:4 <br> afternoon 9:20 |
| 93:6 |  |  |  |
| able 2 26:14 | action 41:14,19 | $90: 14$ | 59:2 |
| $43: 2$ | 49:10 70:19,21 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { adjournment } \\ 90: 21 \end{array}$ | agenda 5:4 |
| $: 671: 1$ | 92:11,15 93:8$93 \cdot 11$ |  | 15:6 |
| aboard $12 \cdot 1$ |  | administer | $\text { ago } \quad 17: 18$ |
|  | $\underset{01.12}{\text { active } 78: 17}$ | 9:18 | 53:22 |


| agree 44:24 | amendments | ancient 8:17 | 57:21 58:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 57:15,19 61:14 | 65:12 | 9:13,24 79:2 | 63:6 68:7 |
| 63:17 66:9,15 | america 38:25 | announce 3:11 | 79:23 84:11 |
| 71:1,12 79:9,9 | 39:14 | announced | appreciated |
| 79:9,14 84:21 | american 4:2 | 90:11 | 18:14 30:6 |
| agreeable | 7:21 8:14,14 | annual 5:8 | 57:11 |
| 65:19 | 11:23 14:13,13 | 14:4 | appreciation |
| agreed 29:21 | 16:4,5,14 17:8 | anonymous | 49:8 |
| agreement 33:7 | 18:18 19:20 | 55:14 | appropriate |
| ahead 4:21 | 20:3,11,23 | answer 17:11 | 19:4 59:9 |
| 13:7 14:25 | 21:17,23 22:6 | 54:4 75:17 | 74:15 89:4 |
| 18:6 19:13 | 22:11,18 23:2 | 88:5 | appropriately |
| 44:9,19 81:24 | 23:16 28:12,13 | answered | 19:1 |
| 87:18 | 28:16 29:8 | 44:21 | approval 5:6 |
| airmen 37:20 | 32:24 33:3,4 | answers 32:5 | approve 13:4 |
| 42:14 | 33:18,21 34:20 | anthem 35:24 | 13:18 |
| aisne 20:19 | 38:4,7 39:6,16 | anybody 50:2 | approved |
| 21:9,21 22:1 | 39:17 40:2,6 | apologies 7:3 | 13:17 14:2 |
| 23:5,20 | 40:17 41:25 | apologize | 87:9 |
| alfen 2:11 4:13 | 50:6,18,19 | 12:12 70:9 | approximately |
| 4:15 13:6,8,8 | 51:1 55:3 56:3 | appalachian | 40:16,18 |
| 13:21,21 24:9 | 60:18,21 63:12 | 49:12 | april 2:14 6:1 |
| 24:10 25:5 | 80:8 | appeal 63:23 | 15:14 24:1 |
| 43:17 44:8 | americans | appeals 51:24 | 25:10 34:22 |
| 58:21 83:14,25 | 34:17 38:6,11 | appear 74:12 | 44:14 82:5 |
| 87:11,11,14 | 38:20 39:10,19 | 74:24 | 89:3 90:2,20 |
| 90:15 | 40:5,13,14,18 | appears 90:24 | apropos 87:20 |
| allegiance 11:1 | 40:21 54:18 | applaud 63:5 | arched 21:17 |
| 11:2 | 60:20 61:1 | 65:20 | area 54:18 |
| allow 33:24 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { a m o s }} 5: 18$ | appointed 8:7 | arena 34:18 |
| 62:2 80:17 | amount 41:4 | 9:3,7 | argonne 20:20 |
| allows 60:25 | analysis 30:9 | appointment | 21:10,21 22:2 |
| alternative | 63:16 | 10:4 45:18 | 23:6,21 |
| 49:17 | anatomy 77:24 | 70:3 | arm's 63:18 |
| amazing 16:22 | 77:25 | appreciate | 78:15 |
| amendment | ancestors | 4:23 17:3,25 | armed 20:24 |
| 30:14 44:20 | 50:17 | 36:15 52:12,24 | 20:24 |
| 65:18 89:7 |  | 53:4 57:4,7,17 |  |


|  | ```aspect \(33: 12\) 43:1 56:19 aspects 42:9,11 assembled 22:9 assigned 16:3 assist 41:8 assisting 84:15 associated 22:25 association 8:14 assume 48:4 assuming 27:20 84:19 atop 21:16 attendance 90:7 attended 9:23 attending 5:23 attention 31:2 37:18 52:20 76:24 attitude 38:24 attorney 92:13 93:9 attracted 52:16 attractive 53:3 59:8 79:4 audiences 16:12 audio 92:7 93:3 august 17:24 author 9:12 authorization 88:8 authorized 63:8``` |  | ```backs 53:21 backside 27:25 badge 25:1 69:16 balance 52:24 band 16:11,11 27:13 29:11 34:6,8 35:2,6 35:10, 13, 15, 17 35:18 39:25 42:3,6 58:5 barbed 43:11 barren 73:15 based 5:1 10:5 12:21 13:12 70:15 72:8,17 72:19 85:7,10 bases 68:16 basic 74:24 basically 25:4 35:14 55:13 basis 67:7,8,19 82:9 90:6 battalion 53:19 battle 16:23 19:15 43:7 50:15 67:25 battlefield 20:22 38:16 42:4 43:10 battlefields 19:17 battlefront 21:8,12 bayonet 19:16 55:12 67:18,18 68:2,2 77:13``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| bear 10:24,25 | 68:8,20 80:11 | brigade | c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| beautiful 52:5 | bid | bring 22:1 | c $2: 13: 1$ |
| :8 | big 35:13,15 | 57:25 | caked 32:6 |
| beauty 51:3 | bit 24:24 25:15 | bringing 38:24 | $\text { call } 3: 2,166: 24$ |
| beg 76:20 | 31:7,9 47:18 | 57:6 66:18 | 8:3 26:5 49:17 |
| began 17:18,21 | 7:21 48:21 | brings 38:16 | 60:9 87:21 |
| beginning 3:11 | 51:7,15 | 62:9 | called 5:25 |
| 33:16 34:1,2 | black 39: | bronze 23 | 44:4 63:17 |
| 34:12 35:3 | 60:11 | :1 | calling 45:13 |
| 50:6 | blacks 60:17 | brooklyn 90:25 | calls 63:18 |
| begins 40:6 | 61:3 | brought 23:18 | campaign |
| beings 62:3 | bleed 77:12 | 34:14 35:19 | campaigns |
| belgium 9:13 | block 37:7 | 37:18 | 19:7 53:1 |
| believe 5:19 | board 7:17 | brown 2:12 8:1 | 70:24,25 71:18 |
| 35:6 39:1 44:9 | body 77:15 | 9:22 12:11 | 71:25 |
| 59:16 | 81:6 | 24:9 47 | candidate 5:10 |
| beloved 60:10 | boneza 2:19 | 50:13 53:15 | 15:7,16 18:2 |
| bench 76:20 | 6:13 | 57:5 58:21,24 | 1:14 23:24 |
| beneficial 45:7 | book 26:5,7,14 | 60:7 63:4 | 30:16 |
| benefit 45:13 | 38:1 | 64:16 70:2 | candle 54:4 |
| benefits 36:2 | boosting 16:10 | 76:18 81:15 | capitol 53:23 |
| 40:24 | border 77:8 | 82:11 83:14 | 53:25 |
| bernstein 2:2 | borer 2:17 6:9 | 84:1,21 85:20 | capture 26:9 |
| 3:17,19 13:10 | 6:10 | 88:4 | 81:17 |
| 13:10 52:9,9 | boss | buffalo 32:22 | caribbean 61:4 |
| 90:13,13 | boston 54:24 | 0:18 | carolina 50:15 |
| berthier 22:11 | bottom 78:5 | building 54:11 | carried 48:5 |
| 43:23 44:11 | box 39:23 | bullets 67:3,3 | carries 85:11 |
| best 25:24 | branches 21:25 | bullion 14:12 | carrying 20:18 |
| 28:24 44:4,23 | bravery 15:22 | business 9:1 | case 42:22 65:7 |
| 52:4 56:5,6,7 | 16:10,17,21 | 12:18 14:2 | 71:9 90:19 |
| 56:17,23 65:9 | 23:17 53:20 | 90:5 | cast 80:9 |
| 65:25 66:12,12 | 66:1 | tons 69:8 | casualties |
| 68:23 92:9 | brendan 2:25 | bye 91:5 | 34:22 |
| 93:5 | 7:7 | byes 14:15 | catches 47: |
| better 12:7 | brief 48:19 |  | catching 77:9 |
| 27:5 30:19 | 75:8 |  | catching 77.9 |
| 55:20 59:23 |  |  |  |


| $\begin{array}{cc} \hline \text { caught } 47: 5,8 \\ 48: 25,2551: 21 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89: 4 \\ \text { chair } 3: 2,20,23 \end{gathered}$ | chairman 12:24 13:6 | $\begin{aligned} & 76: 8,21 \quad 77: 24 \\ & 80: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cause 54:25 | 4:1,4,7,10,13 | 16:25 23:24 | choose 71:16 |
| 75:2 | 4:16 5:1,22 6:4 | 30:7,25 45:16 | 77:22 |
| ccac 1:12 5:5 | 6:7,9,11,13,15 | 45:18 47:1 | chorus 85:2,5 |
| 5:13 6:22 8:6 | 6:17,20 7:1,3,7 | 50:9 53:12 | 89:18 90:23 |
| 8:11,12,12,20 | 7:11,17 8:4,12 | 58:19,24 60:13 | chosen 71:17 |
| 8:22,25 10:1,4 | 9:17 12:12 | 72:15,15 76:13 | christ 56:11 |
| 12:16 14:9,15 | 13:3,7,12,15 | 85:6 | cinematic |
| 14:21,24 15:4 | 13:23,25 14:22 | cham | 63:20 |
| 36:3 40:25 | 15:10 24:1 | 20:19 21:9,21 | circulating |
| 41:2 58:24 | 25:6 26:24 | 22:3 23:4,21 | 14:11 |
| 70:11 90:6,9 | 30:6,21 31:17 | change 27:3,4 | circumstance |
| celebrated 39: | 32:12 33:11 | 29:1 83:18,20 | 34:3 |
| celebration | 36:2 37:3 | changed 64:5 | citation 16:16 |
| 36:21 | 40:23 42:17 | 72:19 86:4 | citizens 3:3 |
| centennial | 43:15 45:1,19 | changes 30:14 | 9:14 11:19 |
| 39:12,14 | 49:22 50:10 | 47:25 48:1 | 14:5 |
| center 43:6 | 51:19 52:7 | 82:17 84:4 | civil 39:3,11 |
| century 36:8 | 53:13 57:3 | 86: | 50:4,8 55:2 |
| 45:23 | 58:20,25 59:25 | character | clarify $85: 13$ |
| certain 76:22 | 60:4,5 63:2 | charge 19:16 | classes 9:24 |
| 88:12 | 64:17 66:1,6 | 21:6 43:13 | classic 46:17 |
| certainly 17:25 | 69:24 70:6,10 | charging 21:4 | classically 80:6 |
| 29:7 30:19,22 | 75:9 76:10,14 | 43:5 | cleaner 47:18 |
| 33:3 57:17 | 78:22 79:23 | chart 11:22 | clear 43:22 |
| 58:9 59:12,21 | 80:13 81:14,19 | cheat $24: 24$ | 44:1 49:5,6 |
| 60:19,21 71:12 | 82:2,9,24 83:1 | checked 86:4 | 67:18 68:2,3 |
| 73:5,12 | 83:12,20,23 | checkmark | 88:16 |
| certificate 92:1 | 84:7,11,23 | 66:20,21 | clearly 10:12 |
| 93:1 | 85:3,7,10,16 | chief $6: 1,15$ | 64:19 65:20,21 |
| certify 92:3 | 85:21 86:6,12 | 5:14 | 66:11 |
| 93:2 | 86:1 | chin 2 | close |
| cetera 61:5 | 87:12,15,18 | choice 52:23 | 40:9,11 47:14 |
| cfa 18:8,12,12 | 88:6,24 89:11 | 53:21 64:13, | 62:11 |
| 18:21 20:2 | 89:15,19 90:17 | 65:9 | closely 22:25 |
| 22:15 25:16 | 90:24 | choices 57:13 | closer 67:16 |
| 31:2 52:11 |  | 58:12 61:10 |  |


