



**BELTLINE CORRIDOR ENVIRONMENTAL STUDY**

**ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS  
REPORT –  
BELTLINE CORRIDOR  
NORTHEAST ZONE**

Prepared for:

**Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit Authority  
and  
Atlanta BeltLine, Inc.**

Prepared by:

**AECOM/JJG Joint Venture  
Atlanta, GA**

**Version (2.0): May 2009**

**General Planning Consultant Services RFP P5413  
Contract No. 200703566  
Work Order No. 2008-07**

**Page Left Blank**

## BELTLINE CORRIDOR NORTHEAST ZONE

### FULTON COUNTY, GEORGIA

The BeltLine Corridor Northeast Zone Project is an approximate 6.5-mile corridor beginning at the Inman Park/Reynoldstown MARTA Station and extending north to the Lindbergh Center MARTA Station. The proposed project includes a combination of a new Light Rail / Modern Streetcar transit line and new multi-use trails. The rail transit element of the project includes the construction of new tracks, stations, and supporting facilities that will accommodate a new fixed guideway transit service. The project also includes the development of a multi-use trail and associated linear greenspace along the alignment.

### ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS REPORT

METROPOLITAN ATLANTA RAPID TRANSIT AUTHORITY (MARTA)

ATLANTA DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (ADA)

SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO GEORGIA ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (GEPA), 1991

4/30/09  
DATE

Beverly A. Scott

FOR:  
GENERAL MANAGER/CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER  
METROPOLITAN ATLANTA RAPID TRANSIT AUTHORITY (MARTA)

4/30/09  
DATE

Reggy M'Colmich

FOR:  
PRESIDENT  
ATLANTA DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (ADA)

**Page Left Blank**

## Table of Contents

<b>1.0</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
1.1	PROJECT NAME AND LOCATION.....	1-1
1.2	FACILITY TYPE, TERMINI, LENGTH, RIGHT-OF-WAY.....	1-1
1.3	STUDY AREA AND PHYSICAL EXTENT .....	1-1
1.4	ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED .....	1-2
1.4.1	Build Alternative.....	1-2
1.4.2	No Build Alternative .....	1-2
1.4.3	Alternatives to Avoid Significant Adverse Effect.....	1-3
1.5	ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING.....	1-3
1.6	ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS.....	1-3
1.7	BENEFITS AND ECONOMIC IMPACTS.....	1-6
1.8	COORDINATION AND COMMENTS.....	1-7
<b>2.0</b>	<b>PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND ALTERNATIVES .....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1	PROJECT DESCRIPTION .....	2-1
2.1.1	BeltLine Corridor.....	2-1
2.1.2	Northeast Zone.....	2-1
2.2	PARTICIPATING STATE AGENCIES .....	2-1
2.3	PROPOSED STATE ACTION.....	2-4
2.3.1	Alternatives.....	2-5
2.3.2	Transit Technology Options.....	2-5
2.3.3	Transit and Multi-Use Trail Alignments .....	2-5
2.3.4	Alignment Option 1 .....	2-6
2.3.5	Alignment Option 2.....	2-7
2.3.6	Alignment Option 3.....	2-7
2.3.7	Limits of Disturbance.....	2-8
<b>3.0</b>	<b>ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING .....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1	ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING WITHOUT THE PROJECT .....	3-1
<b>4.0</b>	<b>ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES .....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1	WETLANDS/WATERS OF THE U.S. AND STATE .....	4-1
4.1.1	Assessment of Effects.....	4-1
4.1.2	Avoidance and Minimization .....	4-15
4.1.3	Wetland and Ephemeral Stream Mitigation .....	4-16
4.1.4	Perennial and Intermittent Stream Mitigation .....	4-17
4.1.5	Stream Buffer Mitigation.....	4-17
4.1.6	Summary of Findings .....	4-17

<b>4.2</b>	<b>FLOODPLAINS .....</b>	<b>4-17</b>
<b>4.3</b>	<b>STORM WATER.....</b>	<b>4-21</b>
<b>4.4</b>	<b>WASTE WATER.....</b>	<b>4-21</b>
<b>4.5</b>	<b>AIR QUALITY .....</b>	<b>4-21</b>
<b>4.6</b>	<b>SOLID WASTES/SOLID WASTE LANDFILLS .....</b>	<b>4-22</b>
4.6.1	Solid Waste .....	4-22
4.6.2	Solid Waste Landfills .....	4-22
<b>4.7</b>	<b>SOIL STABILITY/ERODIBILITY .....</b>	<b>4-23</b>
<b>4.8</b>	<b>HISTORIC RESOURCES.....</b>	<b>4-24</b>
4.8.1	Description of Historic Properties.....	4-38
4.8.2	Effects to Historic Resources .....	4-47
<b>4.9</b>	<b>ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES.....</b>	<b>4-48</b>
<b>4.10</b>	<b>PARKS/RECREATION.....</b>	<b>4-49</b>
<b>4.11</b>	<b>ENERGY SUPPLIES .....</b>	<b>4-61</b>
<b>4.12</b>	<b>HAZARDOUS MATERIALS .....</b>	<b>4-62</b>
<b>4.13</b>	<b>EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES AND OPTIONS.....</b>	<b>4-71</b>
<b>5.0</b>	<b>SHORT-TERM AND LONG-TERM BENEFITS .....</b>	<b>5-1</b>
<b>6.0</b>	<b>VALUES OF SHORT-TERM USES OF THE ENVIRONMENT AND MAINTENANCE AND ENHANCEMENT OF LONG-TERM VALUES .....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
<b>7.0</b>	<b>COORDINATION AND COMMENTS .....</b>	<b>7-1</b>
7.1	STUDY COORDINATION .....	7-1
7.2	PUBLIC COMMENTS .....	7-2