| club 8:19 | columbia 92:19 | 81:22 82:6 | conceived |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| coast 8:18 51:8 | combat 16:14 | 89:21 | 77:21 80:5,6 |
| 67:23 | 28:22,22 48:22 | commission | concept 47:2 |
| coiled 21:23 | 48:23 55:12,13 | 18:5 19:12 | concern 49:6 |
| 22:10 23:3 | 64:3,8 | 27:2 | concerned 29:4 |
| coin 5:18 9:9 | combination | committed | 37:6 43:4 49:4 |
| 9:10,23 27:23 | 27:22 | 65:8 | concerning |
| 28:17 78:5,7 | combine 74:23 | committee 3:3 | 24:11 |
| 79:4 | combining | 3:9,16 7:18 | concerns 47:23 |
| coinage 3:3 | 28:13 | 9:14 11:20 | concludes |
| 9:12,14,25 | come 25:2 | 12:9 14:5 17:5 | 23:24 |
| 11:20 12:4 | 31:21 37:9 | 24:2,3 25:7,13 | concurrent |
| 14:5 | 40:5 55:15,23 | 29:17 31:18 | 34:11 |
| coined 47:3 | 56:7 69:1 79:3 | 37:1,2 45:3 | conditions |
| coinnews 5:17 | comfortable | 49:9,23 56:1 | 19:18 |
| coins 9:24 10:8 | 84:8 | 56:10 57:1 | confirm 5:23 |
| 14:11 47:4,13 | coming 3:6 | 72:8 76:21 | confirmed 51:5 |
| 67:1 68:25 | 35:5 62:25 | 80:1 82:16 | 86:4 |
| cold 46:5 | 63:13 68:14,15 | 85:23 86:9 | conflict 13:1 |
| colin 60:19 | 82:24 | 87:9 90:18 | congratulate |
| collaboration | commander | common 54:24 | 58:23 60:7 |
| 90:3 | 33:18 | commonly | congratulatio... |
| colleague 8:21 | commemorat... | 15:21 | 11:19 45:17,18 |
| 60:14 | 22:8 | community | 70:2 |
| colleagues | comment 25:19 | 37:19 | congress 17:23 |
| 14:16 15:11 | 43:16 52:21 | compared | 20:17 21:13 |
| 41:2 47:19 | 66:25 67:20 | 41:20 64:3 | 22:14 23:11,14 |
| 49:9 57:6 | 68:7 69:3 79:8 | completely | 63:10 79:18,19 |
| 58:22 59:4 | 80:4 81:25 | 55:24 56:18 | 87:22 88:8,18 |
| 60:6 61:12 | 87:20 | component | congressional |
| 69:25 71:3,12 | comments | 34:3 | 5:10 7:5,14 |
| 72:3 | 12:21 24:7 | composition | 14:11 15:7,17 |
| collector 9:10 | 30:22 32:13 | 18:24 | 15:20 17:16 |
| 9:10 | 45:5,8 61:12 | compromise | 24:5 33:9 |
| college 9:24 | 66:8,24 69:19 | 78:8 | 36:16 46:16 |
| colonel 7:21 | 70:8,15 72:1,7 | comrades | 63:9,21 64:12 |
| 71:6 | 75:8,10,14 | 19:16 | 64:25 65:4 |
|  | 77:24 79:24,25 |  | 75:15 76:9 |



| dear 3:11,11 | 82:4,9,12 83:7 | 23: | des |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| :24 8:21 | 83:12,15,23 | 22,2 | 39:2 |
| debate 84:24 | 84:24 85:20,21 | 36:6 40:25 | destruction |
| 9:16 | 86:13 87:10 | :17,2 | 73:1 |
| debates 87:15 | 88:4 89:6,15 | 8,2 | detail 44:6 |
| ased 78:11 | dennis's 83:13 | 48:9 49:16,17 | 7:14 55:2 |
| december 9:3 | dents | 51:3,4,7 52:10 | 7:4 69:5,7 |
| decide 63:1 | depict 19:2,20 | 52:14,19,22 | 81:7 |
| decided 25:22 | 20:7 23:11 | 56:8 57:1,8,16 | detailed 22:21 |
| 24 | 44:23 | 59:15,19 61:15 | 69:22 |
| de | depicted 48:20 | 24 64:9 | details 52:25 |
| 10 | 5:13 | 4:12 67:13 | 67:9 74:6 |
| ion 70:23 | depiction 43:18 | 68:8 70:18 | determina |
| decisions 70:15 | 44:10,10 52:17 | $4: 578: 17,17$ | 42:15 57:18 |
| dedication | 74:15 | 7, | 62:12 |
|  |  |  | determi |
| defend 10:14 | 43:20,22 | 7 | 8:2 |
| 10:15 | depicts 19:15 | desi | developed 47:2 |
| defensive 20:19 | 2,21 21:3,7 | 28 | development |
| :9 22:3 23:5 | :11 22:25 | designed 56:10 | 17:1 |
| 21 | 23:9,15 25:25 | 86 | device 25:2 |
| def | de | designe | evices |
| deference | 9:24 | designs | died 54:25 |
|  |  | 8:3 | dies 79:11 |
| deferenti | descendants | :15 | difference |
| 1248 |  | 19:14 | :12 |
| definitely | descent 40:2 | 21:14,15 23:25 | different 32:5,8 |
|  | 60:18 | 24:4 30:10,12 | 42:22 48:11, |
| de | d |  | 51:16,24 72:25 |
| delete 30:3 | descriptions | 42:5 | difficult 36:25 |
| deleting 86:22 | 51:6 | 2 | digital 92:7 |
| deliberations | deserves 56:21 | 50:2 | 93:3 |
| 5:15 62:23 | design 6:1,4,7 |  | dignified 64:10 |
| demonstrate | 6:9,11,13,17 | 8:2 | dignity 16:22 |
| 3:6 42:18 | :10 15:15 | 62:10 | 26:8 |
| dennis 2:10 | 18:12,20 20:2 | 66:25 67:2, | diligent 52:19 |
| 4:10 63:3 | 20:9,10,11 | 73:18 84:4 | dimensional |
| 76:25 81:14,16 | 21:18 22:4,24 |  | 77:21 |


| dinner 70:4 | doing 17:21 | drachms 79:5 | elegant 80:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| diplomacy 46:7 | 47:24 51:12 | draft 81:7 | element 35:11 |
| direct 72:18 | 56:11 | draped 20:11 | 52:18 |
| direction 16:11 | dollar 14:13,13 | drawings 62:4 | elements 22:9 |
| director 6:20 | domestic 10:21 | drawn 77:2 | 22:25 70:19 |
| 6:24 9:17,17 | 0:23 | drivers 54:12 | eleven 68:16 |
| 9:19 | don 69:4 | drop 48:3 | eliminate 29:15 |
| dirt 73:11 | donald 2:9 5:2 | 87:22 | 80:16 88:1 |
| disagree 65:7 | 8:2 25:8 26:24 | dropping 49:6 | eliot 68:19 |
| discharge | 56:2 64:17 | duly 92:5 | elite 12:2 |
| 11:11,12 | 66:1 | dust 32:7 | eloquent 57:6 |
| discrimination | doubt 12:17 | duties 11:11,13 | eloquently 59:6 |
| 16:19 | doughboy 16:8 | 52:20 | 66:9 |
| discussed | 20:23 22:22 | e | else's 77:9 |
| 9:10 30:1 | 31:20 32:16 | e 2:1,1 3:1,1 | emblem 19:21 |
| discussing 26:4 | 33:10 | earlier 50:7 | 52:25 |
| discussion 5:9 | downrang | 59.272 .15 | emboss 24:19 |
| 17:3,16 24:6 | 34:6 | 76:16 87:20 | embrace 8:23 |
| 25:17 28:9 | dozen 17:20 | early $32 \cdot 23$ | 71:3,4,13 |
| 45:11 64:5 | dr 3:20 4:1,13 | 34:22 | embracing |
| 72:17,20 76:19 | 7:14,20 8:1 | echo 67:20 | 41:15 |
| 87:15 | 9:22 12:11 | edge 78:7 | emerging |
| disgusting 54:7 | 24:9 26:3 | edition 26 | 49:17 |
| 54:21 | 45:15 47:7 | editor 5.17 | eminently |
| dislike 69:20 | 48:2 50:1,13 |  | 24:23 |
| displayed | 53:15 57:5 | 31:14 76:21 | emotion 55:23 |
| 53:25 | 58:21 60:1,7 | editorializing | emotional |
| distinguish | 63:4,10,10 |  | 55:16 61:16 |
| 28:21 | 64:6,16 70:2 |  | employed |
| distinguished | 75:9,11 76:18 |  | 92:10,13 93:7 |
| 70:25 | 81:15 82:11,15 |  | 93:9 |
| district 92:19 | 82:25 83:14 | eight 38:10 | employee |
| disturbing | 84:1,21 85:15 |  | 92:12 93:9 |
| 16:20 | 85:16,17,20 |  | encourage 75:5 |
| dividing 55:11 | 86:15,17 87:3 | $28: 21 \text { 39:22 }$ | encouraged |
| division 34:8 | 87:3,19 88:4,7 | 88:13 | 38:21 |
| doctors 17:2,3 | 89:24,25 |  | ended 16:13 |
| 25:18 45:21 |  |  | 17:23 37:8 |


| $\begin{array}{\|cc\|} \hline \text { endorse } & 61: 9 \\ \text { 64:14 } & \\ \text { endorsed } & 64: 13 \\ \text { enemies } & 10: 20 \\ 10: 22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { et } 61: 5 \\ \text { europe } 16: 11 \\ 22: 123: 18 \\ 33: 23 \quad 34: 5,14 \\ 35: 4,1942: 3 \end{array}$ | exchange 46:3 <br> excitement 3:6 <br> exciting 52:21 <br> exclusively <br> 44:15 77:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { extremely } 50: 8 \\ & \text { eye } 47: 5,5,8 \\ & 48: 2549: 1 \\ & 51: 21 \\ & \text { eyes } 42: 14 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| enemy 81:13 | 67:24 | excuse 4:19 | f |
| engaged 46:6 65.671.25 | europe's 21:19 | 22 74:9 | face 16:23 |
| english 54:15 | european | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { exhibit } & 17: 22\end{array}$ | $62: 1174: 13$ $77 \cdot 18,18,19$ |
| engraver 6:15 | 16:12 | exhibited 16:23 | 78:3 80: |
| engraving 6:18 | evans 2:186:11 | exhibition 38:2 | faced 16:18 |
| enter 11:15,17 | 6:12 | 40:3 | facilitating |
| entered 74:1 | evasion 11:7,8 | exhibits 7:17 | 63:5 |
| entertain 12:20 | eve 35:25 | expect 48:23 | facing 79:1,2,4 |
| 84:10 90:12 | event 58:17 | expecting 5:2 | fact 3:5 12:13 |
| entertainment | events 36:9 | experience | 12:20,23 13:4 |
| 4:18 | everybody 12:7 | 8:25 28:14 | 13:4 14:7 15:3 |
| enthusiastica... | 12:8 66:10,18 | 38:4 39:16,17 | 17:19 32:22 |
| 10:1 | 69:670:1,5 | experiences | 33:13 35:11 |
| entire 57:20 | everyone's | 40:21 | 36:8,8 41:13 |
| entity $34: 7$ | 75:14 | experts 17:1 | 41:16,19 42:13 |
| environment | evidently 35:19 | 66:18 | 42:21 43:17 |
| 38:18 | evolve 70:14 | explain 36:10 | 45:6 58:22 |
| equal 38:18,23 | evolved 72:16 | explanations | $62: 2,21 \quad 65: 20$ |
| 38:24 | exactly $44: 23$ | 75:7 | 70:8,18,21 |
| equipment | 5:14 | explore 41:9 | 71:14 72:9 |
| 16:7 | example 26:2 | exposition | 76:16 79:17,18 |
| era 37:25 46:6 | 73:7,21 88:13 | 64:19 | 84:17 87:24 |
| eraser 54:5 | excellent 49:23 | express 60:8 | factors 36:10 |
| es 92:4 | 3:5 64:15 | expressed 59:5 | facts $55: 1$ |
| especially | 74:18 75:2,6 | expressionistic | faith 10:24,25 |
| 8:11 45:7 | except 45:6 | 78:1 | faithfully 11:11 |
| 1:10 73:13 | 6:2 80:8 | extend 69:2 | $11: 12$ |
| essay 26:4 | exceptional | extensivel | fantastic 90:1,2 |
| essentially 73:1 | 58:4 | 24:17 | 91:1 |
| 73:15 | exceptionally | extraordinary | far 26:10 29:3 |
| estimation 52:6 | 59:8 | 16:21 | 37:5,5,9 47:6 |


| 76:23 | 54:7 64:2 | finished 51:16 | flags 21:17,23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| farther 51:1 | fierce 21:6 | fire 37:6,7 49:5 | 22:7,11 23:2 |
| fascinating | fifth 35:13 | 49:11 51:5,8 | 23:16 29:8 |
| 37:11,17 | fight 38:12,12 | 73:9 | 30:3 51:15 |
| fast 39:8 46:5 | 38:14,14 54:18 | firefighter | 52:25 62:19 |
| father's 50:16 | fighter 61:21 | 68:10,11 | 86:16 87:8 |
| fault 77:8 | fighting 27:14 | fires 73:13 | flames 19:17 |
| favor 13:13,23 | 38:2 39:5 58:6 | firm 88:17 | 55:15 67:21 |
| 41:20 67:17 | 58:17 | first 9:1 12:20 | 68:3,4,4 77:17 |
| 68:5 84:25 | figure 74:13 | 14:8 15:18 | flap 53:8 |
| 85:9 89:17 | 77:15 | 17:6 18:6 | flat 77:19 78:3 |
| 90:21 | figured 12:1 | 28:17 29:13 | 78:18 80:12 |
| favored 41:16 | 28:19 67:16 | 32:21 33:22 | fleet 78:3 |
| favoring 79:17 | figures 26:12 | 34:21,23 35:24 | flip 86:16 |
| favorite 71:1 | 77:7,16 78:4,8 | 37:12,25 38:8 | flipped 87:8 |
| feature 18:3 | file 24:16 | 41:22 50:19 | flow 40:6 |
| 22:21 23:22 | fill 10:4 74:6 | 52:23 53:16 | fluid 77:25 |
| features 20:12 | film 50:2,3 | 60:5 61:1,5 | focus 28:21 |
| 20:14,17 21:5 | final $33: 7$ | 62:7 66:8,16 | 72:22 73:2 |
| 21:16,22 23:18 | finally 5:9 7:11 | 66:25 67:5,6,8 | focusing 26:2 |
| featuring 53:10 | 14:14 17:23 | 67:13,14,18,22 | folk 53:25 |
| february $35: 12$ | 21:11 23:22 | 68:9,17,21 | folks 27:12 |
| 90:10 | 25:22 63:10 | 69:20,22 70:8 | 28:19 38:22 |
| federal 90:11 | financially | 72:13 74:11 | 39:1 75:19 |
| feedback 4:23 | 92:14 93:10 | 77:11 84:9 | 77:2 |
| 31:20 | find 40:4 43:21 | fiscal 5:8 14:4 | follow 27:1 |
| feel $25: 1327: 2$ | 43:22 59:11,14 | 14:9 | following 5:5 |
| 30:16 43:12 | 72:22,22 75:4 | fitting 58:13 | 5:23 72:15 |
| 45:6 47:19 | finding 39:19 | five 14:9,11 | 82:17 86:1 |
| 59:3 61:16,17 | 39:20 | 23:18 33:21 | footage 32:21 |
| 61:19 62:3,9 | fine 18:5 19:12 | 44:12,14,17 | 32:22 |
| 65:4 81:18 | 27:3 29:17 | 51:10 | forced 38:20 |
| 84:8 | 58:14 59:12 | fix 68:5 | 39:23 |
| feeling 9:12 | 68:6 69:15 | flaccid 78:12 | forcefully 59:6 |
| feels 65:16 | 75:25 89:13 | flag 18:19 19:2 | foregoing 92:3 |
| felt 18:12 19:6 | finesse 25:1 | 19:3 20:11 | 92:4 93:4 |
| 24:19 38:22 | finish 54:10 | 22:18 29:8 | foreign 10:20 |
| 41:5 49:15 |  | 89:1 | 10:22 |


| forgive 41:2 | friendly 89:6 | generous 58:10 | glen 7:16,18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 72:12 | frivolous 64:3 | genesis 34:13 | 17:19 36:12 |
| formal 14:15 | front 20:10 | 35: | 37:20 |
| forth 46:18 | 26:22 27:24 | gentleman | glory 39:9 50:2 |
| 74:14,25 | 28:1,3 75:3 | 89:12 | 54:23 |
| forward 8:24 | 83:4,5,10 | gentlemen 24:6 | go 4:21 8:24 |
| 9:15 11:21,23 | 86:23 | 24:11 90:17 | 13:7 18:17 |
| 12:6 14:24 | frontal 49:2 | german 34:22 | 19:5,13,13 |
| 8:22 21:4 | 77:5 | germans 34:23 | 21:20 22:13 |
| 22:5,18 46:5 | full 15:4,9 | getting 32:6 | 28:17 29:4,15 |
| 62:25 68:15 | 20:18 31:12 | 35:5 47:25 | 30:4 32:17 |
| 70:3,4,6 75:16 | 77:5 | gibson 2:13 | 33:2,25 34:15 |
| 79:4 | fuller 2:3 3:20 | 6:24,24 9:17 | 35:1 39:8 |
| fought 89:5 | 3:22 60:1,1,2 | 9:19,20 10:14 | 41:21 44:6,9 |
| found 17:18 | functions 63:8 | 10:16,20,24 | 44:19 45:23 |
| 52:17 53:2 | further 18:2 | 11:1,3,5,7,9,11 | 46:18,22 47:20 |
| founder 5:17 | 41:9 44:24 | 11:14,18 12:11 | 48:14 50:7,21 |
| four 21:3 28:1 | 85:11 89:21 | gifted 31:5,10 | 52:2 54:19 |
| 28:24 40:14 | 92:12 93:8 | gilkes 5:18 | 56:10 57:1,14 |
| fourth 77:15 | future 15:1 | gill 2:4 3:23,25 | 61:13,23,25 |
| france 16:2 | 41:7 | 12:24,24 13:22 | 62:6,14,15 |
| 20:22 35:19 | g | 13:22 57:4,5 | 65:23 67:9 |
| 51:8 54:12 |  | give $31: 11$ | 69:2 71:16 |
| 73:10 | gangst | 37:23 39:11 | 72:10,10,11 |
| francisco 8:17 | $\begin{gathered} \text { gangste } \\ 68 \cdot 18 \end{gathered}$ | 40:10 47:1 | 75:4,16,17,23 |
| frankly 66:23 | $\operatorname{gap} 69: 14$ | 48:16 55:7 | 76:7 79:13,21 |
| 77:20 | gathering $37: 4$ | 61:11 62:11,13 | 81:24 82:19 |
| free 52:13 | gaudens 54:22 | 63:19 64:7 | 86:2 87:5,18 |
| freely 11:3,4 | gaudens 54:22 | 70:7,8,12 | 88:3 |
| french 16:3,7 | :18 24:5 | 80:17 | god 54:3 |
| 16:15 20:11,23 | :19 66:25 | given 33:1 | goes 35:2 81:7 |
| 21:17,23 22:7 | :10 | 36:23 41:16 | going 11:23 |
| 22:10,11,19 |  | 51:23 88:15 | 12:9 17:11 |
| 23:2,16 34:16 | $54: 19$ | gives 55:14 | 26:7 27:21 |
| 35:21,24 44:1 | generate 24:17 | 58:8 | 28:22 31:14 |
| 44:14 54:15 | generation | giving 61:22 | 32:6 37: |
| friend 8:21 | generation | 68:20 | 38:22 41:7,7 |
| 44:4 |  |  | 43:9 46:1,9 |


| :6,19 49:13 | grabs 55:9 | guerre 16:15 | handsome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0:1 51:17 | 57:17 61:22 | 16:17 18:22 | 64:1 |
| 2:12 53:11,23 | grand 39:21,21 | 19:21 21:16,22 | handwrought |
| 56:9,11,12,12 | grandfather | 22:11,22 23:1 | 79:11 |
| 56:14 57:1,14 | 39:21 | 23:7,9,12 | hang 18:24 |
| 57:15,19,21 | graphic 77:3 | 29:18,24 30:5 | 56:15 |
| 58:13 61:6 | 80:10 | 47:10 51:22 | hanging 23:16 |
| 63:19 65:8,23 | graphically | 56:16 74:21 | 75:24 |
| 66:24 67:8,9 | 77:21 80:5 | 76:5 82:20 | hangs 46:25 |
| 68:15,22 69:1 | grateful 49:24 | 86:3 | happen 17:7 |
| 75:11,12 76:5 | gratitude 60:8 | guess 26:5 27:6 | 80:2 |
| 76:9 77:19 | gravitas 88:12 | 27:19 33:23 | happened |
| 78:11 80:12 | great 14:25 | 47:23 60:2 | 37:16,17 |
| 86:21,23 87:5 | 47:9 57:10 | 87:21 | happens 77:22 |
| gold 5:117:5 | 60:16 62:24 | guided 81:17 | happy 17:13 |
| 7:14 9:12 | 63:23 90:25 | gun 48:5 65:14 | 51:5 58:9 |
| 14:11 15:8,17 | greater 81:4 | 65:16 | 60:10 75:14 |
| 15:20 17:16 | greatest 26:2 | guns | harcourt 2:3 |
| 24:5 33:9 | greeks 79:2,3 | guy 67:15 | 3:20 59:25 |
| 36:16,16 46:16 | greg 2:23 | 68:12,14 90:24 | hardened |
| 46:16 63:9,21 | grit 55:19 | guy's 56:22 | 19:15 |
| 64:12,25 65:4 | ground 77:16 | guys 49:13 | harlem 5:10 |
| 75:15 76:9 | grounds 53:23 | h | 7:4,14 15:7,21 |
| 87:23 88:9,11 | group 5:17 | If 37:7 88:14 | 15:23 16:5,9 |
| 88:21 | 8:12 10:8 12:2 | hanchock 2:19 | 16:13,18,22 |
| good 9:20 | 12:5,8,10 15:1 | 6:13,14 | 17:16,20 18:13 |
| 14:15 38:15 | 15:2 52:14 | hand 10 : | 19:7,15,19,22 |
| 46:13,18,24 | 7:9 64:20,21 |  | 20:4,7,8,14,18 |
| 54:9 58:10 | 65:7,16,19 |  | 20:21 21:1,3,5 |
| 61:7 64:11 | 66:11,18 |  | 21:6,7,8,11,24 |
| 65:12 66:6,23 | growing 53:16 |  | 23:1,17,20,23 |
| 67:4,6 73:23 | guard 15:25 | $3: 2$ | 24:4 26:22 |
| 74:22 | 19:23 20:5,13 |  | 27:12 33:20 |
| google 75:4 | 20:16 21:2 | $84: 1388: 13$ | 35:15 36:22,24 |
| 76:4 | 26:18,21 27:25 | handicaps | 37:2,12 45:24 |
| gotten 41:18 | 73:24,25 74:1 | $12: 13$ | 49:12 60:23 |
| government | 74:7 82:1 |  | 63:11 64 |
| 7:7 46:7 | 86:24 |  | 73:10,17 77:11 |