## **Appendices**

Appendix A: List of Acronyms and Abbreviations

Appendix B: Glossary

Appendix C: Agency Correspondence

## **List of Tables**

Table 1-1: GEPA Environmental Checklist .....	1-4
Table 4-1: Impacts to Wetlands/Waters of the U.S. and State.....	4-2
Table 4-2: Georgia Environmental Rules and Laws Governing Solid and Hazardous Waste .....	4-23
Table 4-3: NRHP Criteria for Evaluation of Cultural Resources.....	4-25
Table 4-4: National/Georgia Register Listed/Eligible Properties in Area of Potential Effect .....	4-26
Table 4-5: Park and Recreation Resources.....	4-50

## List of Figures

Figure 2-1: BeltLine Corridor.....	2-2
Figure 2-2: Northeast Zone Study Area .....	2-3
Figure 2-3: Transit/Trails Option 1 and Transit Option 1A.....	2-9
Figure 2-4: Transit/Trails Option 2 .....	2-10
Figure 2-5: Transit/Trails Option 3 .....	2-11
Figure 4-1: Wetlands/Waters of the U.S. and State (Armour/Lindbergh Area) .....	4-3
Figure 4-2: Wetlands/Waters of the U.S. and State (Montgomery Ferry/Ansley Area) .....	4-4
Figure 4-3: Wetlands/Waters of the U.S. and State (Piedmont Park Area) .....	4-5
Figure 4-4: 100-Year Floodplain (Armour/Lindbergh Area).....	4-18
Figure 4-5: 100-Year Floodplain (Montgomery Ferry/Ansley Area) .....	4-19
Figure 4-6: 100-Year Floodplain (Piedmont Park Area) .....	4-20
Figure 4-7: Historic Resources (Armour/Lindbergh Area, Option 1 and Option 1A).....	4-30
Figure 4-8: Historic Resources (Armour/Lindbergh Area, Option 2) .....	4-31
Figure 4-9: Historic Resources (Armour/Lindbergh Area, Option 3) .....	4-32
Figure 4-10: Historic Resources (Montgomery Ferry/Ansley Area) .....	4-33
Figure 4-11: Historic Resources (Piedmont Park/Ponce de Leon Area) .....	4-34
Figure 4-12: Historic Resources (Freedom Parkway Area) .....	4-35
Figure 4-13: Historic Resources (Old Fourth Ward/Cabbagetown Area) .....	4-36
Figure 4-14: Historic Resources (Inman Park/Reynoldstown Area).....	4-37
Figure 4-15: Parks and Recreation (Armour/Lindbergh Area, Option 1 and Option 1A).....	4-52
Figure 4-16: Parks and Recreation (Armour/Lindbergh Area, Option 2).....	4-53
Figure 4-17: Parks and Recreation (Armour/Lindbergh Area, Option 3) .....	4-54
Figure 4-18: Parks and Recreation (Montgomery Ferry/Ansley Area) .....	4-55
Figure 4-19: Parks and Recreation (Piedmont Park Area) .....	4-56
Figure 4-20: Parks and Recreation (Freedom Parkway Area) .....	4-57
Figure 4-21: Parks and Recreation (Old Fourth Ward/Cabbagetown Area) .....	4-58
Figure 4-22: Parks and Recreation (Inman Park/Reynoldstown Area) .....	4-59
Figure 4-23: Hazardous Materials Sites (Armour/Lindbergh Area, Option 1 and 1A).....	4-63
Figure 4-24: Hazardous Materials Sites (Armour/Lindbergh Area, Option 2) .....	4-64
Figure 4-25: Hazardous Materials Sites (Armour/Lindbergh Area, Option 3) .....	4-65
Figure 4-26: Hazardous Materials Sites (Montgomery Ferry/Ansley Area).....	4-66
Figure 4-27: Hazardous Materials Sites (Piedmont Park/Ponce de Leon Area) .....	4-67
Figure 4-28: Hazardous Materials Sites (Freedom Parkway Area).....	4-68
Figure 4-29: Hazardous Materials Sites (Old Fourth Ward/Cabbagetown Area) .....	4-69
Figure 4-30: Hazardous Materials Sites (Inman Park/Reynoldstown Area).....	4-70

**Page Left Blank**