| 80:16,22,23 | held 54:3 | heroes 31:5,10 | hitting 32:9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 82:14 | hell 49:13 | 63:13 | hoge 14:19 |
| harris 7:15 | hellfighter | heroic 36:9 | hold 45:10 |
| 17:2,3,14,14 | 20:15,18 37:2 | 43:13 53:24 | 76:15 81:19 |
| 25:19,21,21 | hellfighters | hey 40:7 | holding 38:1 |
| 29:3 31:1 32:2 | 5:10 7:5,14 | hi 13:8 | 67:15 77:12 |
| 32:15,20 33:1 | 15:7,17,21,23 | high 61:22 | 78:16 |
| 33:6 35:10 | 16:6,9,13,18 | 62:13 | homage 62:21 |
| 36:19 45:21 | 16:23 17:17,21 | highest 78:19 | honor 10:2,10 |
| 47:8 48:2 | 18:13 19:7,15 | highlight 27:10 | 17:7,10 45:20 |
| 63:10 73:4,4 | 19:19,22 20:4 | 61:7 | 63:14 |
| 82:15 85:13,15 | 20:8,9,21 21:1 | highligh | honored 17:15 |
| 85:16,17,17,22 | 21:3,5,6,7,8,12 | 76:23 | 51:17 58:4,18 |
| 86:20,20 87:4 | 21:25 23:1,17 | hill 53:2 | 62:22 78:12 |
| 87:6,17,19,19 | 23:20,23 24:4 | historian 7:21 | honoring 59:9 |
| 88:7,22 89:25 | 26:22 27:12 | 7:22 17:8,9 | hope 12:5 |
| hate 56:23 | 33:20 36:22,24 | 28:12,12 40:9 | 26:14 60:13 |
| 66:19 80:12 | 37:13 44:16 | 42:1,25 45:22 | 68:20 |
| hayward 33:16 | 45:24 49:12 | historians 44:5 | horace 34:24 |
| 33:22 | 59:10 60:24 | 60:15 | 34:24 |
| head 32:9 | 63:11 64:4 | historic 27:2 | horror 55 |
| hear 31:23 | 73:10,18 77:11 | historical 7:18 | house |
| 36:3 38:13 | 80:16,23,23 | 28:10 32:10 | 4:17 8:9 9 |
| 40:25 60:3 | 82:15 89:5 | 33:14 36:3,17 | 10:5,6,7 14:17 |
| 86:15,18 | helmet 16:7 | 37:24 39:11 | houses 54:16 |
| heard 5:1 | 20:23 77:19 | 40:19 89:5 | how's 66:5 |
| 31:21 32:11 | help 36:15 79:1 | historically | human 54:24 |
| 33:5 42:1,2 | 79:7 80:25 | 83:17,18 | 61:18 62:3 |
| 70:15 72:8,20 | helped 22:1 | history 4:2 | humanities |
| 83:5 | 48:7 | 9:25 17:9 | 7:16 |
| hearing 3:13 | helpful 4:22 | $8: 1239$ | i |
| 47:20 84:19,25 | 28:6 | 40:2 41:8 43:1 | i.e. $68:$ |
| 87:18 89:17,23 | helps 41:9 61:2 | 50:14 51:2 | $\text { idea } 48: 7 \text { 50:23 }$ |
| heart 36:22 | henry 26:2 | 52:1 54:6 | 56:14 64:6 |
| heartiest 45:21 | hereto 92:13 | 57:25 58:1,15 | 66:15 73:23 |
| hearts 89:24 | 93:10 | 60:11,18,2 | 74:21 |
| $\begin{aligned} \text { heavy } & 51: 21 \\ 53: 6 & \end{aligned}$ | hero 26:2 54:1 | hit 41:22 76:25 |  |

[identified - intergovernmental]

| identified 18:4 | imprimatur | individuals | 23:13,19,23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18:10 | 88:18 | 10:8 33:22 | 71:15 |
| ignores 56:19 | inaccuracies | 34:23 49:2 | inside 81:7 |
| ii 38:13 39:3,5 | 43:19 | 52:15 | insignia 21:24 |
| 43:7 | inaudible 79:5 | indulgence | 22:10 23:12,19 |
| illuminated | inch 88:14,14 | 72:5 76:20 | 23:22 29:16,22 |
| 49:25 | inches 24:21 | infamous 19:18 | 31:3,4,6,7,8,8 |
| illuminates | 25:4 | infantry 15:20 | 31:13,15 42:12 |
| 40:20 | include 73:23 | 16:3 19:20,24 | 56:15 |
| image 16:1 | 88:19 | 20:3,5,12,16 | institute 7:16 |
| 24:17 44:25 | included 18:23 | 20:25 21:13 | instructive |
| 73:5 77:3 | 19:9 72:24 | 22:9,13,23 | 31:18 59:1 |
| images 28:25 | includes 5:4 | 23:10,13 25:1 | instrument |
| imagine 81:4,5 | 87:1 | 26:23 27:20 | 27:6,9,10 42:7 |
| immediately | including 8:16 | 34:25 54:10 | 65:15 |
| 51:21 88:16 | 14:10,16,25 | 82:18 86:2,22 | instrumental |
| importance | 16:7 27:6 | information | 40:7 |
| 27:11 36:15 | inclusion 49:11 | 41:10 74:24 | instruments |
| 50:25 59:14 | incorporated | informative | 18:21 65:14 |
| important 14:6 | 18:15 | 59:1 | 71:21 |
| 18:23 19:8 | incorporates | infuriating | intended 47:3 |
| 29:10 31:15 | 52:14,24 | 16:20 | interactions |
| 35:11 38:5 | incorporating | inherent 87:24 | 48:10 |
| 39:13 40:20 | 53:3 | initial 17:12 | intercede 77:23 |
| 41:17,23,25 | incuse 80:16,22 | initially 8:7 | interest 14:18 |
| 45:25 46:5,9 | 81:11 | 63:25 67:11 | 15:9 60:16,22 |
| 48:13,15 50:8 | incused 51:3 | innovation | interested |
| 51:25 56:20 | incusing 81:6 | 14:13 | 37:12 39:10 |
| 58:1 63:8,15 | 81:11,13 | input 11:21 | 67:1,1 92:14 |
| 71:19 74:4 | indicate 5:24 | inscription | 93:11 |
| imposing 64:6 | indications | 19:5,19 20:8 | interesting |
| impressed | 75:7 | 21:5,7 22:23 | 31:23 33:19 |
| 66:17,23 | individual | 22:24 23:6 | 35:20 36:20 |
| impression | 28:18 49:9 | inscriptions | 49:22 50:14 |
| 67:5,7,8,14,19 | 54:18 55:11 | 19:1,22 20:4 | interests 12:3,4 |
| 69:21 | 59:13 66:7 | 20:12,15,19,25 | intergovernm... |
| impressions | individually | 21:9,12,20 | 6:21 7:9 |
| 68:21 69:22 | 16:16 | 22:1,12 23:10 |  |


| internet 5:20 interpretation 84:20 interpretations 32:8 84:22 | j | 60:6 | 28:20,20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | james 16:11 | $\text { joined } 7: 12,13$ $\text { joining } \quad 12: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 832: 1,4,8 \\ & 33: 16,17,24 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 35:4,18 42:3 | 17:2 45:17 | 34:12,14,24 |
|  | january 1:14 | 58:24 | 35:4,7 36:17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { interrupt } 76: 19 \\ & 77: 23 \end{aligned}$ | January $3: 4$ | joseph 2:20 | 38:1,1,18 40:4 |
|  |  | 6:15 | 40:7,11 41:2 |
| intersecting 77:8 | 8:14 34:13 | joys | 41:22 42:8, |
|  | 35:19,21,23 | judgment | 2:24,25 43 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { interviewed } \\ 37: 21 \end{gathered}$ | 46:4,6 48:6 | jump 33:17 | 3:5,23 44:4,5 |
|  | 58:11 | 80:2 84:3 | 46:4,5,9,11,15 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { introduce } 3: 15 \\ 9: 615: 15 \end{gathered}$ | . | june 14:8 | 46:17,21,24 |
|  | jennife | k | 47:12,13 48:18 |
| introduced 16:12 | :19,24 5:13 | keep 16:4 45:5 | 49:2 50:6,16 |
|  | 5:16 6:20 8:1 | :16 65: | 54:6,22 58:15 61:20 62:9 |
| introduction 9:2 | 25:8 | :17 75:8,11 | $\begin{aligned} & 61: 2062: 9 \\ & 65: 4,11 \quad 67: \end{aligned}$ |
| invested 10:8 invite 11:23 involved 17:15 | sus | 75:12 86:23 | $7: 24 \text { 68:11 }$ |
|  | joe $24: 1530: 8$ | kevin | 75:14,15,19 |
|  | 30:23,25 31:17 | kind 37:24,25 | 78:20 79:6,21 |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { involved } 17: 15 \\ \text { 19:8 } \end{array}$ | 63:17 70:7 | 41:22 42:13,15 | 87:25 |
| - | 72:11,12 76:16 | 43:1,12 46:8 | knowledge |
| island 17:20 | 76:17,18 78:22 | 4:24 67:22 | 50:5 92:9 93:6 |
|  | 78:25 79:7,24 | 8:16,25 73:2 | known 15:2 |
| issue | 0:15,15,2 | 9:20 | $15: 2316: 9$ $55: 1$ |
| 46:17 76:9 | joe's | $42: 3,4$ | knows 50:3 |
| issued | john 2:6 4:16 | know 5:1 8:2 | 69:6 |
|  | 5:5 7:1,15 9:2 | $9: 8,8,11 \quad 12: 1,3$ | korean 43:7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 43: 23,24 \text { 44:16 } \\ & 65: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 9:6,8,14,21 | $12: 7,15,19$ | kotlowski 2:5 |
|  | 66:2 69:2 | $15: 10,12$ | 4:1,3 45:15,16 |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \text { issues } \quad 7: 25 \\ 76: 22,22 \\ \text { it'll } & 31: 22 \\ \text { item } & 15: 6 \\ 72: 2 \end{array}$ | 6:15 78:23 | $19: 6 \text { 24:2 }$ | 72:14 76:12 |
|  | $: 2381: 21,23$ | $24: 25 \text { 25:10,11 }$ | 84:1,2,8 90:16 |
|  | 84:22 | $25: 12 \text { 26:3 }$ | 90:16 |
|  | johnson 26:3 | $27: 4,11,12,1$ | rewasky 29:6 |
|  | join 5:2 10:7 | $28: 3,9,10,1$ | 32:15 37:22 |
|  | 19:16 59:3 | 28:11,16,17,18 | 73:22 |


| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { legislative } 6: 21 \\ & 7: 8 \end{aligned}$ | light 36:16 <br> liked 25:24 | 54:9 55:6,18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $17: 15$ |  |  | 56:14 57:17 |
| ladies 24:6 90:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lend } 52: 3 \\ & \text { length } 63: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 47:17 51:10 | 60:25 61:15,19 |
|  |  | 64:19 68:20 | 62:24 67:5,23 |
|  |  | liking 79:1 | 71:23,24 73:20 |
| 43:10 73:10 | lengthy $72: 17$ <br> lesson 50:14 | $\text { limit } 37: 5$ | 74:9 75:3 76:4 |
| annin 8:6 47:1 |  | line 52:12 | 76:24 77:3,6 |
| lapel 56:22 | lettering 30:2 | 55:11,22 73:17 | 77:10,10,19 |
| larger 61:2 | 73:21 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { lines } 25: 1 \\ 73: 1776: 6 \end{array}$ | 80:11 81:1 |
| larissa 79:5 |  |  | 56:4 67:14,15 |
| lasted 35:3 | 13:19 14:2 | links 26:5 |  |
| law 15:19 | letting 12:10 level 47:14 | list 6:25 | 67:16,23 68:9 |
| lawrence 2:12 |  | listening 3:13 | 68:13,17 |
| 54:5 57:2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { level } 47: 14 \\ 88: 12 \end{gathered}$ | little 15:18 | looking 26:20 |
| lay 41:12 | levels 51:24 | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 2425: 14 \\ & 30: 1531: 7,9 \end{aligned}$ | 29:1 47:5 |
| layer 77:11,13 | liaison 5:13 |  | 61:19 67:12 |
| 77:14,15 80:17 | 6:21 31:19 | 47:18,25 48:21 |  |
| layers 77:10 | 64:23 <br> liaison's 57:13 | 49:4 51:7,15 | 70:3,3,6 71:22 |
| laying 86:6 |  | 65:6 66:20 | 75:23 83:2 |
| leader 4:8,17 | liaisons 7:13 | live 65:13 | 87:4 |
| 8:9 9:5 10:5,6 | 15:8 18:4,7,10 |  | looks 25:11 |
| leading 48:24 | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 23 ~ 19: 6,11 \\ & 20: 122: 4 \end{aligned}$ | living 39:20 | 46:16 63:21 |
| lean 52:10 |  | llc 5:18 | 68:17,18 69:6 |
| learn 8:25 | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 122: 4 \\ & \text { 25:18 46:12,13 } \end{aligned}$ | loaded 32 | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { lose } & 31: 13 \\ \text { loss } & 51: 9 \end{array}$ |
| 58:15 | 48:15 49:8 | local 37:15 |  |
| leave 44:19 | $\begin{aligned} & 52: 11,2259: 1 \\ & 60: 1461: 10,24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { locate } \quad 36: 25 \\ & \text { long } 17: 1928: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lost } 35: 453: 19 \\ & \text { lot } 14: 2425: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| 83:9 |  |  |  |
| lebel 20:24 | 64:4,9 72:16 | 36:11,23 37:11 | 27:12 32:7 |
| 43:2,23 | 72:19 81:17 | 40:10 44:9 | 34:6,16,16 |
| left 18:19 22:19 | $\begin{aligned} & 82: 688: 10 \\ & 89: 2490: 4 \end{aligned}$ | 63:7,13 79:3 | 44:3 46:16 |
| 29:9 77:7 |  | longer40:127:14 | $47: 12,24,24$$49: 20,20,22$ |
| legal 7:4 24:3 | liberty $24: 20$ <br> library 7:23 |  |  |
| 45:3 |  | look 3:5 9:1 | 50:16,17 51:9 |
| legend 27:4 | $\begin{aligned} \text { library } & 7: 23 \\ 37: 15 & \end{aligned}$ | $11: 2012: 6$$14: 2326: 7$ | 61:6 67:5,10 |
| 69:14 | $\begin{gathered} \text { life } 8: 1335: 4 \\ 52: 18 \end{gathered}$ |  | 73:11 74:24 |
| legible |  | $\begin{aligned} & 28: 2134: 1 \\ & 41: 742: 5,14 \\ & 46: 10,21 \quad 47: 23 \end{aligned}$ | 79:12 81:2 |
| legible | $\begin{aligned} & 52: 18 \\ & \text { lifelong } 9: 22 \end{aligned}$ |  | love 60:2 |
|  |  |  | 68:23 |


| loved 35:21 | $\begin{aligned} & 86: 11,1988: 1 \\ & 89: 11 \end{aligned}$ | matte $68: 24,25$ <br> matter 32:22 | medals 10:9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50:1 |  |  | 14:11 23:7 |
| low 33:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { makes } 51: 8 \\ & 61: 17,2171: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { maximum } \\ 28: 20 \end{gathered}$ | 46:16 47:13 |
| lower 31:5,6 |  |  | 56:24 62:25 |
| 78:6 | 88:1,15 | mccarthy 9:5 | 63:9 64:25,25 |
| lowering 31:2 | making 68:2 | memullen 7:15 | 65:5 76:3 88:9 |
| 31:8 | man 39:10 | mean 19:1 | 88:11,21 |
| m | 57:18 | 24:25,25 27:2 | media 5:17,19 |
| $\begin{array}{cc} \text { ma'am } & 3: 11 \\ 5: 24 \\ \text { machine } & 68: 18 \end{array}$ | man's 21:19 | 30:8,9 33:1 | meet 41:19 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 43: 1073: 10,14 \\ \text { managed } 58: 7 \end{gathered}$ | 35:1 40:17 | 70:5 71:24 |
|  |  | 1:24 46:18 | meeting 1:12 |
|  | management 6:2 15:15 82:7 | 1:16 67:3 | 3:2,5 5:4,7,15 |
| 39:14 65:21 |  | 68:18 71:22 | 5:24 12:1,7,22 |
| 74:18 83:12 | $\begin{array}{r} 6: 215: 15 \quad 82: 7 \\ \text { manager } \quad 6: 7,9 \end{array}$ | 76:19 85:24 | 13:1,5,19 |
| 87:20,23 88:17 | $\begin{gathered} 6: 11,13,17 \\ \text { manifest } 33: 12 \end{gathered}$ | means 85:9 | 14:21,23 22:17 |
| adison 35:14 |  | 89:8 | 66:16 72:2 |
| magazine | $\begin{array}{cc} 33: 14 \\ \text { manner } & 78: 9 \end{array}$ | nt | 90:9 |
| 44:13,17,18 |  | medal 5:11 7:5 | meetings |
| magnitude | map 67:22 | 7:14 15:8,17 | 14:7,8 82:3 |
| 28:16 | march 35:12 marching | 15:20 17:16,18 | 90:10 |
| main 29:25,25 |  | 18:24 21:22 | megan 2:15 6:4 |
| 80:9 | 16:10 32:6,19 | 22:22 24:5 | member 3:8 |
| aintained | marine's 42:14 marks 61:23 | 29:18 31:2,6 | 5:5 7:17 8:5,13 |
| 6:8 |  | 33:9 36:16,16 | 8:15,24 12:15 |
| majority $4: 8$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { marks } 61: 23 \\ 62: 13,16 \end{gathered}$ | 39:25 42:12 | 35:6 49:8 |
| $10: 6 \quad 34: 5,9$ | marne 20:19 | 46:16,25 51:4 | members 3:15 |
| make 24:21 | 20:19 21:9,10 | 52:14,25 55:25 | 5:14 8:3,20 |
| 25:2,3 29:22 | 21:21,21 22:2 | 56:21 59:9,21 | 12:19 16:16 |
| 30:2,14 41:25 | 22:3 23:4,5,20 | 63:7,13,21 | 17:20 25:7 |
| 42:9 44:20,20 | $\begin{gathered} 23: 21 \\ \text { marshal } 37: 6 \end{gathered}$ | 64:11,12 66:15 | 31:19 36:12 |
| 44:24 46:13,15 |  | 69:12 71:5,8,9 | 45:2,4,8 $48: 5$ |
| 57:7 58:1 | mary 8:6,6,11 | 71:22 75:2,15 | 49:23 70:11 |
| 59:8,2 | 8:13,21,25 | 75:20,21,24 | 72:7 74:3 |
| 64:10 66:24,25 | $\begin{array}{rr} 47: 1,1 & 63: 18 \\ \text { mary's } & 50: 23 \end{array}$ | 76:1,3,4,9 78:6 | 76:21 85:8 |
| 68:3 77:5 79:8 |  | 79:19 87:24 | 90:6,17 |
| 80:4 81:16,20 | mass 50:5 | 88:2,13 | to 88:1 |
| 82:4 83:4,16 | match 29:2 | medallic 4:5 | memori |
| 82.4 83.4, 16 | 46:14 | 56:8 | 54:23 78:10 |


| men 19:20 20:3 | middle 29:2 | 45:5 60:14 | 89:9,11,16,19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33:23 37:19 | mike 2:7 4:7 | 75:12 | 90:12 |
| 39:2 53:20 | 5:17 6:17 | missed 77:6 | motions 56:13 |
| menna 2:20 | 53:14 57:3,15 | missing 47:17 | 72:7 84:3,10 |
| 6:15,16 24:15 | 59:4 63:16 | mnuchin 8:8 | 85:3,11 |
| 24:15 30:25 | 66:9 72:11 | model 20:24 | mounted 17:22 |
| 31:1 63:17 | 79:25 80:13 | modern 9:13 | mouthful 49:18 |
| 70:9 76:18,19 | 81:24 82:1 | modification | move 9:1 15:5 |
| 79:9 80:2,19 | 88:24 90:1 | 44:20 71:18 | 18:21 21:14 |
| 80:20,24 81:1 | mike's 64:19 | modified 44:18 | 22:5,18 72:2 |
| 81:10 89:25 | 72:10 | moment 8:5 | 89:13 90:13 |
| mental 11:5,6 | military | 10:2 | moved 13:9,21 |
| mention 24:7 | 7:23 17:8,8 | moments 14:3 | 87:10 |
| 26:16 45:24 | 28:11,13 31:22 | montford 56:1 | movement |
| mentioned | 34:4 39:6 | month 12:17 | 17:17 39:4 |
| 9:22 26:3 31:2 | 42:25 47:12,13 | 14:23 60:12 | movie 39:9 |
| 40:24 41:16 | 50:6 60:10,18 | 70:4 | 54:23 63:22 |
| 47:1 50:2 | 60:21 64:25 | months 35:5 | 77:1 |
| 52:13 53:5 | 70:19,25 71:18 | monument | moving 12:18 |
| 72:15 74:14 | 71:24 75:19 | 78:5 | 18:9 19:25 |
| merit 63:19 | 88:10 | morale 16:10 | 20:6 |
| 66:20 84:12 | million 40 | moran 2:7 4:7 | mud 73:11 |
| messages 63:15 | 40:15,18 | 4:9 53:14,15 | multiple 52:15 |
| met 14:9 39:19 | mind 49:14 | 80:15,20,22,25 | museum 7:18 |
| meuse 20:20 | 50:20 64:5 | 81:9 88:24 | 7:23 36:20 |
| 21:10,20 22:2 | 68:20 | 89:1,6,13 | 37:4,10 |
| 23:5,20 | minority 4:17 | morning 37:8 | music 16:12 |
| mexican 32:17 | 8:9 9:4 10:5 | 53:22 | 18:14 23:17 |
| 32:23,24 33:2 | mint 5:13,23 | motion | 35:23 46:4 |
| mic 40:10 | 7:24 9:18 | 13:3,12,16,18 | 48:7 58:3,11 |
| 80:19 | 11:22 16:25 | 14:1 27:4 | musical 18:13 |
| michael 2:21 | 22:17 55:16 | 81:16 82:5,10 | 18:21 21:18 |
| microphone | 56:7 64:15 | 82:15 83:6,13 | 33:12 34:2 |
| 3:9 12:11 66:3 | 66:18 90:7,19 | 83:13,15,23 | 65:14,15 |
| microphones | mint's 15:14 | 84:12,14,16,23 | musicia |
| 3:14 | minutes 5:6 | 84:25 85:1,10 | 29:13 46:6 |
| microsoft 3:10 | 12:20,22 13:4 | 86:8,11,19 | 62:22 |
|  | 13:16 14:1 | 87:8,16 88:20 |  |



| :10,19,21,22 | okay $4: 25$ | organized | pacific 8:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 64:23 65:8,24 | 37:23 51:20 | 15:24 | paid 31:1 |
| 67:11,17 68:5 | 56:4 78:24 | original 27:24 | pair 49:16 |
| 70:17,18 71:12 | 80:22 81:9,19 | 46:14,22,23 | pam 2:17 6:9 |
| 71:14,15,17 | 81:23 82:9 | 49:18 72:24 | 90:20 |
| 72:22 73:1 | 83:1,2,12 | originally | pancake 78:3 |
| 74:11,13 76:24 | 84:23 85:18,22 | 15:24 | pantheon |
| 78:4 79:14,21 | 86:18,19 87:6 | outcome 92:14 | 63:12 |
| 80:8,16,23 | 88:22 89:13 | 93:11 | paper 55:7 |
| 82:13,13,16 | 91:2 | outgoing 8:5 | parade 35:13 |
| 83:3,9 85:23 | old 23:13 37:14 | outs 48:17 | 58:5 |
| 85:25 87:1 | $4: 3$ | outstanding | part 12:5,10 |
| obverses 19:19 | olive | 8:4 15:22 | 33:14 34:4,13 |
| 48:19 52:10,21 | once 12:15 | 59:19 66:12 | 35:13,15 37:10 |
| 59:23 70:18 | 40:4 62:22 | overall 29:1 | 47:7 53:18 |
| obviously 48:6 | 85:13 | 66:8 | 54:20 62:22 |
| 57:19 73:9 | ones 30:18 32:5 | overestimated | 63:23 67:24 |
| odd 28:15 | 41:20 61:12 | 51:23 | 86:8,11 |
| offensive 20:20 | 62:25 69:20 | overlap 31:8 | participation |
| 20:20 21:10,10 | 70:20 76:23 | overlapping | 49:25 60:17,20 |
| 22:2,2 23:5,6 | onward 50:8 | 62:20 | 60:25 61:3 |
| 23:20,21 | opened 54:2 | overlaps 31:6,9 | particular |
| offer 24:7 | openness 47:21 | 31:9 | 18:15 44:7 |
| 77:24 80:1 | 47:21 | overseas 38:22 | 51:4 63:6 |
| :5 | opined 61:13 | 40:15 | 66:11 67:25 |
| offering 78:20 | opportunity | oversweep | 72:21 73:2 |
| office 6:17:8 | 14:15 17:9,25 | 39:12 | 74:15 76:25 |
| 10:3 11:14,16 | 38:3 45:12 | overtop 27:18 | particularly |
| 15:14 80:2,21 | 70:13 | overview 28:10 | 14:6 41:16 |
| officer 92:2 | oppose 89:19 | 37:24 40:19 | 59:18 62:18 |
| officers 33:21 | opposed 26:1 | own 54:16 | 67:24 70:22,24 |
| 3:22 38:11 | 78:4 85:3 | 77:23 | 72:4 74:20 |
| official 16:4 | option 25:20 | owned 9:23 | parties 92:11 |
| officially 63:12 | 31:16 | p | 92:13 93:7,10 |
| oh 54:3,8 70:9 | rder |  | pass 56:23 |
| 83:9 85:17 | 12:18 14:2 | $1: 15$ | passage 21:18 |
| 87:19 | 30:10 72:10 |  | passed 50:22 |
|  | 77:20 90:5 |  | 84:16 |


| passes 84:13 | personally | piece 75:13 | 84:5,11 87:23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89:20 | 26:13 42:13,16 | piecemeal | 89:23 |
| passing 17:23 | 61:20 65:13 | 54:16 | pointed 23:18 |
| past 65:1 | perspective | pieces 53:19 | 82:15,22,23 |
| patch 23:3 | 25:19 47:14 | 79:5 | pointing 24:2 |
| path 11:22 | 52:12 72:24 | pippin 34:24 | points 29:25 |
| patriot 61:21 | persuade 30:18 | 34:24 | 63:19 67:12,12 |
| patriots 26:5 | 6:2 48:3 | piqued 60:22 | 74:16,18 |
| patrol 21:19 | pe | place | policy 16:4 |
| paul 5:18 | 0:19 46:1 | 77:23 | political 45:22 |
| pause 3:4 | 58:3 70:20 | placed 22:19 | pomp 34:3 |
| paying 62:20 | persuasi | placement 22:6 | pooped 66:13 |
| pbs 60:19 | 47:7 | planted 39:2 | popular 34:16 |
| peace 21:25 | peter 2:11 4:13 | played 35:25 | portfolio 17:1 |
| pelosi 8:10 | 13:6,7,8,21 | 48:6 | 18:2,17 19:13 |
| people 12:2,3 | 24:9,15 43:15 | playing 35:23 | 49:21 54:2 |
| 27:13 34:12 | 53:5 58:20 | 35:23 | 60:11 |
| 37:5,9,16 | 83:25 84:24 | please 3:10,16 | portfolios |
| 8:14 39:10 | 87:11,12 | 5:24,24 10:10 | 14:10 40:25 |
| 40:1,2,4 48:9 | ph 26:5 33:16 | 22:15 24:6 | portion 53:4 |
| 49:1,5 54:3,8 | 36:23 | 41:2 45:5 | portrait 20:14 |
| 54:24 55:19 | phone 3:9 4:21 | 51:19 62:6,15 | 20:17 |
| 6:5 60:17 | 5:20 | 69:17 72:12 | portraits 77:5 |
| 61:3 62:5 67:5 | pho | 85:21 88:6 | 79:1 |
| 68:13,15,24 | photographs | 90:18 | ports 54:11 |
| peoples 48:10 | 6:14 73:14 | pleased 12:5 | pose 31:19 |
| percent 40:13 | photoshop | 76:8 | position 9:4 |
| 40:16,19 | 24:17 | pleasure 45:20 | 10:4 18:18 |
| period 56:7 | phrase 47:3 | pocket 53:8 | 30:2 |
| 83:17 | 80:23 | 56:23 | possible 28:19 |
| pershing 54:14 | physical 56:19 | pockets 53:11 | 43:19 |
| 54:17 | pick 46:12 | point 27:21 | poster 63:22 |
| person 14:8,14 | picked 27:6 | 31:1,3 39:13 | 77:2 |
| 54:22 61:16,18 | 33:18 | 40:3 56:1,17 | powell's 60:19 |
| 61:18,19,20,21 | picture 33:14 | 67:17 68:1 | powerful 50:24 |
| 70:5 | 68:10 | 73:14 74:8 | 59:15 78:14 |
| personal 53:16 | pictured 26:8 | 75:2 76:13 | praise 41:2 |


| pre 38:7 | presumably | program 3:10 | q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| face $82: 12$ |  | 192 | qualified $4: 2,4$ |
| prefer 30:17 | pretty 73:11 | $1: 645: 9,11$ | 4:10,14 14:19 |
| 52:14 | 87:24 | 60:23 85:12 | 92:7 |
| prefere | previous 53:2 | 89:22 | quality 78:8 |
| 7,10 20:1 | pride 40:5 | programs | uarters 80:8 |
| 24 49:18 | prior 92:4 | 14:10 36:4 | question 24:10 |
| :11 84:17 | pritzker 7:23 | prominent | 24:10 25:10 |
| prefer | private 88:16 | 19:21 | 26:25 32:3 |
| :4 19:10 | 8:17 | prominent | 33:11 36:5 |
| :14 64:10 | prob | 53:24 | 43:16,20 44:22 |
| :7 | probably 9:11 | prompt 71:23 | 53:5 54:5 63:7 |
| preferred 22:4 | 14:22 29:14 | proof 68:24,25 | 72:18 75:13,18 |
| 52:3,10,22 | 59:6 61:15 | protruding | 80:15 82:22,23 |
| 64:1 86:10 | 71:1,17,20 | 44:13,18 | 82:24 86:21 |
| preferrin | 75:19 | proud 28:2 | $89: 1$ |
| 18:20 | problem 53:20 | prove 39:5 | questio |
| prejudice | 9:6 | public 3:13,18 | 15:10,11 17:11 |
| 16:24 | problem | 3:24 5:4,15 | 24:3,8 25:6 |
| repared 93:3 | 43:20 | 11:23 14:19 | 28:5 31:18 |
| prepares 19:16 | proceed 82:10 | 15:4,19 42:1 | 2:4 36:5 45:2 |
| present 3:16,19 | 83:15 85:21 | 90:9 92:1,18 | 5:8,12 49:24 |
| 3:22,25 4:3,6,9 | 88:6 | pun 47:3 | 1:20 84:24 |
| 4:12,15,18 | proceeding | purchased | 89:16,22 |
| 5:25 6:3,6,8,10 | 93:4 | 88:15 | quick 70:8 |
| 6:12,14,16,19 | proceedings | purple 36:22 | $\text { quickly } 37: 4$ |
| 6:23 7:2,6,10 | 5:12 92:3,4,5,8 | purpose 11:7,8 | 70:16 89:9 |
| 12:25 13:5 | 93:5 | pushing 56:18 | quite 12:2,2,7 |
| 15:16 31:16 | process 51:16 | put 17:9 29:12 | +44:22 45:23 |
| 78:13 | production | 30:3,4 39:23 | 6:3 47:21 |
| presented 73:8 | 24:14 | 55:24 69:9,16 | 56:20 66:23 |
| 75:15 78:9 | products 14:12 | 79:16,20 86:21 | quorum 5:3 |
| president 7:22 | professional | 86:23 |  |
| ess | professor 7:15 | 49:14 65:15 | r $2: 13: 129$ |
| prestigious | profile 46:20 | puzzling 75:1 | r3 75:17 87:20 |
| 10:8 | 49:3 |  | r4 74:19,23 $75: 13.23 .24$ |


| races 48:12 | realizes 58:16 | receiving 14:25 | recruit 33:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| racial 16:23 | really $12: 7$ | recognition | 33:25 |
| 38:18 | 26:6 27:13 | 15:22 37:2 | recruited 33:23 |
| ained 73:11 | 31:4 33:25 | recognize 14:3 | recruiting 35:3 |
| raised 75:1 | 34:2 35:2,18 | 14:6 26:1 | red 38:17 |
| raising 10:11 | 37:18 38:20 | 55:19 58:10 | reduced 92:6 |
| ank 42:23 | 39:7,9,15 42:1 | 63:14 76:16 | reese 16:11 |
| rarely 42:3 | 42:8 43:25 | recognized | 21:19 33:23 |
| raskin 8:7 | 44:6 47:4,10 | 45:10 56:21 | 35:4 42:3 |
| rate 75:6,8 | 47:15,16 48:18 | 63:12 | refer 68:11 |
| rather 5:20 | 48:25 49:13 | recognizing | 74:2 |
| 59:13 68:14 | 50:4,19,21 | 35:17 72:12 | reference 18:16 |
| 71:23 78:6 | 52:5 53:4 54:7 | recommend | referring 27:20 |
| rattler 21:23 | 54:8,8 55:1 | 27:17 28:2 | reflect 13:2 |
| 23:3 42:13 | 57:3,12 58:1,1 | 77:4 84:4 | reflected 5:8 |
| rattlers 15:25 | 59:18 60:24 | recommenda... | 14:4 |
| 19:5,22 22:13 | 62:6,6,12,19 | 8:9 10:5 18:8 | reflecting |
| 22:24 23:12,19 | 64:18,18,21 | 18:11 19:11 | 72:12 |
| 23:22,23 29:15 | 65:1,5,8 66:15 | 20:1 22:15 | regard 52:10 |
| 30:4 47:17 | 68:16 70:20 | 83:8 | regarding |
| 82:19 86:2 | 72:17 75:5,5 | recommenda... | 42:17 |
| 88:3 | 79:4 81:13 | 18:5 46:13 | regiment 15:21 |
| rattlesnake | reappointed | 61:24 | 16:3,15 19:18 |
| 16:1 22:10 | 8:8 | recommended | 19:21,24 20:3 |
| 29:23 | reappointment | 3:20 4:7,16 9:4 | 20:5,13,16,25 |
| read 33:1 | 45:19 | 14:16 18:17,21 | 21:13 22:10,13 |
| 35:20 38:19 | reason 34:11 | 19:2 29:18 | 23:8,10,14 |
| readable 24:21 | 36:4 56:18 | 89:4 | 26:12,23 27:15 |
| 25:2 | 60:24 64:13 | recommends | 27:21 34:7 |
| readings 44:3 | 69:5 71:13 | 82:16 85:23,24 | 57:20,25 58:1 |
| ready 30:11 | 73:22,22 | 85:24 | 86:22 |
| real 36:16 39:8 | reasoned 57:14 | record 5:22 | regiments |
| 50:19 57:16 | reasons 25:25 | 7:12 13:2 41:8 | 38:10 40:12,12 |
| 58:14 62:3 | 27:22 44:2 | 87:7 92:9 93:5 | region 40:4 |
| 67:18 | receive 66:13 | recorded 92:6 | register 90:11 |
| realistic 78:1 | received 12:19 | recording 92:8 | related 92:10 |
| realization $77: 4$ | 16:6 38:23 | 93:3 | 93:7 |


| relative $40: 7$ | reports 15:1 | 21:22 22:3,8 | rhodian 79:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 92:12 93:9 | represent | 22:25 23:9,15 | rhythm 41:3 |
| relief 77:10,11 | 19:17 21:25 | 24:11 26:19,23 | ribbon 18:25 |
| 77:13,14,15 | 62:4 | 27:2,3 29:4 | 29:20,24 30:4 |
| 78:6,8,19 | representation | 30:13 44:9,10 | 31:11,12 56:15 |
| 80:17,18 81:5 | 24:13 63:9 | 44:19 46:10,11 | 71:5,7 74:22 |
| relinquish 30:7 | represented | 46:15,22,23 | 75:20,22,24,25 |
| remainder 91:1 | 14:18 | 47:22,23 51:18 | 76:1,3,3,5 |
| remaining | representing | 51:20 53:9 | 82:19 86:3 |
| 81:21 | 3:17,23 21:24 | 56:10,25 57:9 | rich 49:21 72:4 |
| remember 24:6 | 23:4,7,16 | 59:5,11,19,23 | richard 7:15 |
| 32:16 34:17 | 50:21,24 59:14 | 61:10 62:15,16 | 17:10,14 25:21 |
| 47:15 53:16 | represents 22:8 | 63:25 64:1,1 | 28:2 32:1,12 |
| 71:672:23 | 51:25 55:10 | 64:14 65:11 | 32:14 33:16,17 |
| 90:18 | 57:20 | 68:22 70:22,24 | 35:7,8 36:11 |
| remind $3: 8,12$ | required 88:8 | 71:1,2,16,18 | 42:8 73:4 |
| 45:4 | 90:18 | 74:6 79:16,17 | 79:15 86:20 |
| reminded | research 17:21 | 80:4,9,10 | richard's 27:21 |
| 68:25 | 44:24 61:6 | 82:17 83:8 | 40:3 |
| reminds 68:10 | researching | 86:1,25 88:2 | riders 32:23 |
| remotely 5:14 | 40:1 | reversed 27:17 | rifle 20:18,24 |
| remove 74:11 | reservation | 29:8 87:8 | 22:12 23:3,15 |
| 83:8 | 11:5 48:3,4 | reverses 18:9 | 27:15,18 29:12 |
| removed 82:18 | reservations | 20:6 22:21 | 30:3 41:17,23 |
| 82:19 86:2,3 | 11:6 | 23:11,22 47:6 | 43:3,23 44:11 |
| removing 19:5 | respect 70:17 | 52:22 57:23 | 48:6,8 49:19 |
| 88:19 | 70:22 71:2,16 | 59:16,22 62:14 | 62:20 64:6 |
| repeat $26: 20$ | 85:11 89:22 | 71:2 80:6,7 | 67:3 69:6 |
| 80:20 | respond 3:16 | review 5:9 15:6 | 71:21 73:7 |
| repeating | rest 54:25 | reviewing | 83:16,16,18 |
| 10:11 | retired 7:20 | 14:10 36:4 | 86:4,6 |
| repetitive | return 38:2 | 41:1 60:11 | rifles 16:7 43:5 |
| 82:19 | returned 14:7 | revised 12:20 | 69:7 |
| report 5:9 14:5 | 35:12 | 12:21 | right 10:11 |
| 15:3 | reveal 41:9 | revision 12:22 | 22:20 25:5 |
| reported 1:23 | reverse 15:16 | 13:4 | 32:20 33:8 |
| reporter 45:13 | 18:9,11,18 | revolution 38:8 | 35:10 38:12,14 |
|  | 19:9 21:15,16 |  | 39:18 44:21,25 |


| 56:16 59:17 | 37:22,23 41:21 | 69:8,10,11,17 | 14:22 29:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60:3,5 62:4 | 42:24 43:24 | 86:7,10 | 55:6 58:24 |
| 71:8 76:25 | 44:21 45:22 | saying 40:11 | 60:8 61:5 |
| 80:3 81:2,18 | 47:7 48:2 50:2 | 71:11 74:17 | 66:16 70:8 |
| 82:2 85:18 | 63:11 75:9,11 | 81:10 82:12 | 76:15,20 77:13 |
| rightful 63:11 | 82:22,25,25 | 90:22 | 81:20 83:13,24 |
| rights 39:3 | 83:2,9 86:14 | says 63:13 | 83:25 84:24 |
| robert 14:19 | 86:15,17,18 | scale 24:20 | 87:11,12 89:9 |
| robin 2:8,24 | 87:3,3 89:25 | 77:5 | 89:14 90:15 |
| 4:47:4 50:11 | salter's 64:6 | scarinci 2:9 8:2 | seconded 89:15 |
| 50:12 52:7 | sam 2:4 3:23 | 25:10 27:1 | secretary 5:7 |
| 59:4 67:21 | 12:24 13:3,5 | 28:6 30:7 | 8:7,8 9:3 13:19 |
| robust 78:9 | 13:22 57:4 | 64:18 85:6 | 18:17 22:5 |
| roger 2:16 6:7 | san 8:17 | scene 43:4,8 | 85:25 |
| rough 32:23 | satisfies 63:17 | scholars 44:4 | section 81:2,4,5 |
| round 44:12,15 | 82:21 | schorn 7:1,2,2 | see 7:13 8:2 |
| 44:17 | saturday 53:22 | 7:3 84:21,22 | 10:11 18:6,9 |
| rounds 44:12 | saunders 2:6 | score 21:18 | 18:11,25 29:1 |
| royal 8:16 | 4:16,18,20,25 | 84:13,18 90:18 | 30:18 37:9 |
| running 51:2 | 5:6,19 9:2,7,16 | scored 84:5,9 | 42:10,11,15 |
| 80:21 | 9:18,21 10:2 | scoresheets | 43:8,10,12 |
| russell 2:18 | 10:10,13,15,18 | 84:14 | 46:2 47:12,15 |
| 6:11 | 10:22,25 11:2 | screaming 54:7 | 48:22 52:1,19 |
| S | 11:4,6,8,10,12 | screen 87:4 | 53:10 56:11 |
|  | 11:16,18,25 | scuffle 35:5 | 57:11 63:14,21 |
| ifice | 12:14 45:17 | sculptor 55:13 | 68:12 69:12,18 |
| or 42:14 | 58:23 60:7 | sculptural | 70:4,23 71:3 |
| int 54:22 | 66:2,4,5,7 70:1 | 76:22 78:9 | 71:24 72:9,9,9 |
| salmon 2:8 4:4 | 78:24 79:12 | sculpturally | 72:16 76:5 |
| 4:6 50:12,12 | save $30: 10,15$ | 78:12,14,17 | 78:23 81:21 |
| 51:20 | saw 37:15 54:8 | 80:11 | 82:5 84:9,12 |
| salter 7:20 17:2 | 70:7 73:8 | sculpture 4:5 | 88:11 |
| 17:3,6 25:18 | saxophone | 77:4 78:16 | seeds 39: |
| 26:3 27:8 28:8 | 22:12 23:3 | seats 90:19,21 | seeing 78:15 |
| 31:25 32:3,14 | 27:18 30:3 | secessionville | 84:25 |
| 32:18,21 33:4 | 35:2 41:17,23 | 50:1 | seem 12: |
| 33:8,15 35:22 | 48:4,8 49:19 | second | 43:19 65:3,6 |
| 33.8,15 35.22 | 64:2,2,7 69:4,6 | 13:11,12,22 | 79:17 |


| seems 24:11 | seven 85:9 | shows 9:23 | six 14:12 51:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42:20 44:11 | several 14:15 | 19 | 62:16 67 |
| 49:16 65:7 | :18 22:16 | shrink 31:7,1 | size 53:2 |
| seen 20:9 55:15 | :24,25 32:8 | 56:14 69:13 | 88:14 |
| 88:20 | 90:11 | shrink | sketch 55: |
| select 10:7 | share 9:11 15:3 | side 27:24 28:3 | sketchbook |
| selected 41:20 | 8:2 31:20 | 49:3 50:16 | 24:18 |
| selection 65:21 | 80:1 | 77:7 | skills 92:9 93:6 |
| selections | shared 22:17 | signatur | slaughterer |
| 12 | 6:12 | 92:16 93:13 | 54:16 |
| semiq | shied | signed | slight 83:18 |
| 15:2 | 44:2 | 7:2 | slightly 24: |
| senate 4 | shifted 89:4 | signif | small 17:19 |
| senior 5:18 | shifting | 29:11 33:9 | 53:4 71:7 |
| 7 7:7 | ship | 50:17 51:12, | smaller 29:23 |
| sense | shipped | 71:10 | mithsonian |
| 12 | shondra 1:23 | significant 22:9 | 38:2 39:18 |
| 71:15 86:9 | 2:2,17 | 27:11 39:15 | nake 31:9 |
| separate 34:7 | shooting | 55:1,3 | societies 8:16 |
| 18 | shore 7:18 | sig | society $8: 15,16$ |
| sep | short 60:16 | silhouette | 8:17,18,18 |
|  | sho | 55:14 77: | soil $32: 7$ |
| sergeant | shout 48:16,1 | silhouettes | sold 9:23 |
| serve 61:17 | :21 52:5 | 77:7 | soldier 28:21 |
| served 8:6,11 | 61:11 | smilar 64:24 | 28:22 29:2 |
| 12 16:14 | show | simply $24: 16$ | 34:25 39:6 |
| :22 39:22 | 43:3 62:10 | 35:20 | 2:14 43:5 |
| :13,14,15,17 | 64:2 67:17 | sincerely 61:16 | 8:20 49:10 |
| 23 60:9 | 8:1 69:13 | single 50:24 | 50:24 51:11,14 |
| 61:5 62:24 | 73:14 | 51:9,10 57:8 | 52:17,19 |
| serves 7:22 | showed 53:20 | sir 3:115:16,19 | soldier's 47:11 |
| service 15:22 | 54:23 66 | 5:24 66:3 | 62:11 77:18,19 |
| :16 62:23 | showing 28:1 | 7:14 81:8 | soldiers 25:25 |
| 64:3,8 | :10 51:10 | 83:11 88:25 | 26:8 29:13 |
| serving 28:23 | 53:8 74:2 | sit 17:1 | 32:22,23 33:19 |
| 38 | shown 29:18 | sitting 74:17 | 34:5,9,20 36:8 |
| set 17:22 90:9 | 29:19 | situation 48:23 | 38:15 41:14,18 |
|  |  |  | 42:4,20,23 |


| 43:9 48:22 | speaker 3:21 | standing 52:20 | streamers 23:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50:18 51:2,11 | 10:7 14:17 | standout 57:16 | streamline |
| 52:13 53:7,11 | 91:2,3,4,5,6 | standpoint | 30:11 |
| 55:15,22 56:3 | speakers 13:14 | 71:21 | street 35:14 |
| 58:6,14,18 | 13:24 53:2 | stands 20:9,10 | striking 28:25 |
| 62:21 72:25 | speaking 4:20 | 82:17 | 59:18 61:15 |
| 73:24 74:2 | 31:1 45:14 | star 23:2,19 | 71:13 73:6 |
| solemnly 10:12 | 84:22 | 89:1 | 74:14,20 |
| 10:13 | special 12:9 | stars 19:4 | stripes 76:6 |
| solid 46:15,24 | 60:19 | start 37:25 | strong 49:15 |
| 64:11 | specialist 6:5 | 40:1 43:2 46:9 | 55:16 59:7 |
| sollman 14:18 | 7:8 | 50:5 57:15 | 69:3 |
| solo 52:17 | specifically $4: 1$ | started 37:14 | strongly 18:12 |
| solution 54:19 | 4:4,10,13 9:21 | 73:24 | 19:7 48:25 |
| 54:20 | 14:19 | starting 14:22 | 56:8 65:16 |
| solved 79:10 | spell 55:17 | 19:14 90:10 | 67:17 68:5 |
| somebody 54:5 | spend 14:3 | starts 37:24 | struck 26:6,13 |
| 55:7,18 75:1,3 | 25:23 34:21 | state 45:14 | 68:17 73:16 |
| songs 35:25 | spite 16:4 | states 10:17,19 | 74:8 |
| sophie 36:23 | spoke 27:8 | 14:17 64:15 | structure 80:10 |
| sorry 9:7 10:6 | spoken 15:5 | stay 34:5,10 | stuck 58:6 |
| 20:6 25:8 | 45:9 | 60:13 | student 53:17 |
| 42:19 60:2 | square 35:14 | stepped 35:22 | 71:23 |
| 64:23 80:12,19 | staff 5:23 90:7 | stepping 68:13 | studied 58:16 |
| 84:2 85:17 | stafford 2:14 | stevens 14:17 | study 67:2 |
| 86:24 87:19 | 6:1,3 15:14,18 | stick 32:5 | 69:22 |
| sort 24:12 36:7 | 18:1 25:16 | stiff 52:18 | studying 28:14 |
| 41:11,14,19 | 82:7 89:3 | stiffness 52:20 | stuff 39:22 |
| 42:17,18 62:2 | stage $68: 13$ | stitching 24:22 | 80:8 81:3 |
| 70:18 73:17 | stake 29:16 | stock 44:13 | stunning 64:2 |
| sorts 56:13 | stakeholder | stop 78:20 | stunningly |
| 57:6 | 80:7 | stories 31:23 | 59:9 |
| south 50:14 | stakeholders | 34:15 | style 63:20 |
| space 77:15 | 70:12,14,23 | story 16:20 | substitute 65:5 |
| spanish 32:24 | 71:14 80:10 | 37:11,17 | successfully |
| 33:3,4 | stand 59:21 | strangely 77:12 | 79:10 80:5 |
| speak 3:12 | 64:22 65:2,8 | strap 29:2 | suggest 26:11 |
| 26:12 30:15,18 | 76:8 86:12 |  | 45:3 68:1 |


| suggested 19:4 | suspension | taken 36:11 | 33:2 58:25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22:16 29:19 | 69:13 | 74:17 92:3,11 | 60:8 |
| 30:14 | swayed 75:16 | 93:8 | terminology |
| suggestion 27:3 | swear 10:12,13 | takes 51:15 | 88:7 |
| 74:22 | swearing 5:5 | talk 30:12 | terms 29:14 |
| suggesti | 9:2 | 40:10 48:1 | 59:16 67:11 |
| 89:21 | sweep | 67:8 | 69:12 73:21 |
| sullivan 2:15 | swiss 8:16 | talke | 77:3 81:12 |
| 6:4,6 | switch 30:2 | 2:8 73:22 | terrain 43 |
| sum 30:1 | switching 22:6 | talking | terrible 58:17 |
| summary | sworn 92:5 | 27:19 32:18,24 | 58:17 |
| summer 38:17 | symbol 16:1 | 34:1 43:2 | terrific 48:18 |
| 38:19 | 51:25 | 46:21 47:24 | terry 2:24 7:4,6 |
| superb 57:23 | symbolic 69:5 | 62:2,7 73:7 | test 47:3 48:24 |
| supplies 54:11 | 73:9 | 78:25 85:18 | 50:23 55:5 |
| support 10:14 | sy | 86:21 | 63:18,18 78:14 |
| 10:15 11:22 | :22 65:15 | talks 42 | 78:15 |
| 16:3 30:13,17 | symbo | tate 2:25 7:7,10 | testifying |
| 52:4 53:1 | 50:2 | taught 9:24 | tetradrachm |
| 57:22 59:5 | system 4:22 | teach 45:23 | 79:6 |
| 65:17,22 69:10 | t | teaching 28:15 | text 27:19 |
| 70:17 | 47:4 | team 60:7 82:6 | 29:15 78:5,7 |
| supporting | tabletop 47:3 | 2:8 90:1,3 | 78:19 81:6 |
| 30:12 80:7,9 | $: 24 \text { 50:23 }$ | teams 3:10 | texts 81:1 |
| suppress 39:24 | :56:6 | technical $24: 3$ | texture 24:16 |
| suppressed | 63:18 | 4:10 45:3 | 24:19 53:5,6 |
| 40:1 | take 3:4 8:5 | 3:5 75:13,18 | textured 24:12 |
| sure 25:21 | 11:3,4 24:16 | 76:7 | extures 68:4 |
| 31:12 32:2 | $: 3,4 \angle 4: 10$ | technically | texturing 24:14 |
| 36:13 37:5 | $2141: 3$ | 69:1 86:10 | thank 4:23 |
| 41:24,25 42:9 | 47:22 | technician | 5:22 8:4 9:16 |
| 43:13,21 44:16 | :15 55:18 | 42:25 | 9:20,25 11:25 |
| 44:23,25 47:18 |  | tell 15:8 26:8 | 12:9 13:3 |
| 55:23 69:1 |  | 39:1 55:25 | 15:18 18:1 |
| 71:4 72:14 | $79 \cdot 1583 \cdot 5$ | 71:6 | 24:1 25:5 |
| 81:20 82:4 | $90: 20$ | tenor | 26:24 28 |
| 83:4,16 86:19 |  | term 14:21,22 | 29:5 30:21,25 |
|  |  | 31:20 32:16 | 31:17 40:23 |


| 5:1,16 | 86:14,15 | 73:5,5,9 74:5 | 77:21 79:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| :8,10,12,13 | things 14:25 | 74:10,11,13,16 | 85:8 88:14 |
| 52:6,7 53:11 | 17:23 28:11,25 | 74:18,19,22,23 | thrill 58:14 |
| 53:13,15 57:3 | 42:7,25 43:25 | 75:1,1,5,6 | hrilled 58:18 |
| 57:5 58:19,20 | 47:13 57:7 | 76:23 77:2 | tie 32:10 |
| 58:21,25 59:24 | 67:5,6,7 68:1 | 78:12,13 79:1 | ties 53:9 |
| 59:25 60:3 | 69:22,23 73:6 | 79:10,22 80:9 | time 3:12 8:11 |
| 63:1,2,4,5,16 | 74:877:25 | 81:1,2,16 82:4 | 17:4 19:3 |
| 64:14,15,17 | 78:25 79:15 | 82:21 86:6,14 | 25:23 30:11,15 |
| 66:1 69:23,24 | think 8:20 14:5 | 90:20 | 35:22 38:6 |
| 70:1,10 72:5 | 17:10,17 25:11 | thinking 41:15 | 41:4,6 63:13 |
| 72:14 76:10,12 | 26:6,9,10,16 | 48:9 51:8 | 66:14 71:1,20 |
| 76:14,18 78:21 | 26:19,20 27:5 | 72:24 | 72:8 73:9 |
| 78:22 79:23 | 28:4 29:24,25 | third 4 | 75:21,22 79:3 |
| 81:14,15,23 | 30:17 31:12,18 | 77:14 | 81:18 89:5 |
| 82:8,11 86:13 | 32:8,14 33:6 | thought 29:9 | times 9:13 14:9 |
| 87:6 88:22,23 | 35:8 36:10,19 | 29:10,14 34:19 | 30:24 42:2 |
| 89:24,25 90:2 | 37:10 38:10,15 | 35:16 37:17 | title 87:25 |
| 90:6 91:3,4,6 | 41:21 42:11 | 47:9 49:3 | titled 26:5 |
| thanking 72:3 | 43:24 44:13,1 | 51:16 65:3,20 | today 5:24 17:2 |
| thanks 60:5 | 44:21 45:25 | 67:14,22 73:6 | 18:8 34:7,19 |
| 62:23 63:10,10 | 46:8,23 47:6 | 73:18,23 74:19 | 36:14,18 38:5 |
| 64:4 70:1 | 48:8,12,14,19 | 79:20 | 39:20 40:20 |
| 86:1 | 49:6,6,16 50:7 | though | 79:13 90:5,7 |
| theaters | 50:24 51:3,23 | 30: | today's 5:4 |
| theirs 65:6 | 51:25 53:8 | thoughts 72 | together 12:17 |
| themed 47:13 | 56:22 57:7,9 | 72:19 73:3 | 17:10 37:22 |
| 88:10 | 57:13,25 58:9 | thousand 15:10 | tom 14:16 |
| themes 1 | 59:4,6,7,17,17 | three 14:11 | took 54:5 |
| thing 24:22,24 | 59:18,19,20,22 | 17:20 20:2 | top 18:3 21:17 |
| 26:6,9,16 28:9 | 60:23 61:2,7 | 21:6 23:4 25:4 | 21:20 22:17 |
| 33:20,24 36:19 | 62:1,8,18 | 26:11 28:20,25 | 27:5 29:13 |
| 37:21 50:1 | 63:23 64:7,9 | 41:18 42:5,10 | 30:2 31:11 |
| 56:14 58:16 | 64:10,18 65:14 | 42:16 44:11 | 69:4,11,16,17 |
| 66:10,16 67:14 | 65:24,24 66:14 | 46:19 47:21 | 82:20 86:6,10 |
| 69:9 73:16 | 66:21 67:4,16 | 53:11 55:22 | topology 81:6 |
| 76:7 78:19 | 68:22 69:9,15 | 56:3 58:2 67:3 | 81:12,13 |
| 79:2,13 84:14 | 69:19,21 70:13 | 72:25 73:1 |  |


| total 14:9 23:7 | 34:6,10,21 | 59:25 63:2 | ultimately |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| touch 31:7 | 42:10 54:17 | 4:17 66:1 | 77:17 |
| touches 31:9 | 58:6 62:9,10 | 9:22 81:14 | umbrella 67:15 |
| 43:17 | tribute 58:13 | 90:19,20 | uncle 39:2 |
| tours 34:9 | tried 79:3 | turning 4 | 61:19 |
| towards 17:17 | tromb | tuskegee 37:20 | unconsci |
| 52:10 78:7 | 23:15 | two 17:1,12 | 16:18 |
| town 17:19,21 | troops | 18:16 20:21 | under 14:21 |
| towns 73:13 | 54:1 | 21:11 25:18 | 16:5,11 30:22 |
| traditional | trouble | 26:10 27:10 | 54:18 76:5 |
| 53:3 64:11 | truck 54:12 | 28:5 30:10 | underestimat |
| 88:10 | true 10:24, | 36:14 41:12 | 51:23 |
| training 16:6 | 42:178:10 | 42:21 43:5 | underneath |
| 54:10 | 92:8 93:4 | 44:4 48:11, | 69:10 |
| transcri | trumpet | 3:2,22 58:2,8 | underscoring |
| 93:1 | trustees | 59:7 68:1,2 | 51:12 |
| transcript 93:3 | truth 57:8 | 3:6,17 76:8 | understand |
| 93:4 | try 26:9 37:23 | 78:25 84:4 | 18:22 24:12 |
| transcrip | :5 46 | 90:9 | 41:1 57:21 |
| 92:7 | 60:16 81:10 | type 42:21 | 59:12 64:24 |
| transitioned | trying 47:25 | 48:23 | 71:10,13 74:13 |
| 44:1 | 73:20 | typewriting | 84:16 87:6 |
| translate 55:24 | tucke |  | understanding |
| transparenc | 10,12 63 | typically 41:3 | 84:1 |
| 15:9 | 81:15,16 82:11 | 77:4 | nderstood |
| transpo | 12, 2183.7 | u | 80:13 83:4 |
| 16:2 | 85:7,11,21 | u.s. 7:20 10 | unfortunately |
| avel 13:1 | 85:15,20,20,23 |  | 5: |
| treasury 85:25 | 86:8 87:10,10 | $: 11,2320: 5$ | unidentified |
| treated 54:9 | 8:4,4,7,25 |  | 91:2,3,4,5,6 |
| treatment | 89:8, | $23$ | unidetified |
| 38:23 | tuesday |  | 13:14,24 |
| trench 20:10 | 3:3 | $26: 22 \text { 27:20 }$ | uniform 20:8 |
| 21:8 43:6 | turn 9:18 15:13 | 33:10 45:22 | 20:18,23 22:22 |
| 51:11 68:8,13 | 30:23 36:6 | 6:7 82:14 | 31:21 32:6 |
| 68:14,15 | 50:11 | 88.18 | 33:10 38:7 |
| trenches 21:4 | 53:13 55:5 |  | 47:11 53:4,6 |
| 27:14 33:13 | 57:4 58:20,22 |  | 53:10 71:7 |


| uniformed | utilized 52:4 | voices 77:23 | $21: 4 \text { 32:17,25 }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:20 20:2 | $v$ | vote 27:4 65:10 | 33:2,3,4 37:12 |
| uniforms 16:8 | value 70:23 | 65:12 89:8 | 38:4,6,9,13,17 |
| 38:21,22 | $\text { van } 2: 114: 13$ | votes $84: 18$ | 39:2,3,5,11,22 |
| union 55:2 | $4: 15 \text { 13:6,8,8 }$ | voting 85:8 | 40:8,14,22 |
| unique 12:16 | 13:21,21 24:9 | w | 43:6,7,7,8 |
| unit 15:23 | 24:9 25:5 | wait 45:11 | 45:23 46:6 50:4 51: |
| 6:15 26:1 | 43:17 44:8 | walk 53:23 | 50:4,8 51:1,12 |
| 40:17 49:10 | 58:21 83:14,25 | wall 53:21 | 53:17,20 55:2 |
| 54:4 55:10,12 | 87:11,11,14 | walter 53:18 | 55:14 58:16 |
| 55:13 56:19 | $90: 15$ | want $3: 15$ 6:23 | 61:1,5 62:8 |
| 59:13 70:25 | $\begin{array}{rr}\text { various } & 60: 21\end{array}$ | 7:11,11 12:14 | 63:12 66:10 |
| 75:4 | $76: 22$ | 28:9 31:25 | 73:9 74:1,3 |
| unit's 29:16 | vasquez 2:16 | 35:7 37:22 | warfare $43: 6$ |
| united 10:16 | 6:7,8 | 40:23 44:8 | 68:8 |
| 10:18 14:17 | ventris $2: 13$ | 46:13 48:16 | warren 2:22 |
| 64:14 | 6:24 | 50:21 52:4 | 4:19,20,24 |
| units 34:4,7 | version 44:12 | 53:1 54:15 | 5:13,16,16 |
| 40:17 59:14 | $44: 12,15$ | 55:18 56:5,16 | 6:20,23 8:1,1 |
| 71:15 | versus 41:7 | 60:8 61:17 | 25:8 66:3 |
| unmute 4:22 unmuted 78:24 | veteran 15:9 | 63:4 65:11 | wars 38:9 <br> watching 53:18 |
| unser 5:17 | 17:7 | 66:25 69:25 | watching 53:18 way $12: 6,16$ |
| upcoming 15:4 | veterans 78:10 | 70:10 71:11 | 25:3 41:9 46:4 |
| 90:10 | 78:11 | 75:17,18 80:3 | 49:14,25 50:5 |
| uram 14:16 | videoconfere... 90:8 | $\begin{gathered} 83: 487: 22,25 \\ \text { wanted } 19: 6 \end{gathered}$ | 54:9 55:13 |
| use 19:3 24:19 | vietnam 43:8 | $26: 1,9,11$ | 57:13 58:5 |
| 75:18 | view 22.21 | $27: 13 \quad 28: 19$ | 59:21 65:2,9 |
| used 24:17 | $49: 2.384: 12$ | 41:25 42:8 | 65:17 69:8 |
| 33:3 43:2 44:5 | 49:2,3 vijayan 93: | 41.2542 .8 | 72:17,19,23 |
| 44:7 48:6 | virtual 12:13 | 69:13 71:20 | 73:8 74:17 |
| useful 12:6 | 14:782:3 | 72:24 76:24 | we've 37:3 45:9 |
| using 44:14 | vision 34:16 | 79:14 86:1 | 55:25 65:1 |
| 48:24 | visualization | wants $64:$ | 78:12 88:20 |
| usual 57:6 | $34: 19$ | war $15: 23$ | weapon 27:10 |
| usually 46:12 | visualize 48:1 | 16:12,19 19:20 | 34:19 42:21 |
| 80:7 |  | $20: 2,10,22$ | 44:7,25 |



